# RESEARCH BULLETIN

ISSN 2230 9241

The Institute of Cost Accountants of India

(Statutory body under an Act of Parliament)

## Volume 40, December 2014



www.icmai.in

# RESEARCH BULLETIN

ISSN 2230 9241

# The Institute of Cost Accountants of India

(Statutory body under an Act of Parliament)

## Volume 40, December 2014



www.icmai.in



#### Disclaimer

The Institute assumes no responsibility for any act of copying or reproduction, whether fully or partially, of any write up / research paper which is not the original work of the author. The write up / research papers published in good faith on the basis of declaration furnished by the authors.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without permission in writing from The Institute of Cost Accountants of India.

Price of Single Copy: ₹ 400.00 only Annual Subscription (for four volumes): ₹ 1200.00 p.a. Courier Charges: ₹ 200.00 p.a. for four volumes Month of Publication: December, 2014 © 2014 The Institute of Cost Accountants of India

## Foreword

I am in high spirits to bring forth the present volume of the Research Bulletin of the Institute. The publication contains well researched and thought provoking articles on a variety of relevant issues for researchers, academicians and professionals.

Sustainable Cost Management (SCM) provides stakeholders with the ability to manage and align costs with their business strategies on a continuous basis. This can yield substantial benefits, establishing a framework for continuous improvement and ongoing performance measurement improving core and support processes while achieving enhanced customer satisfaction levels by reducing costs.

The CMAs with expertise knowledge play an indispensable role in value maximization and sustainable cost management process. They can typically serve as member of team leadership and is considered a technical professional within the organization. CMAs play a crucial role in the monitoring and control of cost and efficiency of the routine processes and as well as on-off jobs and projects undertaken by an organization and lays great emphasis on accountability through effective performance measurement.

In this edition, a wide array of topics based on Capital Budgeting, Corporate Ethics, Performance Management, Stock Market, Mutual Fund, Foreign Direct Investment, Inflation, Education and Agriculture have been inserted.

Hope this volume encourages readers to board on a lifelong journey of learning and enriching their knowledge base.

#### CMA (Dr.) A.S. Durga Prasad

President

The Institute of Cost Accountants of India.

## Chairman's Communiqué

It gives me an immense pleasure to place before you the 40<sup>th</sup> volume of *Research Bulletin* of the Institute. Our Research Bulletin mainly accentuate on pragmatic research papers and case studies on cost, management and financial issues.

Research means creativity accomplished on a systematic basis in order to increase the stock of knowledge, including knowledge of man, culture and society, and the use of this stock of knowledge to devise new applications. Our aim is to draw attention to the vitality in environmental, social, economical and market-related issues through our Research Bulletin, so that the society can analyze the surroundings, adapt the change in a better manner and can take decisions strategically.

I would like to express my appreciation to my fellow members of the Research, Innovation and Journal Committee, esteemed members of the Review Board, the eminent contributors and the entire research team of the Institute for their earnest effort and support to publish this volume in time.

I am sure the readers will find the Bulletin useful and would love to go through all the articles and I welcome the readers to put forward their valuable feedback to enrich Research Bulletin further.

#### **CMA Manas Kumar Thakur**

Chairman, Research, Innovation & Journal Committee The Institute of Cost Accountants of India

## **Editors's Note**

#### Greetings!!!

We are glad to bring out the current volume of the Research Bulletin, Vol. 40, December, 2014 issue, an offering of the Directorate of Research & Journal of the Institute. We publish both theme based and non theme based articles on the blazing issues. Inputs are mainly received both from academicians and the corporate stalwarts. Our aim is to highlight the dynamism in environment, social, economy and market-related issues, so that the society can analyze the surroundings, adapt the change in a better manner and can take decisions strategically.

We are extremely happy to convey that from 2015 onwards 'Research Bulletin' will become quarterly publication in order to match with the international standards. As such we would be publishing the next issue in April 2015, on the theme "Capital Market" which would be a collaborative publication in association with National Institute of Securities Markets (NISM), an educational institute of SEBI.

We look forward to constructive feedback from our readers on the articles and overall development of the Research Bulletin. Please send your mails at research.bulletin@icmai.in. We thank all the contributors of this important issue and hope our readers enjoy the articles.

#### CMA (Dr.) Debaprosanna Nandy

Director (Research & Journal) The Institute of Cost Accountants of India rnj.dpnandy@icmai.in

## Research, Innovation & Journal Committee: 2014-15

**CMA Dr. AS Durga Prasad** President & Permanent Invitee

**CMA PV Bhattad** Vice President & Permanent Invitee

**CMA Manas Kumar Thakur** Chairman

CMA Rakesh Singh Member

**CMA Sanjay Gupta** Member

**CMA Dr. PVS Jagan Mohan Rao** Member

CMA DLS Sreshti Member

**CMA Dr. Sanjiban Bandhyopadhyaya** Member

**Shri Suresh Pal** Govt. Nominee

Secretary to the Committee **CMA Dr. Debaprosanna Nandy** Director (Research & Journal)

## **Editorial Board**

**Prof. Amit Kr. Mallick** Ex- Vice Chancellor, Burdwan University

**Dr. Asish K. Bhattacharyya** Advisor, Advanced Studies, The Institute of Cost Accountants of India

**Dr. Ashoke Ranjan Thakur** Vice Chancellor, Techno India University, West Bengal

Dr. Bappaditya Mukherjee Managing Editor, Journal of Emerging Market Finance, Delhi

**Dr. Dilip Kr. Datta** Director, Sayantan Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata

**Dr. Malavika Deo** Professor, Department of Commerce, Pondicherry Central University, Puducherry

Dr. Nagaraju Gotla Associate Professor, National Institute of Bank Management, Pune

Dr. P.K.Jain Professor, Department of Management Studies, IIT Delhi

Dr. Sankarshan Basu Associate Professor, IIM-Bangalore

**Dr. Sreehari Chava** Director, Santiniketan Business School, Nagpur

Shri V.S.Datey Expert on Corporate Laws & Taxation, Nashik

Editor : **CMA Dr. Debaprosanna Nandy** Director (Research & Journal)

Joint Editor : **CMA Dr. Sumita Chakraborty** Jt. Director (Research)

## Our Contributors in this Issue

#### CMA Arindam Banerjee

Fellow Member, Institute of Cost Accountants of India arind2001@yahoo.com

#### CMA Ashish P Thatte

Practicing Cost Accountant, Mumbai, Maharashtra ashishpthatte@gmail.com

#### **Dr. Ashok Joshi** Director, INDSEARCH Pune, Maharashtra.

Dr. Badar Alam Iqbal

Professor, Dept. of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Uttar Pradesh ba.iqbal.cm@amu.ac.in

#### Ms. Baishakhi Bardhan

Research Scholar, Department of Business Management, University of Calcutta, West Bengal baishakhi.bardhan@gmail.com

#### Shri Dhruba Charan Hota

Assistant Professor, Iswar Chandra Vidyasagar College, Belonia, Tripura

#### Dr. Dipen Roy

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, University of North Bengal, West Bengal roydipennbu@rediffmail.com

#### Mr. H.M. Kamrul Hassan

Lecturer, Department of Marketing Studies and International Marketing, University of Chittagong, Bangladesh kamrul@cu.ac.bd

#### Shri Lalit Gupta

Student, MBA Programme, Department of Management Studies, IIT Delhi, New Delhi Ialitkg\_y@yahoo.com

## Our Contributors in this Issue

#### Dr. Laxmi Narayan Koli

Associate Professor, Deptt. of Accountancy & Law, Faculty of Commerce, Dayalbagh Educational Institute [Deemed University], Uttar Pradesh *drlnkoli.dei@gmail.com* 

#### Dr. Luciana Aparecida Bastos

Associate Professor, Department of Economics, UNESPAR, Brazil singerlu@gmail.com

#### Dr. M. Babu

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce and Financial Studies, Bharathidasan University, Tamil Nadu drbabu@bdu.ac.in

#### Dr. Madhumita Sen Gupta

Deputy Director (President Office), The Institute of Cost Accountants of India (ICAI), Kolkata msgsengupta@gmail.com

#### Dr. Malabika Deo

Professor, Department of Commerce, Pondicherry University, Puducherry deo\_malavika@yahoo.co.in

#### Mr. Martin Bernard

PhD Scholar, Department of Commerce, Pondicherry University, Puducherry martinbernardv@gmail.com

#### Mr. Nayyar Rahman

UGC Junior Research Fellow, Department of Commerce, A.M.U., Uttar Pradesh

#### Dr. P.K. Jain

Professor, Department of Management Studies, IIT Delhi, New Delhi pkjain@dms.iitd.ac.in

## Our Contributors in this Issue

#### Ms. Pooja Choudhary

Research Scholar, Faculty of Commerce, Banaras Hindu University, Uttar Pradesh pooja.bhu091@gmail.com

#### CMA (Dr.) Samyabrata Das

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, New Alipore College, West Bengal samyabrata1@gmail.com

#### Prof. S. M. Salamat Ullah Bhuiyan

Professor, Department of Marketing Studies and International Marketing, University of Chittagong, Bangladesh salamatullah@yahoo.com

#### Shri S. Srinivasan

Ph.D Scholar in Management, Department of Commerce and Financial Studies, Bharathidasan University, Tamil Nadu ssrinivasanphd87@gmail.com

#### Dr. Sudipta Ghosh

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce (UG & PG), Prabhat Kumar College, West Bengal sg\_cost@rediffmail.com

#### Shri Zapan Barua

Lecturer, Department of Marketing Studies and International Marketing, University of Chittagong, Bangladesh bzapan@gmail.com

| Contents   |     |
|--|-----|
| Capital Budgeting Practices of Corporate Enterprises<br>in India: An Empirical Study<br>Dipen Roy, Dhruba Charan Hota  | 21  |
| Corporate Ethical Reporting Practices and Pattern in<br>India<br>Laxmi Narayan Koli  | 35  |
| <b>Equity Share Price Efficiency of Indian Banking Sector</b><br>M. Babu, S.Srinivasan   | 51  |
| Financial Performance of Software Industry in India :<br>A Study in the Context of Profitability<br>Lalit Gupta, P.K. Jain   | 61  |
| Foreign Direct Investment in Indian Scenario : An<br>Empirical Study of Service Sector<br>Arindam Banerjee   | 83  |
| <b>Higher Education in Private Universities of Bangladesh:</b><br><b>Emergence, Reality and Policy Guidelines</b><br>S. M. Salamat Ullah Bhuiyan, H.M. Kamrul Hassan, Zapan<br>Barua | 97  |
| Impact of Liberalization Process on Growth, Instability,<br>Extent of Diversification and Total Factor Productivity<br>Growth of the Agricultural Sector<br>Madhumita Sen Gupta      | 109 |
| <b>India's Standing in Global Life Insurance Market : A<br/>Brief Analysis</b><br>Pooja Choudhary  | 133 |

| <ul> <li>Inflation Trends in BRICS Nations</li> <li>Badar Alam Iqbal, Luciana Aparecida Bastos, Nayyar Rahman</li> <li>Long Term Price Momentum, Early, and Late Strategies in Indian Market Stock Returns</li> <li>Martin Bernard, Malabika Deo</li> <li>Performance of Indian Mutual Funds: An Empirical Study of Select Equity Linked Saving Schemes</li> <li>Samyabrata Das</li> <li>Performance of Manufacturing Industries in West</li> </ul> | 145<br>163 |
|---|------------|
| Long Term Price Momentum, Early, and Late<br>Strategies in Indian Market Stock Returns<br>Martin Bernard, Malabika Deo<br>Performance of Indian Mutual Funds: An Empirical<br>Study of Select Equity Linked Saving Schemes<br>Samyabrata Das  | 163        |
| Performance of Indian Mutual Funds: An Empirical<br>Study of Select Equity Linked Saving Schemes<br>Samyabrata Das<br>Performance of Manufacturing Industries in West   |            |
| Performance of Manufacturing Industries in West   | 175        |
| <b>Bengal During 1981 - 1998: An Empirical Study</b><br>Baisakhi Bardhan  | 187        |
| <b>Returns on Shareholders Equity of Select Industries<br/>in Liberal Economic Scenario: Empirical Evidence<br/>from India</b><br>Sudipta Ghosh   | 195        |
| Study of Balanced Scorecard as Performance<br>Management System for Profit Maximization in<br>Automobile, IT and Engineering Industry<br>Ashok Joshi, Ashish P Thatte   | 211        |





#### Abstract

Capital budgeting is critically important to every corporate house operating in the era of competitive market environment. This article reports the result of a survey of capital budgeting of thirty companies listed on NSE. The results show that today nearly 83 percent of corporate houses use sophisticated DCF methods for economic evaluation of their investment proposals. It also shows that corporate houses today instead of relying on the theoretical superiority of a single, they use multiple methods and combine science with practical business prudence to be sure that the related investment proposal is safe to the company. Financing and capital budgeting decisions, being interlinked and inter-dependent, have been simultaneously studied in this paper to trace the presence of any link between sources of finance and choice of appraisal methods. The study also reveals that the corporate houses today give considerable emphasis on corporate strategy as a decision variable in choosing a longterm investment proposal.

#### **Keywords**

Capital Budgeting, Discounted Cash Flow Analysis, Strategic Investments, Financing Decision, Liquidity.

## Dipen Roy Dhruba Charan Hota

FARCH BULLETIN

#### 1. Introduction

Future growth prospect and survival of a corporate house depend, to a large extent, upon the success of its capital budgeting decision-making, where the decision-makers' greatest emphasis is on economic evaluation of a long-term investment proposal. Acceptance of many important projects such as investments in new business opportunities, renovation, replacement, technology up-gradation, etc. depends upon the economic clearance after rigorous financial and technical appraisal.

To keep pace with growth and fight competition in the current framework of competitive market environment, capital budgeting is critically important to every corporate house. If a corporate house makes correct capital budgeting decision in conformity with its strategic outlook, stability and growth eventually make the firm powerful and enable it to compete with rival firms. A good decision can lay the foundation for future success and pave the way towards increasing production, sales and profitability, while a wrong decision can create an unnoticed hole in its purse and lead to an eventual collapse and liquidation. Thus, taking a correct capital budgeting decision is regarded to be an important pillar for fighting competition and running the business effectively.





Since substantial irreversible expenditures are associated with the capital budgeting decisions, rigorous methods and analytical tools are to be used for arriving at the correct decision. However, to face with the changes taking place in product and financial markets, old methods are getting continuously reviewed and updated. Given the forces operating in the market place, the relevant question is 'do the companies follow scientific rules and methods of capital budgeting in India?' This paper has been taken up to find answer to this question.

#### 2. Quantitative Methods of Investment Appraisal

There are a number of methods for quantitative appraisal of investment proposals. Depending on the methodologies involved with them, the methods have been broadly classified into two categories, viz., DCF (Discounted Cash Flow) methods and Non-DCF methods. Methods like Internal Rate of Return (IRR), Modified Internal Rate of Return (MIRR), Net Present Value (NPV) and Net Terminal Value (NTV) belong to DCF category. On the other hand, Payback Period and Accounting Rate of Return (ARR) fall under Non-DCF category. The use of more advanced versions like MIRR and NTV is almost negligible (Patel B. M., 2000). Very recent research findings [i.e., Graham and Harvey (2002); George Kester and Geraldine Robbins (2011)] show that Financial Executives in the practical field use four methods such as IRR, NPV, Payback Period and ARR.

Focus of Payback Period is early recovery of invested sum, which results in strengthening the liquidity position of the firm. IRR represents the highest return an investment can generate and NPV gives the quantum of absolute value addition. It shows each of the methods is designed to measure a specific feature of an investment, not all features together.

#### 3. Literature Review

In India the credit of first published study in the area of capital budgeting decision-making in corporate sector goes to Porwal L S (1976). In early seventies of last century he noticed prevalence of traditional appraisal methods in quantitative evaluation of investment proposals. He observed the largest number of firms to rely on Payback Period method, which is not theoretically recognised to be a sound method for project evaluation. From a study of a small sample of fourteen large Indian firms, Pandey I M (1989) arrived at almost similar results. His findings confirmed that the majority of the companies were still using Payback Period as the primary method for evaluation of projects. In addition to this, he also reported that about two-thirds of the companies using Payback Period method were simultaneously using Internal Rate of Return (IRR) as the secondary method. From a study of 64 firms of different sizes Shivaswamy M (1996) obtained almost same results once again.

However, very recent studies report a better trend consistent with modern theories of quantitative appraisal. In India the use of IRR has increased steadily. Anand Manoj (2002) found 85 % of the firms using IRR. In addition to using IRR, 67% of these companies were found to use Payback Period as a supporting second method. To the practicing managers popularity of Payback Period is still very high. Presently instead of relying on a single method, firms have developed the trend of using multiple methods to be assured about the merit of an investment proposal (Patel B M, 2000). It is interesting to observe that the sum of percentages, as shown in the column marked for Porwal's (1976) findings, is equal to 100%. But no 'column total' is 100% in respect of other studies. Due to simultaneous use of multiple methods, the sum of the percentage of popularity of various methods appears more than 100% in the studies of Prabhakar (1995) and Manoj Anand (2002). Chadwell-Hatfield et al (1997) from a study re-





| Methods of<br>Evaluation | Porwal L S<br>(1976) | Shivaswami<br>(1995) | Manoj Anand<br>(2002) | Shah Kamini<br>(2008) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| ARR                      | 38%                  | 33%                  | 34.0%                 |                       |
| Payback                  | 23%                  | 69%                  | 67.5%                 | 66.7%                 |
| NPV                      | 4%                   | 31%                  | 66.3%                 | 55.6%                 |
| IRR                      | 27%                  | 54%                  | 85.0%                 | 74.1%                 |
| Other                    | 8%                   | 14%                  | 35.0%                 | 7.4%                  |

| Table | 1: | Trend in th | e use of | Capital | l Budaetina | <b>Evaluation</b> | Technique | s in  | India |
|-------|----|-------------|----------|---------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| abie  | •• | nena m m    | C 03C 01 | Cupila  | bougening   | LVGIGGIGI         | recinique | 3 111 | maia  |

ported that 67% firms insisted that acceptable projects should have a shorter Payback Period in addition to passing NPV or IRR criterion. Table 1 reflects that for evaluation of capital projects, today the firms don't rely on using a single evaluation method. Patel B M (2000) observes that some of the Indian firms even use four to five methods before arriving at the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of an investment proposal. The findings reflect that today the firms tend to be more careful in choosing an investment proposal.

Table 1 given above reveals that since 1976 use of IRR has steadily increased in India. Its use is still increasing every day. Payback Period is the next most favoured technique. However, NPV is **not** so popularly used as IRR and Payback Period are used.

Gupta Sanjeev *et al.* (2007) conducts a study on 32 companies in Punjab. He observes that majority of the sample companies use non-discounted cash flow techniques like PBP and ARR. Only a few companies have been found to use DCF; of them very negligible number of companies were found to use NPV. The most preferred discount rate is WACC.

Shah Kamini (2008) observes that almost all the companies are using now multiple techniques for evaluating their capital budgeting proposals. The researcher also observes that the companies prefer 'IRR and NPV' to Payback period method. Interestingly she observes two different trends in choosing evaluation tools. She notes that for investing in new projects firms use IRR, PBP and NPV, while for expansion, replacement and modernization firms largely rely on Payback period method. She also notes that Sensitivity Analysis is the most important technique for risk analysis and scenario analysis as the second most important technique for this purpose.

Using a sample size of 75 companies, Gupta Divya (2013) shows that there is a positive relationship between frequency of usage of capital budgeting techniques and application of discounted cash flow techniques with the firm project size and social cost benefit analysis.

Yadav Vinod Kumar (2013) finds that in smallscale industries firms mainly use traditional payback period and Accounting Rate of Return instead of scientific evaluation methods like IRR and NPV.

#### 3A : Trends in Developed Countries

Pike and Neale (1996) from the study of top 100 UK companies observed 54% companies to use IRR, whereas use of NPV was reported as low as 33% of firms surveyed. A chronological survey of studies made in USA reveals a clear trend of gradual increase in the use of sophisticated methods. Suk, Trevor and Seung (1986) found 49% and 21% of the companies to use IRR and





NPV respectively as primary methods for project appraisal. So, combined use of sophisticated DCF methods increased to 70%, which was just 7% in 1949. Some years later Bierman (1993) found 73 out of responding 74 sampled 'Fortune 100' companies to rely on use of Discounted Cash Flow methods. Especially IRR was found preferred to NPV. However, Payback period still remained as a very popular secondary method.

Studying a sample of 300 UK companies Arnold Glen C. and Hatzopoulos Panos D. (2000) observes that the large UK corporations depend on modern methods of investment evaluation. They also notice prevalence of traditional, rule-ofthumb techniques, alongside DCF techniques.

Kaplan and Atkinson (2000) shows the mistakes committed in appraisal of new technology investments. They observe that as new technology is risky, the managers, at the time of appraisal, either set a very low payback period or discount the future cash inflows at an abnormally high rate of discounting, which renders future inflows redundant and less useful for evaluation purpose.

Graham and Harvey (2002) observe that the highest percentage of corporate houses in the USA to depend on the use of IRR as primary method for evaluation of long-term investment projects.

Ryan Patricia A and Ryan Glenn P. (2002) examine the methods of investment appraisal used by the Fortune 1000 companies. They find NPV as the most preferred (96%) method of appraisal followed by IRR. Side by side they find 74.5% of the companies to use Payback Period method. They observe that for handling risk the companies depend more on sensitivity analysis. Scenario analysis is found as the second best method in this process.

Brigham and Houston (2004) highlight the role of options in Capital Budgeting. According to them, along with the results of quantitative evaluation *the options values* are to be considered before making final ranking of mutually exclusive investments. They discuss various options like managerial option, re-investment option, flexibility option, etc.

From a survey of companies listed on Irish Stock Exchange George Kester and Geraldine Robbins (2011) found almost 100 percent companies to use economically justified DCF methods, such as NPV and IRR, alone or in combination with non-DCF methods such as Payback Period.

On the basis of study on Swedish companies Hartwig (2012) observes that over time the use of sophisticated methods seems to be increasing and the use of unsophisticated methods decreasing. This indicates that the theory-practice gap is gradually getting reduced. Hartwig' mainly studies compliance of accounting standards like IFRS in capital budgeting. He raises the issue that if evaluation is made on the basis of managers' personal forecasts, it cannot be counted as reliable accounting information for reporting purpose.

Though in Western countries lots of studies have been made, but in India only limited number of studies have been done. To fill this gap the study has been undertaken.

#### 4. Objective of the Study

The objective of the paper is to study capital budgeting practice of Indian corporate houses. Do the corporate houses use a single method or a combination of multiple methods? Obtaining a picture of present trend is the foremost objective of the study. The another important objective of the paper is to study financing and investment decisions simultaneously and trace if financing decision has any influence on capital budgeting decision. In addition to the above, the study has other two important objectives. These are: i)





to find if the size of investment has some role in selection of evaluation method and ii) to assess the impact of corporate strategy on capital budgeting decisions.

#### 5. Methodology

The study is basically based on primary data. The sampling frame has been defined as the companies listed on NSE. The relevant data have been collected directly from the sample companies through questionnaires. The questionnaire contains objective questions of multiple-choice type. Questions in respect of size of investment, choice of appraisal method, importance assigned to corporate strategy, sources of fund for financing the investment proposal, etc., have been included in the questionnaire.

At the pilot stage finance executives of two selected companies were visited with the questionnaire. On the basis of suggestions received from them, the questionnaire has been further modified. Keeping in mind the low response level as experienced by previous researchers, the questionnaires were sent to around 230 randomly selected companies, of which only 4 companies respond in the first round. After several reminders responses from additional 11 companies were obtained which made total responses equal to 15. Since the sample size still remained very low, personal visits were arranged. This helped in obtaining responses from another 16 companies. So total number of companies, from which responses were received, increased to 31. Since one of the responding companies, reported that it would act according to suggestions received from consultancy firm, the questionnaire received from the company was dropped and excluded from analysis. This makes total valid responses equal to 30 only.

Thus, the analysis of the study is based on questionnaire responses obtained from 30 companies.

The data being used in this study are original and made available to the investigators on the condition that information presented in the questionnaire will be strictly used for academic purpose and none of the information given by them will be divulged publicly. Statistical tools like histogram, z -test,  $\chi^2$  test and sign test have been used in appropriate cases for arriving at valid conclusions scientifically.

#### 6. Hypothesis

In the light of the 'theories of financial management' and 'subsequent survey of literature' following hypotheses have been developed. Data analysis has been made in separate paragraphs in conformity with hypotheses to be tested. The alternative hypotheses to be tested along with the corresponding null hypotheses have been given below. In each case significance level to be used for testing is fixed at 5%.

**Hypothesis 1:**  $H_0$ : Companies use only single method to arrive at the final investment decision.  $H_1$ : As a single method cannot make comprehensive evaluation of the various parameters of an investment proposal, the firms have reason to use multiple methods for economic appraisal of investment proposals.

**Hypothesis 2:**  $H_0$ : No significant shift has taken place in the trend of using DCF methods.  $H_1$ : Significant shift has taken place in the trend of using DCF methods.

**Hypothesis 3:**  $H_0$ : Choice of appraisal method is not associated with the source of finance.  $H_1$ : Choice of appraisal method is associated with the sources of financing

**Hypothesis 4:** H<sub>0</sub>: Choice of appraisal method is not associated with the size of investment. H<sub>1</sub>: Choice of Evaluation method is associated with the size of investment





#### 7. Data Analysis and Presentation

Data collection has been made during last five years, from 2009 to 2013. The data regarding use of appraisal methods by 30 companies have been initially compiled in Table 1. It shows that out of 30 companies surveyed 9 companies use IRR and Payback Period simultaneously. Another eight companies, in addition to using IRR and Payback period simultaneously, use NPV method too as an additional method to be more secured in arriving at the correct decision. That is, these latter 8 companies use three methods, viz., NPV, IRR and Payback Period simultaneously. Number of companies using only DCF method alone is just three; two of them are using IRR and one is using NPV. Therefore, though the Table 1 reflects that in aggregate 28 companies use sophisticated DCF methods: but it does not confirm that the corporate houses substituted DCF method for non-DCF traditional methods. Use of non-DCF methods is still prevalent. The corporate houses use DCF and non-DCF methods simultaneously to cover appraisal of the maximum number of parameters of an investment, as possible.

#### Table 2: Number of Companies and Appraisal Methods Used

| Appraisal Methods<br>Used | Number of firms |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| IRR, Payback Period       | 9               |
| IRR, NPV, Payback         | 8               |
| RR, NPV                   | 2               |
| IRR, NPV, Payback,<br>ARR | 3               |
| IRR                       | 3               |
| NPV, Payback period       | 2               |
| NPV                       | 1               |
| Payback period            | 2               |
| ARR                       | 0               |
| Total Number of Firms     | 30              |

Only two companies report that they use only non-DCF Payback Period method alone. Data reveals that the firms place greater reliance on combined use of DCF and non-DCF methods to avoid the risk of taking a wrong decision.

#### 7.1: Simultaneous Use of Multiple Methods

Out of the 30 firms surveyed, only six firms have been found to use a single method for project appraisal. Remaining 24 companies use multiple methods for appraisal of their project proposals. Three companies have been found to use four methods simultaneously to arrive at their final decision. Thirteen companies have been found to use 2 methods, while eight companies have been found to use three methods. The data regarding use of multiple methods have been shown in Fig. 1 with the help of histogram.

Use of multiple methods can be explained as an attempt to taking into account the different attributes of an investment. From Table 1 it is observed that vast majority of the companies use Payback Period method in addition to DCF methods like IRR and NPV. It indicates that majority of the companies insist on liquidity in addition to profitability. Since 80% companies use multiple methods, the alternative hypothesis that Indian Companies use multiple methods for investment appraisal is accepted. Statistical validity of the inference has been established through a non-parametric sign test as given below. Firms using multiple methods are assigned plus sign and those using single method are assigned negative sign.

Number of Plus (+) sign, X = 24Number of Minus (-) sign = 6 Sample size, n = 30H<sub>0</sub>: Probability of + sign is equal to 0.5, Symbolically, H<sub>0</sub>: P(+) = 0.50 H<sub>1</sub>: Probability of + sign is greater than 0.5,







Fig. 1: The Trend of Using Multiple Evaluation Methods

Symbolically,  $H_1$ : P(+) > 0.50

$$Z = \frac{X - np}{\sqrt{npq}}$$
(I)  
$$Z = \frac{24 - 30(0.5)}{\sqrt{30 \times 0.5 \times 0.5}} = 3.28 > 1.645$$

For right-tailed test 5% Critical Value of Z = 1.645

Since computed Z is greater than critical value (1.645), the alternative hypothesis that the firms depend on the use of multiple methods for evaluation of projects has been accepted.

# 7.2: Shifting Trends towards Scientific Methods

Data reveal that the highest percentage of companies uses IRR. The vast majority of the companies have been found to use IRR. The second equally important method is Payback Period. With different combinations of other methods, 24 companies have been found to use Payback Period. In percentage terms popularity of both IRR and Payback are 83% and 80% respectively. Compared to IRR, popularity of NPV to Indian companies is as low as 53% only.

#### Table 3: Percentage of Firms using Various Appraisal Method

| Methods            | Number of<br>Firms Using | Percentage of<br>Users |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| IRR                | 25                       | 83%                    |
| Payback            | 24                       | 80%                    |
| NPV                | 16                       | 53%                    |
| ARR                | 3                        | 10%                    |
| DCF = NPV<br>+ IRR | 28                       | 93%                    |

Only 16 companies indicate that they use NPV method. The data regarding popularity of various methods have been shown in Table 2. Popularity of the methods in percentage terms have also been shown by a histogram as shown in Fig. 2.

If we classify the data given in Table 1 in terms users of DCF and non-DCF methods, we find that only 2 companies do not use any DCF method. That is, out of 30 companies, 28 companies use DCF methods, alone or in combination with other DCF and/or non-DCF methods. That is, overall 93% companies use sophisticated DCF methods. If this outcome is compared with the findings of Porwal (1976), which **was just 31%**, the difference





appears highly significant. It can be confidently concluded that significant shift has taken place in respect of the use of DCF methods. Data gathered are quite enough to arrive at the conclusion. Following statistical test has been made to make the inference statistically valid.

 $p_1$  = proportion of DCF users as per Porwal's study = 0.31

 $n_1 = \text{sample size in Porwal's study} = 45$ 

 $p_2$  = proportion of DCF users as per present study = 0.93

 $n_2$  = sample size in the present study = 30

$$H_0: P_2 = P_1$$
  
 $H_1: P_2 > P_1$ 

$$Z = \frac{p_2 - p_1}{SE(p_2 - p_1)}$$
(II)  
=  $\frac{0.93 - 0.31}{.116} = 5.34 > 1.645$ 

The test result supports alternative hypothesis. It can be safely concluded that since 1976 a significant shift has taken place in favour of using sophisticated DCF methods in capital bud-

geting. Compared to findings of Anand Manoj (2002), the difference is not significant. It leads to a conclusion that the major shift towards use of scientific methods has taken place in the 90s of the last century, when major liberalization programmes were launched. However, the trend of using scientific methods what was set in 1990s is still being carried on in 21<sup>st</sup> century with some marginal improvements.

#### 7.3: Relationship between Method of Financing and Choice of Appraisal Method

In the questionnaire an effort was made for obtaining input regarding means of financing the investment proposals. Equity capital mobilised from new issue can be good source of financing new projects. However, following sub-prime crisis in 2008, as condition of capital market appeared unattractive, initial public offer in the primary market was almost negligible. The companies surveyed had no history of raising equity capital from primary market during the period of survey. The financing alternatives that were available to





them were confined to borrowing and retained earnings only. Data so obtained from the companies in respect of their mode of financing has been tabulated below:

#### Table 4: Modes of Financing

| Modes of Financing                   | Number of<br>Companies |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 100% Loan Financing                  | 2                      |
| Internal Fund Plus Loan<br>Financing | 23                     |
| 100% Internal Fund                   | 5                      |

Table 4 shows that 25 firms (83%) finance the project proposals through loan financing. Of them 7% of the companies use 100% loan financing and remaining 76% companies combine internal fund with borrowing to finance the investments. These 25 firms taking loan, in part or fully, use multiple methods for economic evaluation of project proposals. 22 out of 25 borrowing firms report that they use IRR, alone or in combination with other methods, while only 12 firms report that they use NPV, alone or in combination with other methods.:

#### Table.5: Appraisal Method Used by Firms Financing Investment through Borrowing

|                 | IRR | NPV | Total |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Number of Firms | 22  | 12  | 25    |
| Percentage (%)  | 88% | 48% |       |

It reflects that in the present industrial scenario, majority of the companies use loan capital for financing their investment proposals. While a firm is going to borrow, it is essential on the part of the companies to know at what highest rate they can make a fresh borrowing. In other words, to them it is essential to know if the project return will be more than the rate of borrowing. To meet this requirement IRR acts as a good yardstick. It appears from the above table that there is a relationship between the selection of evaluation method and mode of financing the investment. Firms taking loan from market have reason to use IRR instead of NPV. This is statistically confirmed through Chi-square test at 5% level of significance.

Computed  $\chi^2$  = 7.24 > Critical Value, 3.84 with 1 d. f.

In the backdrop of liberalization our findings appear relevant in the light of Leverage Aggressive Hypothesis (Brander and Lewis, 1986; Maksimovic, 1986), which states that as competitive situation and rivalry become dominant in market, firms use cheaper loan financing to fight product-market competition.

The statistical result informs that choice of appraisal method is not independent of method of financing. According to opinion of finance executives, IRR gives more transparent idea about the outcome of a financial decision. IRR is the most popular method of investment evaluation because of its clarity. Ross, Westerfield and Jordan (2002) correctly highlight this with a simple instance. According to them, a finance executive may simply report to the board of directors that new investment will fetch 20% return (given, IRR = 20%). This may somehow seem simpler than telling that at 10% discount rate the investment has the potential of producing NPV of certain amount, viz., \$250 million.

Theoretically neither IRR is better than NPV, nor NPV' is better than 'IRR'. There is no rational reason behind identifying one of them as better, because both the measures are obtained from the same valuation equation. However, in the light of practical usefulness, IRR can be treated to be better than NPV. Very recent findings reflect this truth. See Table 6 as given below:





| Serial<br>No. | Author   | Year | Most preferred<br>Method |
|---------------|--|------|--------------------------|
| 1             | Porwal L S (1976)  | 1976 | ARR                      |
| 2             | Wong, Farragher and Leung (1987)   | 1987 | PBP , ARR                |
| 3             | Prabhakara Babu & Sharma A (1996)  | 1996 | IRR                      |
| 4             | Colin Drury and Mike Tayles (1996)   | 1996 | IRR                      |
| 5             | Kester, George W & Chong Tsui Kai (1996)                                   | 1996 | IRR, PBP                 |
| 6             | Graham and Harvey (2001)   | 2001 | IRR, NPV                 |
| 7             | Cooper William D., Morgan Robert G.,Regman<br>Alonzo, Smith Margart (2001) | 2001 | IRR                      |
| 8             | Ryan Patricia A and Ryan Glenn P. 2002                                     | 2002 | NPV                      |
| 9             | Anand Manoj (2002)   | 2002 | IRR                      |
| 10            | Hogaboam, Liliya S. and Shook S R. (2004)                                  | 2004 | IRR                      |
| 11            | Truong G., Partington and Peat M. 2006                                     | 2006 | NPV, PBP                 |
| 12            | Shah Kamini (2008)   | 2008 | IRR, NPV                 |

| Table 6: The | <b>Recent Trend</b> | l Showina | Popularity | of IRR  |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------|
|              |                     | ••••••    |            | ••••••• |

Source: Shah Kamini (2008), edited partly to accommodate the recent findings only.

Note; PBP = Payback Period, IRR = Internal Rate of Return, NPV = Net Present Value

#### 7.4: Relationship between Selection of Appraisal Method and Size of Investment

In this paragraph the relationship between selection of Appraisal Method and Size of Investment has been examined. In the questionnaire a question asking information regarding size of investment was listed. Companies were asked to give data on the sizes of their capital budgets in the last three years (2010 – 2013). Five companies out of 30 companies surveyed abstain from giving input on this issue. On the basis of the responses received from remaining 25 companies, size of average investment of each company was determined. Then on the basis of size of investments the companies have been grouped into two categories, one group having average investment below Rs 500 million and another group having investment above Rs 500 million. Use of various methods by these two groups of companies has been enlisted in the table below:

#### Table 7: Size of Investment and Method of Appraisal

| Appraisal<br>Method | X< Rs 500<br>ml | X> Rs 500<br>ml | Total |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| IRR                 | 12              | 11              | 23    |
| NPV                 | 5               | 10              | 15    |
| PBP                 | 11              | 10              | 21    |
| Total               | 28              | 31              | 59    |

Note: Figures indicate number of companies using respective methods

To test existence of association between size of investment and choice of appraisal method





chi-square test has been conducted. This test corresponds to 4<sup>th</sup> Hypothesis. Computed chisquare is less than corresponding critical value 5.99 at 5% level of significance with 2 'd. f.'. It leads us to infer that there is no association between sizes of investment and choice of appraisal method.

$$\chi^2 = 1.60 < 5.99$$

Findings of this test lead us to draw an inference that combined use of scientific DCF methods with traditional Payback method has become the industry norm. Almost all firms, except one or two cases, use combination of methods to be sure that selected project satisfies both the parameters of profitability and liquidity simultaneously. Firms use scientific methods regardless of the size of investment. This leads us to infer that firms always act wisely without bothering for size of investment.

# 7.5: Strategic Priority and Selection of Investment

Now in the ongoing era, environment being more complex and dynamic, management executives have begun to engage in strategic thinking (Donald R Schimincke, 1999). They like to go ahead with strategies to manage their business in 21<sup>st</sup> century (Boone & Kurtz, 1992). The factors connected with strategic analysis are competition, market share, focus, core-competence, etc.

Majority of capital budgeting proposals emerge from the requirement of the corporate strategy. Thus any study of capital budgeting, without studying 'the influence of strategic considerations on it' can be regarded to be incomplete. To fill this gap, the respondents were asked what priority they assign to corporate strategy at the time of taking capital budgeting decision. Respondents were asked to record their observations on a five point scale, viz., highest priority, high priority, moderate priority, low priority and no priority.

Out of 30 companies surveyed 14 companies simultaneously assign the highest priority to strategic considerations and profitability. Ten firms indicate that at time of formulating capital budgeting plan they keep watch on the competitors' modernization plan with highest priority. Since fighting competition is a part of strategy, total 24 (i.e., 14 +10) firms, out of 30 surveyed, are found to link their capital budgeting decision with strategic perspective with highest priority. The results lead to the inference that today while companies are striving for greater profitability they are not doing so at the cost of their strategy. Rather, they are keeping their strategies in the forefront every time when capital budgeting proposals are designed and evaluated. They never lose the road-map of their corporate strategy even when they are striving for achieving the given profit target.

#### 8. Concluding Observations

Corporate houses today reflect more maturity in their capital budgeting decision-making than what they were in the last century. Instead of relying on a single theoretically sound DCF method, corporate houses today combine theory with practical considerations of everyday business. Since a single evaluation model cannot take care of all parameters of an investment plan, the corporate houses today depend more on the use of multiple methods. IRR has been found to be the most preferred method. This finding is similar to recent findings reported in various research papers. The companies use theoretically sophisticated DCF methods in conjunction with traditional Payback Period. They want to be sure about liquidity as well as profitability before committing any fund to an investment plan. These findings reflect that CFOs of Indian corporate houses today are more practical and matured in combining theory with practice.





Findings reveal that there exists a relationship i of Applied Business Research, pp.95-104. between sources of finance and methods of appraisal. Firms depending on loan make greater use of IRR method than NPV. Our findings are in conformity with the propositions of Leverage Aggressive Hypothesis. However, no relationship exists between size of investment and choice of appraisal method. It leads us to conclude that the firms are equally serious even when fund involved with an investment plan is low. Finally, the study confirms that now in the ongoing era, at the time of choosing a long-term investment proposal the companies assign the highest priority to their corporate strategy even when they are under the compulsion to pursue a given profitability target.

#### References

Anand Manoj (2002): Corporate Finance Practices in India: A Survey, Vikalpa, Vol. 27, No. 4.

Arnold Glen C. and Hatzopoulos Panos D.(2000): The theory-practice gap in capital budgeting: evidence from the United Kingdom, Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 27(5) & (6), June/July 2000, 0306-686X, pp. 603-626.

Bierman (1993): Capital Budgeting in 1992: A Survey, Financial Management, Vol. 22 No. 1 pp.13-28.

Boone & Kurtz (1992): Management, McGraw Hill Inc, New York. p. 25.

Brander, James A and Lewis, Tracy R (1986): Oligopoly and Financial Structure: the Limited Liability Effect" American Economic Review, vol.76, pp. 956-70.

Brigham Eugene F and Houston Joel F (2004): Fundamentals of Financial Management, Thomson, South-Western, USA, pp. 453-473.

Chandwell-Hatfield Patricia, Goitein Bernard, Horvath Philip, Webster Allen (1997): Financial Criteria, Capital Budgeting Techniques and Risk Analysis of Manufacturing Firms, Journal

Colin Drury and Mike Tayles (1996) UK capital budgeting practices: some additional survey evidence, European journal of finance 2, pp 371-388.

Cooper William D., Morgan Robert G., et al (2001) Capital budgeting models: Theory Vs. Practice; Business Forum, 2001, Vol. 26, Nos. 1,2, pp. 15-19.

Donald R Schimincke (1999): Strategic Thinking: A Perspective for Success, Management Review, August, pp. 16-19.

Georae Kester and Geraldine Robbins (2011): Capital Budgeting Practices of Listed Irish Companies, Insights from CFOs on their investment appraisal techniques. Accountancy Ireland, February, Vol. 43, No. 1 pp. 28-30.

Graham and Harvey (2002): How do CFOs make Capital Budgeting and Capital Structure Decisions? Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, Vol. 15 No. 1, Spring.

Gupta Sanjeev, Batra Roopali and Sharma Manisha,"Capital Budgeting Practices in Punjab-based Companies, The ICFAI Journal of Applied Finance, February 2007, Vol. 13, No.2, pp. 57-70.

Gupta Divya (2013): Impact of Project size and social Cost Benefit Analysis on Capital Budgeting Decision of Indian Firms, Indian Journal of Finance, Vol. 7, No. 10, pp. 45-53.

Hartwig, F. 2012. Four Papers on Top Management's Capital Budgeting and Accounting Choices in Practice Företagsekonomiska institutionen. Doctoral thesis / Företagsekonomiska institutionen, Uppsala universitet 153 Uppsala.

Hogaboam, Liliya S. and Shook S R. (2004): Capital budgeting practices in the U.S. forest products industry: A reappraisal, Forest Products Journal, December 2004, Vol.54, No. 12, pp 149-158.

Kaplan Robert S and Atkinson Anthony A (2000): Justifying Investment in New Technology,





in Advanced Management Accounting, Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, pp. 473-492.

Kester, George W & Chong Tsui Kai (1996): Capital budgeting practices of listed firms in Singapore, Singapore Management Review, pp 9-23.

Maksimovic, Vojislav (1986): Optimal Capital Structure in Oligopolies, Ph.D. dissertation, Harvard University.

Pandey I M (1989): Capital Budgeting Practices of Indian Companies, MDI Management Journal, Vol.2, No. 1 pp. 36-48.

Patel B.M. (2000): Project Management, Vikash Publishing House, New Delhi.

Pike and Neale (1996): 'A Longitudinal Survey of Capital Budgeting Practices' in Corporate Finance and Investment: Decisions and Strategies, PHI, pp. 172-180.

Porwal L S (1976): Capital Budgeting in India, Sultan Chand, New Delhi, 1976.

Prabhakara Babu & Sharma A (1996): Capital budgeting Practices in Indian Industry, ASCI Journal of Management, Volume 25, 1996 downloaded from address http://www.journal. asci.org.in/vol.25(1996).v25\_1\_pra.htm.

Ross, Westerfield and Jordan (2002): Fundamentals of Corporate Finance, Tata Mc Graw Hill, New Delhi, p.296.

Ryan Patricia A and Ryan Glenn P. 2002: Capital Budgeting Practices of the Fortune 1000: How Have Things Changed?, Journal of Business and Management, Volume 8, Number 4, p.355-65.

Shah Kamini (2008): A Study of Corporate Capital Budgeting Practices of Selected Companies in India, Ph. D. Thesis submitted to Sardar Sardar Patel University.

Shivaswamy M.K.(2000): Capital Expenditure decision Making in India ; A survey of practices, Indian Accounting Review, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 46-54.

Suk H. Kim, Trevor Crick, and Seung H. Kim (1988): 'Do Executives Practice What Academicians Preach ? Management Accounting, November 1988, pp. 42-52.

Truong G., Partington and Peat M. 2006: "Cost of Capital Estimation and Capital Budgeting practice in Australia," Available from.

Wong, Farragher and Leung (1987): Capital Investment Practices: A Survey of Large Corporations in Malaysia, Singapore and Hong Kong, Asia Pacific Journal of Management, pp 112-123.

Yadav Vinod Kumar (2013) Capital Budgeting in Small-Scale Industries, Indian Journal of Finance, Vol. 7, No. 10, pp. 5-13




# Corporate Ethical Reporting Practices and Pattern in India

### Abstract

The business has the legal and moral responsibility to disclose before the public, the facts and figures of their conducts in business. It has to notify the achievements, profits liabilities, assets etc. before the public from time to time. The paper highlights the meaning, concept, framework and reporting methods and practices of the ethical accounting in Indian Corporate sectors.

### **Keywords**

Corporate Ethics, Ethical Accounting, Ethical Reporting, Corporate Governance.

A debate is now converging in favour of ethics involve important aspects of society, business, press, media, administration, politics, institutions, family and personal life, we find exposure of unethical practices and criticism which is relevant to holders of high political office and also to various corporate houses. The exposure comes from a variety of sources like, the press, Public, Central Bureau of Investigation, Controller and Auditor General of India, Controller and vigilance of commission and opposition politicians. Ethics carry importance from the point of view of customers, shareholders, lenders, dealers and suppliers and all of whom from part of the corps of external business stakeholders. Ethics towards customers demand truth in advertising

### Laxmi Narayan Koli

and promotion, delivering on promises, redressal of complaints and meeting appropriate expectations of quality, price, delivery, warranties and guarantee, etc. ahead of the consumer protection law.

# What is Ethical Accounting and Reporting?

Ethical Accounting- In a way, ethical accounting is an extension of social accounting. It is one of the important element of accounting information bearing on the problems and issues of internal business control. Social accounting is not able to meet information needs about the structure of social, moral and cultural values of a business. It is important to develop an accounting system by which ethical information may be developed and good and bed (evils) values determined. Ethical accounting is the process of ascertaining virtue (benefit) and evils from the ethical (social and moral) activities. It measures the social and moral values of an enterprise. It is an internal and external aspect of the organization.

Hence an ethical accounting can be defined as follows-

"Ethical accounting is related with measurement of social and moral values"

"Ethical accounting is concerned with ethical cost- benefit ascertainment of a business firm."





Ethical accounting is concerned with the measurement and disclosure of costs and benefits to the public as well as individual as a result of operating activities of a business enterprises. Thus ethical accounting measures ethical cost (evils) and ethical benefits (good) as a result of business activities for communication to various groups both within and outside the business. It is a rational assessment of business behavior, standards, moral and social values and decisions and reporting on some meaningful domain of business enterprises activities that have social and moral impact. It aims at measuring (either in monetary or non-monetary units) adverse and beneficial effects of such activities both on the firm and or those affected by the companies.

Ethical Reporting- An ethical reporting is based on good and bad activities performed by the an organization during the whole financial year. An ethical and independent reporting would be motivated by a desire to tell the truth and defend right, to defend the genuine moral order, rather than get revenge for past wrongs. The Company working in such a system would be concerned with the triumph of truth, as a force that can free people and enlarge the realm of justice, rather than with the triumph of the injured self at the expense of other people.

In its ideal state, this kind of reporting is a form of non-neurotic behavior. It is the expression of a mature, good and impartial personality, transparency, punctuality, able to use its considerable powers to grow and improve the world. A company who fits this description can't help but expose wrong – he does so merely by honestly describing the untruth he sees around him.







An ethical reporting offers its own set of pleasures that are expression's of integrity, self-esteem, independence and maturity, intermixed with the experiences of childhood. There is an adult pleasure in telling the truth that others have reason to hide, an adult satisfaction in seeing the crooks go to jail or vacate their offices in disgrace, an adult pleasure in displaying one's talents or helping other people take power over their lives, a pleasure in being admired for one's good work, or in a story well told or a phrase well turned, a satisfaction in being a force for good or merely a force.

Hence an ethical reporting covers all aspects of integrity, self esteem, honesty and transperant systems.

It may be noted that ethical accounting is not the application of a new set of accounting principles or practices. It is the application of the same basic accounting principles for measuring and disclosing the extent, to which a business enterprise has met its moral responsibility.

### Accounting Rules for Ethical or Non Ethical Transactions-

Accounting Rules for Recording Business Ethical Transaction:

► Debit to all unethical transaction or activities.

Credit to all ethical activities

### **Objective of Ethical Accounting**

The objective of ethical accounting can be as follows-

► Ethical accounting aims at identifying and measuring the periodic net social and moral contribution of enterprises. This includes the aggregate of net benefits to the owner's of busi-

ness, to the customers, to the employees, to the creditors, to the government, to the competitive institutions, to the local community and to the national interest.

► Ethical accounting helps in determining whether the business's activities policies and strategies are good for the maintaining and developing ethical values

► Ethical accounting aims to make available information of a business firm's activities, decisions, standards, values and behavior which are concerned with human aspect.

### Concepts of Ethical Accounting

Ethical accounting is based on the following concept-

► The inner contents of ethical accounting is good wishes, good opinion, and good expectations. It is moral science which differentiates between good (as a ethical benefits) and evil (as an ethical cost), right and wrong actions of business.

► Ethical accounting is based on universal values, in other words the conduct of business should be based on universal values. He should act with sincerity, mutual good and confidence. All his acts should be based on the accepted, principles of ethics.

► Ethical accounting highlights moral responsibility of the business in respect to accept proper and improper things where it has not legal binding. The business accepts the moral responsibility only by its own will, and not by any force.

► Ethical accounting is different from accounting for social responsibility, mainly social responsibility relates to the policies and functions of an enterprise, whereas the ethical accounting to the conduct and behavior of businessmen





business and its policies are influenced by the business ethics.

Ethical accounting measures those activities, decisions and behaviors which are concerned with human aspect. It is the function of the business. It is the function of the ethical accounting to reporting those decisions to customers, owners of business, government, society, competitors and others on good or bad, harmful or beneficial acts of business and proper or improper conducts of business.

but it is a fact that social responsibility of the i provide necessary quantitative and qualitative information to the public about ethical standard in business. For this purposes it draws out information from business ethics.

> Walton writes that business ethics is related with truth and justice; and it has various components like expectations of society, healthy competition, advertising, public relations, social responsibility, consumer freedom and good behavior. People expects that all the business activities and decisions must be aimed at ethical arounds. But in practice, it finds that business is involved in unethical activities. Many of its activities are objectionable, exploitative and loss giving to the people. Many of its decisions are violation to principles of ethics.

### Scope of Ethical Accounting

The main concern of ethical accounting is to







### **Ethical Accounting and Reporting**

# To Measure the impact of these activities is called Ethical Accounting and such information communicated in a prescribed format to the stakeholders of the company is known ethical reporting.

The scope of ethical accounting is dynamic. It is so because ethical standards and issues are based on the existing social-moral political and economic systems which are in dynamic in nature. Hence, its scope in quite vast and it includes within its fold almost all aspects of business ethics. However, the following areas may rightly be pointed out as lying within the scope of ethical accounting

- Public awareness programme
- Environment Management

 Priority in employment to the SC/ST candidates

- Donation to the weaker section
- Timely repayment of loans
- ► Timely payment of wages and salary
- Social welfare

► Child and women development programme

 Contribution in National and international cooperation

- Control on business corruption
- Business discipline

 Development and maintain of social and moral values

- ► Contribution in national income
- Respect of national values
- Honesty in the business

- ► Fair advertising
- Contribution in women empowerment

### Principle of ethics and its threats

The following are fundamental principles need to be adhered with for behaving in an ethical manner:

a. Principle of integrity: The dictionary meaning of the term "Integrity" means moral excellence or honesty. While discharging the duties, the accounting and finance professional should maintained highest integrity and straight forwardness. They should also avoid involvement in activities that impair the goodwill of the business and report both pros and cons of the organization.

**b. Principle of objectivity:** According to this principle, the accountant should report fairly and transparently. He should not allow any bias, conflicting interests or undue influence of financial judgment.

c. Principle of confidentiality: This principle requires that accounting and finance professional should refrain from disclosing confidential information relating to their work. However, they can disclose the same to their subordinates with care or under legal obligation or operation of statutory ruling.

d. Principle of professional competence: According to this principle, the accounting and finance professional should update their knowledge base according to the current and





areas.

e. Principle of professional behaviour: Accounting and finance professionals should always comply with all laws and regulation applicable to their area of work and avoid such action that results in disrespect their professional ethics.

### Corporate Governance and Ethics

Corporate governance is a concept, rather than an individual instrument. It includes debate on the appropriate management and control structures of a company. It includes the rules relating to the power relations between owners, the board of directors, management and the stakeholders such as employees, suppliers, customers as well as the public at large.

Corporations around the world are increasing recognizing that sustained growth of their organization requires cooperation of all stakeholders, which requires adherence to the best corporate governance practices. In this regard, the management needs to act as trustees of the shareholders at large and prevent asymmetry of benefits between various sections of shareholders, especially between the owner-managers and the rest of the shareholders.

In India, corporate governance initiatives have been undertaken by the Ministry of of Corporate Affairs (MCA) and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). The first formal regulatory framework for listed companies specifically for corporate governance was established by the SEBI in February 2000, following the recommendations of Kumarmangalam Birla Committee Report. It was enshrined as Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement. Further, SEBI is maintaining the standards of corporate governance through other laws like the Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956; Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992; and Depositories Act, 1996.

contemporary developments in the related . Corporate governance is about commitment to values, about ethical business conduct and about making a distinction between personal and corporate funds in the management of a company. Ethical dilemmas arise from conflicting interests of the parties involved. In this regard, managers make decisions based on a set of principles influenced by the values, context and culture of the organization. Ethical leadership is good for business as the organization is seen to conduct its business in line with the expectations of all stakeholders.

> The aim of "Good Corporate Governance" is to ensure commitment of the board in managing the company in a transparent manner for maximizing long-term value of the company for its shareholders and all other partners. It integrates all the participants involved in a process, which is economic, and at the same time social.

> Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement to the Indian stock exchange comes into effect from 31 December 2005. It has been formulated for the improvement of corporate governance in all listed companies. In corporate hierarchy two types of managements are envisaged:

1. companies managed by Board of Directors: and

2. those by a Managing Director, whole-time director or manager subject to the control and guidance of the Board of Directors.

As per Clause 49, for a company with an Executive Chairman, at least 50 per cent of the board should comprise independent directors. In the case of a company with a non-executive Chairman, at least one-third of the board should be independent directors.

It would be necessary for chief executives ► and chief financial officers to establish and maintain internal controls and implement remediation and risk mitigation towards deficiencies





in internal controls, among others.

► Clause VI (ii) of Clause 49 requires all companies to submit a quarterly compliance report to stock exchange in the prescribed form. The clause also requires that there be a separate section on corporate governance in the annual report with a detailed compliance report.

► A company is also required to obtain a certificate either from auditors or practicing company secretaries regarding compliance of conditions as stipulated, and annex the same to the director's report.

► The clause mandates composition of an audit committee; one of the directors is required to be "financially literate".

► It is mandatory for all listed companies to comply with the clause by 31 December 2005.

► In July, 2014,.Amendment to Clause 49(VIII) (A)(2) The clause shall be substituted with the following: "The company shall disclose the policy on dealing with Related Party Transactions on its website and a web link thereto shall be provided in the Annual Report."

# Role of Statutory Body for ensuring ethics in reporting

**Role of SEBI-** U/S 11 of SEBI Act, 1992, the Board has been empowered to order changes in discloser requirements regarding issue of shares (i.e. discloser in offer documents) to ensure protection of the interests of the investors. In fact SEBI has power to direct the listed companies to follow any changed disclosure requirements. The following are few disclosures requirement imposed by SEBI to ensure ethical practice in corporate reporting:

► Dispatch of a copy of the complete and full annual report to the shareholders (Clause 32)

▶ Disclosure of Cash flow statement (Clause

32)

► Disclosure of material development and price sensitive information (Clause 36)

Compliance with Takeover Code (Clause 40B)

► Disclosure of interim unaudited financial result (Clause 41)

Corporate governance report (Clause 49)

► Compliance with all applicable Accounting Standards issued by the ICAI (Clause 50)

**Role of Companies Act, 1956**- The Act requires that at every annual general meeting, the BoD of the company should place before the company a Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss account for the financial year.

Apart from the above, the mandatory information which are required to be disclose by the Act are as follows:

Narrative Disclosure (accounting policies & notes on accounts)

Cash flow Statement

► Balance Sheet Abstract and Company's General Business Profile

- Supplementary statements
- Auditors' report
- Directors' report

### Reporting methods of Ethical Cost Benefit Information

As stated above, ethical accounting measures and reports the ethical cost and benefits on account of operating activities of an enterprises. I am explaining the different approaches for reporting ethical cost benefit information to the different issues of business ethics.





**A. Pictorial Approach**- As per this approach, ethical activities undertaken by the corporation are presented in the pictures form. The annual reports contain pictures of school, hospital, national co-operating, consumer education awareness programs and training and developments programmes conducted by the corporations.

**B.** Narrative approach- According to this approach, disclosure regarding ethical cost and ethical benefits is made is a narrative and not is a quantitative form. The company generally highlights the positive information of its ethical activities.

**C. Operating Statement Method**-According to this method, an enterprise reports only the positive (Ethical benefits) and negative aspects (Ethical cost) of ethical activities as a result of business operation.

# Reporting of Ethical cost benefit information

The different criteria used for measurement of ethical cost benefits have already been explained above. In India there is not a prescribed pattern for reporting ethical information. So I am now giving a model for reporting information related to ethical activities.

| SI. No | Items to be reporting in the annual report                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|        | I. Code of Business conduct                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1      | Vision, Mission and value of business.                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2      | Applicability of code.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3      | Contribution to society.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4      | Portion of fundamental of Human Rights.                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5      | Prevention of Insider Trading.                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6      | Honesty and Trust worth.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7      | Practice Integrity.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8      | Fair policy in taking action against indiscipline activities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9      | Honour confidentiality.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10     | Compliance with Laws.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11     | Contribution in National Integrity and Harmony.               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12     | To maintain professional competence.                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13     | Observe corporate discipline.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14     | Corruption.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15     | Accountability towards Company's Stakeholders.                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16     | Protection of Company's assets.                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | II. Business Ethics and Responsibility                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1      | Employment.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2      | Proper assessment of Tax.                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3      | Safe Guard to the Interest of Minority.                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4      | Environment Management.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5      | Industrial Relation.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6      | Production of good quality products.                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| SI. No | Items to be reporting in the annual report     |
|--------|--|
| 7      | Rendering good quality services.               |
| 8      | Management of Business Risk.                   |
| 9      | Enhancing the quality of working.              |
| 10     | To maintain social, human and cultural values. |

### Ethical Cost and Benefit Statement

|        | Item   | Amount |
|--------|--|--------|
| Ethic  | cal Benefits   |        |
| 1.     | Benefits due to Environment Management   |        |
| 2.     | Donation or subscription Paid to the needy person or party                             |        |
| 3.     | Contribution in National Saving and Capital Formation                                  |        |
| 4.     | Amount spent on child and women or weaker section's development                        |        |
| 5.     | Amount spent on National Campaign like Voters awareness programme or                   |        |
| Can    | cer/AIDS awareness programme   |        |
| 6.     | Amount spent on Construction of roads, school buildings and Hospitals                  |        |
| 7.     | Financial assistance with Zero rate of interest  |        |
| 8.     | Financial assistance on nominal margin   |        |
| 9.     | Amount spent for rendering free service  |        |
|        | Total (A)  |        |
| Ethic  | cal Cost   |        |
| 1.     | Loss due to insider trading  |        |
| 2.     | Penalty paid by the company  |        |
| 3.     | Donation or Briefs received  |        |
| 4.     | Loss of assets due to strike or lockout  |        |
| 5.     | Loss of human manpower due to negligence of management                                 |        |
| 6.     | Charges high price for lower quality product or service                                |        |
| 7.     | Loss due to manipulation in fund or Money laundering                                   |        |
| 8.     | Loss due to wrong action of business   |        |
|        | Total (B)  |        |
| A – E  | 3 = C  |        |
| lf C i | is in positive value it is a part of Puney ¼iq.;½ or it is in negative it will be past |        |
| of P/  | AP ¼iki½   |        |

### **Ethical Balance Sheet**

| Ethical Liability   | Ethical Investment/Assets  |  |
|---|--|--|
| All unethical activities to be recorded<br>in liabilities side and it will be past of<br>ethical liabilities ¼ iki ½ (Sin) / ¼vijk/k½ | All ethical activities to be recorded in assets sides and it will be part of ethical Investment $\frac{1}{4}$ iq.; $\frac{1}{2}$ A |  |





### Corporate Ethical Reporting Practices and Pattern in India

| SI.<br>No. | Name of Company                | Type of Information reported in the annual reports.                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.         | BHEL                           | Corporate Governance- CSR and Code of Business conduct and ethics.         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.         | GAIL                           | Corporate Governance- CSR and Business ethics                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.         | SBI                            | Social Responsibility and Corporate Governance                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.         | RIL                            | Corporate Governance- Code of Business conduct and ethics                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.         | ONGC                           | Corporate Governance- Business ethics & CSR                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.         | Cipla Ltd.                     | Corporate governance-Environmental Management                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.         | BPCL                           | Corporate Governance- Business, Society and Environment in-<br>cluding CSR |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.         | HPCL                           | Corporate Governance -Corporate Social Responsibility                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.         | IOCL                           | Corporate Governance- Corporate Social Responsibility and Business ethics  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.        | PNB                            | Corporate Governance-Ethics and CSR  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.        | Bank of Baroda                 | Corporate Governance and CSR   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.        | ICICI Bank                     | Corporate Governance and CSR   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.        | HDFC Bank                      | Corporate Governance-CSR and Ethics  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.        | AXIS Bank                      | Corporate Governance-CSR and Ethics  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.        | ITC Ltd.                       | CSR & Corporate Governance   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.        | LIC                            | Corporate Governance and Business ethics                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.        | Tata Motors                    | CSR and Corporate Governance   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.        | Maruti Suzuki                  | CSR and Corporate Governance   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.        | HINDAL Co Ltd.                 | Business ethics and Corporate governance                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.        | CARIN India                    | Corporate governance and CSR   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.        | HDFC Ltd.                      | Corporate Governance-CSR   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.        | Ambuja Cement Ltd.             | Social Responsibility & Corporate governance                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.        | Jindal Steel and<br>Power Ltd. | Corporate Governance   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.        | Tata Steel                     | CSR & Corporate Governance   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.        | Asian Paints Ltd.              | Environment Management and Corporate governance                            |  |  |  |  |  |

# A Case of Barak Valley Cement Ltd. with the Agree of Conduct (hereinafter referred to the St.

as "the Code") has been framed and adopted by Barak Valley Cements Limited (hereinafter

referred to as "the Company") in compliance

with the provisions of Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement entered into by the Company with the Stock Exchanges.

This Code is intended to provide guidance to the Board of Directors and Senior Management





Personnel to manage the affairs of the company in an ethical manner. The purpose of this code is to recognize and deal with ethical issues and to provide mechanisms to report unethical conduct of Employees, Board of Directors and Senior Management Personnel and to develop a culture of honesty and accountability.

It was originally framed on 1st day of January 2007 and subsequently revised by Board of directors in their meeting held on 30th may 2014 and this code shall come into force with effect from 30th May, 2014. The provisions of this Code can be amended/ modified by the Board of Directors of the Company from time to time and all such amendments/ modifications shall take effect from the date stated therein.

### 1. Definitions & Interpretation

In this Code, unless repugnant to the meaning or context thereof, the following expressions shall have the meaning given to them below:

**"Board Members"** shall mean the Directors on the Board of Directors of the Company.

**"Whole-time Directors"** shall mean the Board Members who are in whole-time employment of the Company.

"Part time Directors" shall mean the Board Members who are not in whole time employment of the Company.

"**Relative**" shall mean 'relative' as defined in Clause 77 of Section 2 and read with Rule 4 of Chapter I Companies (Specification of Definitions Details) Rules, 2014 of the Companies Act, 2013.

"Senior Management Personnel" shall mean personnel of the Company who are members of its core management team excluding Board of Directors and would comprise of all members of management one level below the executive directors, including viz. Company Secretary, Manager, CEO, CFO, all Functional Heads, all Unit Heads, Presidents, Joint Presidents and all other executives having similar or equivalent rank in the Company

**"The Company"** shall mean Barak Valley Cements Limited.

### 2. Applicability

The Code applies to the following personnel: Board Members (whether Whole Time Directors or Part Time Directors including Independent and Nominee Directors) Senior Management Personnel of the Company

### 3. Code of Conduct

The Board Members and Senior Management Personnel shall observe the highest standards of ethical conduct and integrity and shall work to the best of their ability and judgment.

The Board Members and the Senior Management Personnel of the Company:

**I.** Shall maintain and help the Company in maintaining highest degree of Corporate Governance practices.

**II.** Shall act in utmost good faith and exercise due care, diligence and integrity in performing their office duties.

**III.** Shall not involve in taking any decision on a subject matter in which a conflict of interest arises or which, in his opinion, is likely to arise.

**IV.** Shall not utilize bribery or corruption in conducting the Company's business. No Director or employee will offer or provide either directly or indirectly any undue pecuniary or other advantages for the purpose of obtaining, retaining, directing or securing any improper business advantage.

V. Shall not indulge themselves in Insider Trading and shall comply with the Insider Trading





Code and Insider Trading Regulations as laid down by SEBI and the Company.

**VI.** Shall ensure that they shall protect the Company's assets and properties including physical assets, information and intellectual rights and not use the same for their personal gain.

**VII.** Shall not seek or accept any compensation (in any form), directly or indirectly, for services performed for the Company from any source other than the Company.

**VIII.** Shall not, without the prior approval of the Board or Senior Management, as the case may be, accepts employment or a position of responsibility with any other organization for remuneration or otherwise that are prejudicial to the interests of the Company and shall not allow personal interest to conflict with the interest of the Company.

**IX.** Shall not receive any gift, payments or favor in whatsoever form from Company's business associates, which can be perceived as being given to gain favor or dealing with the Company and shall ensure that the Company's interests are never compromised.

**X.** Shall maintain confidentiality of information entrusted by the Company or acquired during performance of their duties and shall not use it for personal gain or advantage. No Board Members and Senior Management Personnel shall provide any information either formally or informally, to the press or any other publicity media, unless specifically authorized. However, that Board Members and Senior Management Personnel shall be free to disclose such information as is part of the public domain at the time of disclosure/ authorized or required to be disclosed pursuant to a decision of the Board/ required to be disclosed in accordance with applicable laws, rules, regulations or guidelines or to any authority.

**XI.** Shall avoid any dealings with a Contractor or Supplier that compromises the ability to trans-

act business on a professional, impartial and competitive basis or influence decision to be made by the Company.

**XII.** Shall avoid conducting business with (a) a relative (b) a Private Limited Company in which he or his relative is a Member or a Director (c) a Public Limited Company in which he or his relative holds 2% or more shares or voting right and (d) with a firm in which the relative is a partner, except with the prior approval of the Board, and shall make proper disclosure of related party transactions to the Board of Directors, the Chairman and Managing Director or the Competent Authority under the provisions of Accounting Standard 18 issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India.

**XIII.** Shall not commit any offences involving moral turpitude or any act contrary to law or opposed to the public policy resulting in a conviction.

# 4. Role and Function of Independent Directors

The independent directors:

**I.** Shall help in bringing an independent judgment to bear on the Board's deliberations especially on issues of strategy, performance, risk management, resources, key appointments and standards of conduct;

**II.** Shall bring an objective view in the evaluation of the performance of board and management;

**III.** Shall scrutinize the performance of management in meeting agreed goals and objectives and monitor the reporting of performance;

**IV.** Shall satisfy themselves on the integrity of financial information and that financial controls and the systems of risk management are robust and defensible;





V. Shall safeguard the interests of all stakeholders, particularly the minority shareholders;

**VI.** Shall balance the conflicting interest of the stakeholders;

**VII.** Shall determine appropriate levels of remuneration of executive directors, key managerial personnel and senior management and have a prime role in appointing and where necessary recommend removal of executive directors, key managerial personnel and senior management;

**VIII.** Shall moderate and arbitrate in the interest of the company as a whole, in situations of conflict between management and shareholder's interest.

### 5. Duties of Independent Directors

The independent directors:

**I.** Shall undertake appropriate induction and regularly update and refresh their skills, knowledge and familiarity with the company;

**II.** Shall seek appropriate clarification or amplification of information and, where necessary, take and follow appropriate professional advice and opinion of outside experts at the expense of the company;

**III.** Shall strive to attend all meetings of the Board of Directors and of the Board committees of which he is a member;

**IV.** Shall participate constructively and actively in the committees of the Board in which they are chairpersons or members;

V. Shall strive to attend the general meetings of the company;

**VI.** Shall where they have concerns about the running of the company or a proposed action, ensure that these are addressed by the Board and, to the extent that they are not resolved, insist that their concerns are recorded in the minutes of the Board meeting;

**VII.** Shall keep themselves well informed about the company and the external environment in which it operates;

**VIII.** Shall not to unfairly obstruct the functioning of an otherwise proper Board or committee of the Board;

**IX.** Shall pay sufficient attention and ensure that adequate deliberations are held before approving related party transactions and assure themselves that the same are in the interest of the company;

X. Shall ascertain and ensure that the company has an adequate and functional vigil mechanism and to ensure that the interests of a person who uses such mechanism are not prejudicially affected on account of such use;

**XI.** Shall report concerns about unethical behaviour, actual or suspected fraud or violation of the company's code of conduct or ethics policy;

**XII.** Shall acting within his authority, assist in protecting the legitimate interests of the company, shareholders and its employees;

XIII. Shall not disclose confidential information, including commercial secrets, technologies, advertising and sales promotion plans, unpublished price sensitive information, unless such disclosure is expressly approved by the Board or required by law.

### 6. Disclosure of Information

Members of the Board and key executives should be required to disclose to the board whether they, directly, indirectly or on behalf of third parties, have a material interest in any transaction or matter directly affecting the company.

### 7. Communication of Violations

Directors shall communicate any suspected violations of this Code promptly to the Chairman





of the Audit Committee. Senior Management should communicate any suspected violation of this code to the Managing Director who in turn shall communicate it to the Chairman of the Audit Committee. Violations will be investigated by the Board or by persons designated by the Board, and appropriate action will be taken in the event of any violations of the Code.

### 8. Conseonsequences of Non-Compliance of this Code

In case of breach of this Code, the same shall be considered by the Board of Directors for initiating appropriate action, as deemed necessary. Any waiver of this Code may be made only by the Board of Directors and must be promptly disclosed to the Company's shareholders.

### 9. Placement of the Code on Website

Pursuant to Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement, this Code and any amendments thereto shall be posted on the website of the Company.

### 10. Annual Compliance Reporting

In terms of Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement, all Board Members and Senior Management Personnel shall affirm compliance of this Code within 30 days of close of every financial year. Compliance Report shall be forwarded to the Company Secretary, in such form and manner as may be prescribed from time to time.

### 11. Acknowledgement of Receipt of This Code

All Board Members and Senior Management Personnel shall acknowledge the receipt of this Code or any modification(s) thereto, in the acknowledgement form and forward the same to the Company Secretary.

### Important Facts

Ethical cost- It is a sacrifice or determent to the society, whether economic or non economic, internal or external. In other words, ethical costs are the sacrifices of the society for which the business firm is responsible for like, pollution, impairment of human factor of production, monopoly, losses of social-and moral values, corruption, deterioration in the law, industrial disputes, tax evision, high price of product and service, low quality of product and deforestation.

Ethical benefit- It is a compensation made to the society in the form of increases in moral, social and human values.

Social Values- These are certain qualities and beliefs that are shared within a specific culture or group of people. These traits can be religious, economic, political, etc.

Cultural Value- It is an absolute or relative ethical value, the assumption of which can be the basis for ethical action. A value system is a set of consistent values and measures.

Human Values- These are the need of hour in this world. Truth, Right Conduct, love, peace, non-violence. These are basis for a golden age of planet earth. All religious come under this basic foundation.





### Conclusion

Ethical accounting and reporting is a new and advance concept of social accounting. It is concerned with measuring and disclosing the ethical values in the form of ethical cost and ethical benefits as a result of operating activities of an enterprise.

Hence we can say that ethical accounting examines carefully the business activities and conducts in the context of moral responsibility and then postulates them in the sense of being proper or improper, right or wrong, fair or unfair. It expresses and measures the moral responsibilities and duties of business and further emphasis the need for executing them.

### References

Dr. Sanjeeb Kumar, Nov. 2013, "Ethical Corporate Reporting Practices in India", Research Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 1, No. 1, Nov. 2013.

S. N. Maheshwari (2004), "Corporate Accounting", Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi.

Board of Studies, ICAI, New Delhi, Ethics in accounting and finance, Business Laws, Ethics and Communication, , pp-12.1-12.11

Board of Studies, ICAI, New Delhi, Corporate Financial Reporting, , pp-3.1 – 3.33

CA Akhaya Gupta (1999), Recent Trend in Corporate Reporting, Lucknow Chartered Accountants Society

Dr. Imad Faour (2008), Professionalism and Ethics in Accounting Education, The Certified Accountant, 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter, issue-35, pp.85-52

T. R. Sharma (2010), "Auditing Principles & Problems", Sahitya Bhawan Publications, Agra.

Ravi M. Kishore (2008), "Cost Accounting

and Financial Management", Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd., New Delhi.

Dr. Rajkumar (2008), "Auditing Principles and Behavior" B. R. Publicaiton, New Delhi.

Dinkar Pagare (2004), "Principles And Practice of Auditing", Sultan Chand & Sons, New Delhi.

R.S.N. Pillai (2001), "Management Accounting", S. Chand & Company Ltd., New Delhi.

Dr. S. P. Gupta (2001), "Management Accounting", Sahitya Bhawan Publications, Agra.

Geraldine F. Dominiak and Joseph G. Londerback III (1991), "Managerial Accounting", Pws Kent Publishing Co.

www.sebi.gov.in

Annual report of Bhel and Barak Vally Cement Itd.

www.Business.gov.in/corporate\_governance/index.php





# Equity Share Price Efficiency of Indian Banking Sector

### Abstract

The present paper is an attempt to evaluate the market efficiency of daily share prices of sample banks listed in the National Stock Exchange of India Limited. For the purpose of analysis, five public sector and five private sector banks were selected, based on the total market capitalization of sample banks. The daily closing prices of sample banks were collected from the website of National Stock Exchange of India Limited. The analysis of weak form market efficiency, using Runs Test and Autocorrelation function, revealed that the daily share price returns of sample banks were not efficient in weak form.

### Keywords

Market Efficiency, Market Capitalization, Runs Test, Autocorrelation.

### Introduction

Market efficiency analysis is one among the widely analyzed topics in financial research. The reviews related to market efficiency analysis provide different viewpoints. There exists different opinions on market efficiency/inefficiency of different markets and the methods to analyze and validate the characteristics of market efficiency. Many empirical studies and theories were developed, based on Fama's explanation of market efficiency since 1970. The central conception of

## M. Babu S. Srinivasan

the financial markets is efficient market hypothesis. Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) is based on the idea that market prices incorporate all information rationally and instantaneously. There are three levels of market efficiency.

**a.** Weak Form Market Efficiency: According to weak form market efficiency, stock prices reflect all historical information and it is impossible for the investors to earn abnormal returns.

**b.** Semi-Strong Form Market Efficiency: Semistrong hypothesis asserts that share prices reflect all publicly available information and the share prices instantly incorporate the new information.

c. Strong form Market Efficiency : According to strong form market efficiency, share prices instantly reflect hidden or insider information.

There are various studies related to market efficiency in the capital market and foreign exchange market literature. In the case of efficiency of banks, there are various studies on Data Envelopment Analysis to ascertain the efficiency scores of banks. The market efficiency of banks can provide useful information for the investors of public and private sector banks but there are limited literature relating to assess market efficiency of banks. In order to fill this research gap, the present study analyzed the market efficiency of sample banks, listed in National Stock Exchange of India Limited, to provide useful inputs for investors in deciding their investment.





### **Review of Literature**

M .Babu and S. Srinivasan (2014) analyzed the market efficiency of sectoral indices of National Stock Exchange of India Limited, using Unit Root Test, Runs Test and Autocorrelation Test, for the period 01.01.2009 to 31.12.2013 and found that the market was not weak form efficient. Using Unit Root Test, Pearson Correlation, Durbin Watson Test and Runs Test, Batool Asiri and Hamad Alzeera (2013) tested the weak-form market efficiency of "All Share Index" of Tadawul Stock Exchange. The results of the study evidenced that the Saudi Arabian Stock Market was weakform efficient. Weak form market efficiency of Bank sector of Dhaka stock Exchange, was examined by Md.Sogir Hossain Khandoker et al (2011), using Runs Test and Dickey-Fuller Test for a period of eleven years. The results of weak form efficiency for the daily closing price of thirty banking companies affirmed that Bank Sector of Dhaka Stock Exchange, was not efficient in weak form. Mehmet.F.Dide et al (2010) evaluated the market efficiency of Bombay stock exchange and Indian National Exchange using Contemporaneous Relationship, Granger type Causality, Day-of-the-Week Effect and Runs Test. The findings of the study contributed positively to market efficiency. A paper on "Efficiency tests of foreign exchange markets for four Asian Countries", by Shu-Mei Chiang et al (2010) used Variance Ratio Test to examine the validity of weak form efficient market hypothesis of FOREX market of Japan, South Korea, Taiwan and Philippines. The outcome of the study revealed random walk pattern of sample forex markets, excluding Taiwan markets. Muzafar Shah Habibullah et al (2005) analyzed the EMH and Bank Efficiency, using DEA of sample banks listed in Bursa Malaysia. The share price efficiency of sample commercial banks was evaluated by using Granger Causality approach and the results revealed that among the sample banks, Malayan Banking Berhad was inefficient.

### Statement of The Problem

As banks are regulated by the Reserve Bank of India, there exists high informational efficiency. Unlike firms listed in capital markets, where hidden market information is available only for a few market participants, all banks release their audited financial results at the end of every quarter. The information regarding total deposits received, total loans offered, investments made by banks etc., is openly available in all banks websites. This transparency (market information) act as a base for the investors to decide on investment in banks. Banks information efficiency enables investors to diversify and plan their investments. A poor performance of banks will have serious impact on the returns of the investing community. An analysis of market efficiency of banks would enable the investors to identify the movements of the markets better and to choose profitable bank for investment. The present study identified five public sector and five private sector banks to evaluate the weak form efficiency. Studies related to assessing the weak form efficiency of share prices of banks were found to be limited in number and this study tries to bridge the gap by finding answers to the following questions:

**a.** Which among the selected sample banks had major influence on the returns of the investors?

**b.** What is the level of market efficiency of sample banks?

**C.** Can the historical share prices of sample banks be used as a tool to determine future share price returns of the investors?

### **Objectives of the Study**

The present study involves the following objectives

I. To analyze the normality and to examine





the stationarity of selected sample banks daily i Sample Selection share price returns.

**II.** To assess the weak form efficiency of daily share price returns of sample banks.

**III.** To test the randomness in the daily share price movements of sample banks.

### Hypotheses of the Study

The following null hypotheses were framed to test the objectives of the study

H01: The daily share price returns of sample banks did not attain stationarity during the study period.

H02: The daily share price returns of sample banks are not efficient in weak form.

H03: The daily share price returns of sample banks are not random.

For the purpose of evaluation of market efficiency, the banks listed in the National Stock Exchange of India Limited were considered. Banks were classified into private and public sector banks. The details of sample banks are given in Table 1

### Period of The Study

To assess the price behaviour of sample banks, a period of ten years from 01.04.2004 to 31.03.2014 was considered for the analysis.

### Sources of Data

The current study was mainly based on secondary source. The share prices of sample banks, for the study, were collected from nseindia.com. Other relevant details were extracted from various online sources.

| SI.<br>No | Statistical/Econometric Tool    | Significance  |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1.        | Augmented Dickey Fuller<br>Test | To find the stationarity in the daily returns of sample indices (Time Series data). |
| 2.        | Runs Test                       | To know the randomness in the index movements.                                      |
| 3.        | Autocorrelation                 | To measure the dependence of observations (Index Prices).                           |

### **Tools Used For Analysis**

### Limitations of the study

I. The study was based only on secondary data which suffer from certain limitations.

II. The period of study was restricted to ten years and hence its conclusion cannot be generalised.

III. All the limitations of the tools, apply to this study also.

**IV.** The sample was restricted to sample banks listed on National Stock Exchange of India.

V. The study was limited to weak form of Efficient Market Hypothesis.

**VI.** The results of the study may be different if the study period differed.





### **Results and Discussion**

The results of Descriptive Analysis, for the sample public sector banks, during the study period are presented in Table 2. It is to be noted from the Table that among the sample public sector banks, Bank of India's share prices generated higher mean returns for the investors though accompanied by a higher standard deviation (volatility). These results prove the point that higher risk (volatility) will lead to higher returns. An asymmetrical distribution, which is sharper than a normal distribution, with long tail towards the right (Leptokurtic), was recorded by the share prices of all sample banks. The Jarque Bera Statistic confirmed the non-normality of data distribution.

The characteristics of data- share price returns of sample private sector banks were explored through descriptive statistics and the results are presented in Table 3. From the analysis, it can be observed that IndusInd Bank recorded higher average returns, with a standard deviation of 0.033. The standard deviation, which is an indication of volatility, was high for Kotak Mahindra Bank's daily share price returns. The share prices of HDFC Bank, Kotak Mahindra Bank and Federal Bank witnessed left skewed distribution which indicates that most values were concentrated on the right of mean. The Kurtosis value of all sample banks indicates Leptokurtic distribution. The Jarque-Bera test of all sample banks reveals that the data distribution (share prices of sample banks) were non-normal.

Table 4 and 5 present the results of stationarity test for the daily share price returns of sample banks (Private and Public Sector). It can be observed from the table that, ignoring the sign, the ADF t-statistic value of sample banks was greater than the significance values at 1%, 5% and 10% level. Further, the 'p' values were found to be statistically significant. Hence reject the H01: 'The daily share price returns of the sample banks did not attain stationarity during the study period'.

Table 6 presents the results of Non-Parametric Runs Test, using Median as the test value, for the sample public sector banks during the study period 01.04.2004 to 31.03.2014. It is to be noted from the Table that among the sample public sector banks, Bank of Baroda recorded the highest number of runs which indicate a lagged response of investors to the market information. All sample banks recorded negative 'Z' Statistic which indicates that the actual number of runs fell short of expected number of runs. Further, the 'Z' statistic values of all sample public sector banks fell outside the test critical value of  $\pm$  1.96. Hence reject H02: 'The daily share price returns of the sample banks are not efficient in weak form'. In other words, the historical share prices and volume information cannot be used as an indicator to predict future price movements.

The results of Runs Test, which were used to examine the serial independence in the share price returns of sample private sector banks, are explained in Table 7. The values reported in the Table, clearly indicate that ICICI Bank recorded the least number of runs among the sample private sector banks. The 'Z' Statistic of ICICI Bank, Kotak Mahindra Bank and Federal Bank were -3.385, -2.143 and -2.664, with significant 'p' values. It is clear that the 'Z' Statistic of all banks fell outside the test critical values of  $\pm 1.96$  at 95% confidence interval. Hence the H02: 'The daily share price returns of the sample banks are not efficient in weak form', is rejected. In short, it can be concluded that succeeding share price movements of sample banks were not dependent on each other.

The results of Autocorrelation function for a lag period of ten years, for the sample public sector banks are presented in Table 8. The Table provides the autocorrelation values of the sample banks during the study period. It is to be noted





from the Table that the Autocorrelation function values of Bank of Baroda started and ended with positive significance. The Autocorrelation function values of Bank of India for lag 2 and lag 8 were close to the confidence limit. Daily share price returns of Canara Bank, Punjab National Bank and State Bank of India's witnessed equal number of positive and negative significance values. It is clear that the ACF values of all sample banks witnessed a mix of both positive and negative values. As there were no continuous upward or downward trend noticed, the H03: 'The daily share price returns of the sample banks are not random', is rejected.

The randomness in the share price movements of sample private sector banks was analyzed, using Autocorrelation function and the results are presented in Table 9. It is clear from the analysis that for all sample banks, the lag started with a positive value. Further, it is observed that for majority of banks, negative significance values were recorded between lag 2 to lag7.

Again, the last few lags recorded positive values.

Since there were variations between positive and negative signs throughout the lag period, the H03: 'The daily share price returns of the sample banks are not random' is rejected.

### Conclusion

As per the results of the present study, it is concluded that the daily share price returns of sample banks were efficient in weak form. It is to be noted that there are no hidden insider information with respect to the performance of banks. The banks are expected to provide timely disclosure and dissemination of information to the shareholders and investors.

This allows the stakeholders to make use of available information and to plan their investments more intelligently. Though the findings of the present study reveal non-dependence of previous day's share price and current day's share price, the significance of historical prices cannot be completely ignored. Investors are, therefore, advised to rely on fundamental factors of banks apart from possible evaluation of technical analysis.

| SI.<br>No | Public Sector Banks  | Private Sector Banks |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1.        | Bank of Baroda       | HDFC Bank            |
| 2.        | Bank of India        | ICICI Bank           |
| 3.        | Canara Bank          | IndusInd Bank        |
| 4.        | Punjab National Bank | Kotak Mahindra Bank  |
| 5.        | State Bank of India  | Federal Bank.        |

### Table 1 Details of sample Banks selected

Source: Collected from www.nseindia.com





### Table 2 Results of Descriptive Statistics for Sample Public Sector Banks

|                        | Bank of<br>Baroda | Bank of<br>India | Canara<br>Bank | Punjab<br>National<br>Bank | State Bank<br>of India |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Mean                   | 0.0008            | 0.0010           | 0.0006         | 0.0006                     | 0.0007                 |
| Standard Deviation     | 0.027             | 0.032            | 0.028          | 0.025                      | 0.024                  |
| Skewness               | 0.179             | 0.405            | 0.170          | 0.025                      | 0.148                  |
| Kurtosis               | 9.000             | 6.922            | 5.735          | 6.632                      | 7.244                  |
| Jarque Bera            | 3753.24           | 1666.07          | 789.22         | 1370.81                    | 1880.25                |
| Number of Observations | 2493              | 2493             | 2493           | 2493                       | 2493                   |

Source: Collected from nseindia.com and Computed using E-Views 7

### Table 3 Results of Descriptive Statistics for Sample Private Sector Banks

|                        | HDFC<br>Bank | ICICI<br>Bank | Indusind<br>Bank | Kotak<br>Mahindra<br>Bank | Federal<br>Bank |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Mean                   | 0.0008       | 0.0009        | 0.0015           | 0.0009                    | 0.0002          |
| Standard Deviation     | 0.0273       | 0.027         | 0.033            | 0.034                     | 0.033           |
| Skewness               | -9.621       | 0.215         | 0.292            | -3.973                    | -8.333          |
| Kurtosis               | 299.39       | 8.518         | 6.856            | 67.174                    | 188.75          |
| Jarque Bera            | 9163.79      | 3182.63       | 1580.67          | 4343.54                   | 3613.07         |
| Number of Observations | 2493         | 2493          | 2493             | 2493                      | 2493            |

Source: Collected from nseindia.com and Computed using E-Views 7

### Table 4 Results of Augmented Dickey Fuller Test for sample Public Sector Banks

| Name of the Bank | ADF<br>t-Statistic | 1%     | 5%     | 10%    | Prob.  |
|------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Bank of Baroda   | -35.594            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0000 |





| Name of the Bank     | ADF<br>t-Statistic | 1%     | 5%     | 10%    | Prob.  |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Bank of India        | -46.146            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0001 |
| Canara Bank          | -46.250            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0001 |
| Punjab National Bank | -46.589            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0001 |
| State Bank of India  | -45.194            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0001 |

Source: Collected from nseindia.com and Computed using E-Views 7.

### Table 5 Results of Augmented Dickey Fuller Test for sample Private Sector Banks

| Name of the Bank    | ADF<br>t-Statistic | 1%     | 5%     | 10%    | Prob.  |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| HDFC Bank           | -49.859            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0001 |
| ICICI Bank          | -35.344            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0000 |
| Indus Ind Bank      | -47.746            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0001 |
| Kotak Mahindra Bank | -47.832            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0001 |
| State Bank of India | -37.990            | -3.432 | -2.862 | -2.567 | 0.0000 |

Source: Collected from nseindia.com and Computed using E-Views 7

### Table 6 Results of Runs Test (Median base) for the sample Public Sector Banks

|                           |                   | Runs 1           | est            |        |        |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
|                           | Bank of<br>Baroda | Bank of<br>India | Canara<br>Bank | PNB    | SBI    |
| Test Valueª               | .0002             | .0009            | .0002          | .0002  | .0009  |
| Cases < Test Value        | 1246              | 1246             | 1246           | 1246   | 1246   |
| Cases >= Test<br>Value    | 1247              | 1247             | 1247           | 1247   | 1247   |
| Total Cases               | 2493              | 2493             | 2493           | 2493   | 2493   |
| Number of Runs            | 1223              | 1193             | 1200           | 1188   | 1215   |
| Z                         | 982               | -2.183           | -1.503         | -2.384 | -1.302 |
| Asymp. Sig.<br>(2-tailed) | .326              | .029             | .057           | .017   | .193   |

Source: Collected from nseindia.com and Computed using SPSS 20.0





### Table 7 Results of Runs Test (Median base) for the sample Private Sector Banks

|                           |              |               | Runs Test     |                           |                 |  |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
|                           | HDFC<br>Bank | ICICI<br>Bank | IndusInd Bank | Kotak<br>Mahindra<br>Bank | Federal<br>Bank |  |
| Test Valueª               | .0003        | .0007         | .0005         | .0010                     | 0003            |  |
| Cases < Test<br>Value     | 1246         | 1246          | 1246          | 1246                      | 1246            |  |
| Cases >= Test<br>Value    | 1247         | 1247          | 1247          | 1247                      | 1247            |  |
| Total Cases               | 2493         | 2493          | 2493          | 2493                      | 2493            |  |
| Number of<br>Runs         | 1219         | 1163          | 1233          | 1194                      | 1181            |  |
| Z                         | -1.142       | -3.385        | 581           | -2.143                    | -2.664          |  |
| Asymp. Sig.<br>(2-tailed) | .254         | .001          | .561          | .032                      | .008            |  |

Source: Collected from nseindia.com and Computed using SPSS 20.0

### Table 8 Results of Autocorrelation for the sample Public Sector Banks

| Lag | Bank of<br>Baroda | Bank of<br>India | Canara<br>Bank | Punjab National<br>Bank | State Bank of<br>India |
|-----|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 1   | .098              | .080             | .076           | .069                    | .099                   |
| 2   | 050               | .001             | .003           | 021                     | 027                    |
| 3   | 029               | 018              | 011            | 008                     | 019                    |
| 4   | 017               | 018              | 056            | 002                     | 004                    |
| 5   | 045               | 058              | 036            | 026                     | 063                    |
| 6   | 034               | 039              | 022            | 005                     | 041                    |
| 7   | 045               | .005             | 024            | .027                    | .004                   |
| 8   | 031               | 002              | .011           | .004                    | .040                   |
| 9   | .062              | .032             | .031           | .021                    | .030                   |
| 10  | .045              | .044             | .022           | .012                    | .023                   |

Source: Collected from nseindia.com and Computed using SPSS 20.0





| Lag | HDFC<br>Bank | ICICI<br>Bank | IndudInd Bank | Kotak Mahindra<br>Bank | Federal Bank |
|-----|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|
| 1   | .001         | .105          | .044          | .042                   | .055         |
| 2   | 039          | 046           | 047           | 003                    | 098          |
| 3   | 016          | 024           | 048           | 001                    | 019          |
| 4   | 017          | 024           | 016           | 003                    | 007          |
| 5   | 022          | 051           | 006           | 006026                 |              |
| 6   | 021          | 074           | 010           | 024                    | 019          |
| 7   | 013          | .000          | .003          | 013                    | .006         |
| 8   | .019         | .031          | .024          | .003                   | 038          |
| 9   | 014          | .018          | .032          | .034                   | 006          |
| 10  | .031         | .022          | .016          | 012                    | .023         |

Table 9 Results of Autocorrelation for the sample Private Sector Banks

Source: Collected from nseindia.com and Computed using SPSS 20.0

### References

Batool Asiri and Hamad Alzeera. (2013). Is the Saudi Stock Market Efficient? A case of Weak-form Efficiency. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 4(6), 35-48.

Babu.M, and Srinivasan.S (2014). Efficiency of Capital Market-A study with reference to Sectoral Indices of National Stock Exchange of India Limited. Prerana-Journal of Management Thought and Practic, 6(2), 1-12.

Chris Brooks. (2008). Introductory Econometrics for Finance, Cambridge University Press, 28, 318-337.

Khan A.Q, Sana Ikram and Mariyam Mehtab (2011), "Testing the Efficiency of Indian Capital Market: A case of NSE and BSE", African Journal of Marketing Management, 3(6), 115-127.

Mehmet.F.Dide et al (2010). Market Efficiency and International Diversification-Evidence from India. International Review of Economics and Finance, 19, 313-339. Muzafar Shah Habibullah et al (2005). Bank Efficiency and Efficient Market Hypothesis: The case of Bank Stock Prices in KLSE. Savings and Development, 29(4), 363-390.

Md.Sogir Hossain Khandoker et al (2011). Tests of Weak-form Market Efficiency of Dhaka Stock Exchange: Evidence from Bank Sector of Bangladesh. Interdisciplinary Journal of Research in Business, 1(9), 47-60.

Roman Kozhan. (2010). Financial Econometrics with E-Views. Ventus Publishing Aps, 69 -74, 110-116.

Shu-Mei Chiang et al (2010). Efficiency Tests of Foreign Exchange Markets for four Asian Countries. Research in International Business and Finance, 24, 284-294.

Yuvaraj.D, Jayapal Gayathri and Sathya.J (2012). Testing the Efficiency of Indian FOREX Market. http://ssrn.com/abstract=2034651





### Abstract

Over the last couple of decades, the Indian software industry has carved a niche for itself in the global marketplace, in the process becoming a growth engine for the Indian economy. The top 5 software firms of India have emerged as strong global brands, currently accounting for nearly 50 percent of the industry's total software exports. USA and Europe form a significant share of the market of the Indian software exports; any financial and economic slowdown centered on these two, can have a significant impact on profitability and earnings of the Indian software industry, and in turn on the Indian economy.

This paper carries out an analysis of the profitability of the Indian software industry over a period of ten years, studying the impact of the global slowdown triggered by the financial crisis of 2008; it analyses the changing cash-holding pattern of the industry, carries out the DuPont analysis and compares the profitability ratios of the top 5 software companies of India with the rest of the industry.

### Keywords

Indian Software Industry, Profitability Analysis, Impact of Recession, DuPont Analysis, Cash to Total Assets Ratio

## Lalit Gupta P. K. Jain

SEARCH BULLETIN

### 1 Introduction

Over the last couple of decades, the IT sector has become a growth engine for the Indian economy, contributing towards urban employment, consumption and growth. India's IT sector has grown by more than 30 percent annually for over 2 decades (Bhatnagar, 2006), from a mere 1.2 percent of GDP in 1998 to over 7.5 percent of GDP in 2013. The software industry of India is predominantly export-oriented, with over three quarters of its revenues emanating from exports, acting as a rich source of valuable foreign exchange for India, cushioning the impact of its massive oil-import bill on the balance of payments. In 2014, software exports accounted for nearly 46 percent of the total services exports from India, with services themselves accounting for nearly 57 percent of the Indian GDP(Economic Survey 2013-14).

USA and Europe, combined, account for nearly 90 percent of India's software export market(Figure 1); Any slowdown either in USA or Europe can have a cascading effect on the performance of the Indian software industry. Given the importance of this sector, from the perspective of the Indian economy, it is vital to study the key profitability indicators of the industry as well as to assess the impact of global recessionary events on its profitability.







Figure 1: Geographical distribution of export revenues of the Indian software industry, 2013

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section two contains the literature survey. Section three outlines the objectives and scope of the study and the research methodology adopted. The key profitability ratios covered in this study are the operating profit margin, pretax profit margin, net profit margin, return on assets, return on capital employed and return on equity. Taken together, these provide a broad view of the firm's profitability, from different perspectives, including returns on sales and returns on investments. Section four examines the impact of recession on the key profit margins. Section five analyses the variation of the rates of returns for the industry over the 10-year period. Section six presents the results of the DuPont and regression analyses. Section seven enumerates the concluding observations of the study.

### 2 Literature Survey

# 2.1 Indian Software Industry Compared with Peers

During the 1990s Brazil, China, India, Israel and

Ireland developed sizable software industries. Whereas India, Ireland and Israel made a mark for themselves as strong exporters of software, China and Brazil built their software industry based on strong domestic demand and market(Arora and Gambardela, 2004). In contrast to Irish and Israeli firms, which specialize in offering software products, the Indian software industry has focused on customized software services. As a result, the revenue per employee for Indian software firms is much lower than for Ireland and Israel(Arora and Gambardela, 2005). According to the Information Economy Report, UNCTAD(2012) the proportion of IT exports, relative to GDP, of both Ireland and Israel was substantially higher in 2010, in comparison to India.

### 2.2 Financial Crisis of 2008

Ghosh and Chandrashekhar(2009) observe that China and India, with high rates of growth, were seen as the potential shock absorbers in the global economic system. It was contended that these economies were 'decoupled' with the external financial system. However, they opined





that the economic boom in India that preceded the 2008 downturn was dependent upon greater reliance on exports, particularly of services, and short-term capital inflows, which played a strong role in the domestic credit-fuelled consumption and investment boom.

Kumar and Vashisht(2009) contended that even though India was spared by the first round adverse effects of the global financial crisis it could not escape the second round effects. Credit markets froze, aggregate demand in

advanced economies fell, forcing exporters to cut production and lay off workers in large numbers. Consequently, in the last quarter of 2008, advanced economies and large economies like India and China witnessed a contraction in their industrial production. As per Reserve Bank of India (RBI) report, the annual growth rate of dollar exports of software services from India fell from an average of around 30 percent to as low as 7.4 percent in 2009-10 (Figure 2) as a result of the global economic slowdown.

Figure 2: Growth rate of dollar exports of India's software services on BoP basis, 2002-13



However, compared to other sectors of the i by more than half from over 40 percent in 2004 Indian industry, the Indian software industry managed to maintain positive growth rates on a good revenue base throughout the period of the crisis.

The top 5 software firms in India have managed to grow their share in annual software exports from approximately one guarter to over one half during the period of 2004-2013(Forbes India, 2013). On the other hand, share in software exports for mid-sized software firms during the same period has been observed to come down

to under 20 percent in 2013 (Figure 3).

In view of the sheer size and share of the top 5 firms in the Indian software industry, it has been considered useful to study their key profitability ratios, to gain an insight into their financial soundness.









# 2.3 Higher Cash Holdings by Large Software Firms

Traditionally, excess cash holdings have been considered as an inefficient utilization of assets, raising suspicion of agency problems. However, the large software firms of India have shown a sharp buildup in cash holdings over the last decade. In spite of this, they continue to retain their dominant market positions and profit margins, albeit they have caused reduction in the return on assets, return on capital employed and return on equity.

Zhou(2009) investigated the difference in cash holdings between high-tech and non-high-tech US firms over the period from 1974 to 2007. His study indicates that in contrast to the average cash-to-assets ratio of non-high-tech firms, which remained stable at around 11 percent, the average cash ratio of high-tech firms more than tripled from around 11.2 percent in 1980 to around 39.1 percent in 2007. During recession, many firms in traditional sectors faced with cash shortages, have reduced dividends, suspended new projects or closed subsidiaries. In contrast, high-tech firms, like IBM and Intel, because of their large cash reserves have initiated increase in their dividends, while others, like Oracle, Merck, and Pfizer, have partially financed acquisitions.

Zhou(2009) cites possible reasons why high-tech stack up cash. On the one hand, it is hard for them to get debt financing since their intangible assets can barely be used as collateral. On the other hand, R&D projects carry higher levels of risk, leading to costly external financing. A third is higher number of IPO's and listings in the hightech area in the recent years.

Bates, Kahle and Stulz (2009) contend that cash ratios increase because cash flows of firms become riskier. In addition, firms have changed, holding fewer inventories and receivables and are increasingly R&D intensive. While the precautionary motive for cash holdings plays an important role in explaining the increase in cash ratios, the authors find no consistent evidence that agency conflicts contribute to the increase.

Fresard(2010) has observed that firms use cash holdings to strategically influence their competitive position, firm value and operating performance. Larger relative-to-rivals cash





reserves lead to systematic future market share gains at the expense of industry rivals. Importantly, this competitive effect of cash turns out to be magnified when rivals face tighter financing constraints.

### 3 Research Methodology

### 3.1 Objectives

This paper aims to carry out an in-depth financial analysis of select software firms of India during the period 2003-04 to 2012-13, with an objective of

- ► Observing the trends in key profitability ratios such as profit margins and rates of returns.
- ► Gaining an insight into the impact of the global slowdown, triggered by the financial crisis which began in 2008, on key profitability ratios of software firms of various sizes in India.

► Analyzing if there exist any major differences in the profitability ratios of the top 5 software companies in India, compared with the rest of the software industry.

► Carrying out the 3-component DuPont analysis to observe the impact of investment, operational and financing decisions on the profitability as well as regression analysis to ascertain the share of each of these factors on profitability of the software industry as a whole.

### 3.2 Scope

The scope of the study is limited to the analysis of Indian software firms which have been listed on the Bombay Stock Exchange(BSE) from 2003-04 to 2012-13, having average annual revenues of over Rs. 250 million for the 10-year period under consideration. The period selected provides an equal number of years before and after the onset of the recession. A set of 30 firms have been selected, partly to keep the study within manageable limits, at the same time also ensuring a minimum number of data-points for statistically credible analysis.

The source of the data is the Ace Equity database. For the purpose of this study, only those firms have been considered whose major line of business is software development and for which complete financial data was available for each year during the period 2004-2013 (TCS was listed only in 2004, and is included as an exception, being the largest Indian software firm).

The average annual sales revenues over the given 10-year period were calculated for the firms. A set of 10 firms was selected with average annual sales revenues lying in the interval above the third quartile. 10 firms were selected from the interval between the median and the third quartile. Another set of 10 firms were selected from the interval between the first quartile and the median. With such a stratified sample set, it is reasonable to conclude, from a statistical point of view, that the sample is a true representative of the Indian software industry.

### 3.3 Methodology

To ascertain the impact of the global recession, the 10-year period from 2003-04 to 2012-13 has been segregated into the 'pre' phase and the 'post' phase of recession. The period 2004-2008 has been considered as the 'pre' phase and 2008-2013 as the 'post' phase. Extreme outliers have been ignored while calculating the means. The paired-sample 't-test' has been carried out on the mean values of various ratios to see whether there has been a significant impact due to the recession.

A 3-component DuPont analysis has been carried out using data of the selected firms for each of the 10 years under consideration and observing the trend of their means. A multiple regression analysis has been carried out to observe the relative importance of various parameters which significantly impact the ROE.





### 4 Profitability Analysis – Profit Margins

### 4.1 Introduction

Profitability margins provide a measure of the profits of a firm with respect to the sales. The key profitability margins analyzed are the operating

### 4.2 Operating Profit Margin

profit margin, pre-tax profit margin and net profit margin. The three profitability margins have been selected as they indicate a firm's ability to generate adequate operating profit margins and net profit margins before taxes as well as after taxes.

(Figures are in percentages)

# Table 1: Mean, median and quartile values of operating profit margin of 30 electedsoftware firms of India, 2004-13

|         |             | Ove   | erall Industry | y             |               | Top 5 Firms |       |  |
|---------|-------------|-------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Year    | Number Mean |       | Median         | Quartile<br>1 | Quartile<br>3 | Number      | Mean  |  |
| 2004    | 28          | 16.16 | 15.77          | 9.66          | 25.67         | 4           | 24.44 |  |
| 2005    | 29          | 17.43 | 19.04          | 10.67         | 24.22         | 5           | 23.59 |  |
| 2006    | 30          | 18.44 | 18.60          | 12.32         | 25.01         | 5           | 24.50 |  |
| 2007    | 30          | 18.51 | 19.44          | 12.47         | 24.38         | 5           | 27.59 |  |
| 2008    | 30          | 19.52 | 18.87          | 13.61         | 23.91         | 5           | 24.62 |  |
| 2009    | 29          | 15.26 | 15.93          | 10.68         | 23.37         | 5           | 24.92 |  |
| 2010    | 29          | 19.60 | 20.51          | 13.17         | 24.69         | 5           | 27.19 |  |
| 2011    | 30          | 19.63 | 17.32          | 12.15         | 25.18         | 5           | 25.12 |  |
| 2012    | 29          | 16.46 | 17.89          | 12.88         | 21.52         | 5           | 26.71 |  |
| 2013    | 28          | 16.75 | 17.98          | 10.19         | 22.74         | 5           | 28.09 |  |
| 2004-08 | 28-30       | 18.04 | 18.48          | 12.19         | 24.66         | 4-5         | 24.97 |  |
| 2009-13 | 28-30       | 17.56 | 17.94          | 10.61         | 24.37         | 5           | 26.41 |  |
| 2004-13 | 28-30       | 17.80 | 18.22          | 11.29         | 24.55         | 4-5         | 25.70 |  |

Note: Extreme values over +/- 50 percent have been excluded while calculating above table. Source of data – Ace Equity Database

| Paired Samples t-Test    |                           |           |            |   |         |     |    |                    |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|---|---------|-----|----|--------------------|--|--|
|                          |                           |           |            |   |         |     |    |                    |  |  |
| Between<br>'Pre' and     | Between<br>'Pre' and Mean |           | Std. Error | 95% Confidence<br>itd. Error Interval of the Diff |         |     | df | Sig.(2-<br>tailed) |  |  |
| 'Post'<br>periods        |                           | Deviation | Mean       | Lower   | Upper   |     |    |                    |  |  |
| (2004-08) -<br>(2009-13) | 75367                     | 9.60509   | 1.75364    | -4.34027  | 2.83293 | 430 | 29 | .671               |  |  |





Data in Table 1 shows that there has been virtually no change in the mean of the operating profit margin for the overall industry, which has changed from 18.04 percent to 17.56 percent, between the pre- and post-recession periods. There has been a marginal rise in this ratio for the top 5 firms during the same period, where it has increased from 24.97 percent to 26.41 percent. This is also supported by the results of the paired sample t-test between the pre- and post-recession periods. A significance value of 0.671 indicates that there has been no significant impact on the means of the operating profit

margins of the industry as a whole between the pre- and post-recession periods.

The operating profit margins of the top 5 software firms can be reckoned very satisfactory, by and large remaining around 25 percent over the 10-year period under consideration. In contrast, the industry at large had a much lower average operating profit margin of below 18 percent. This is indicative of the fact that the top 5 organizations have been able to manage their costs better, partly due to better resource management and perhaps due to higher economies of scale.

Figure 4: Trend of mean operating profit margin of 30 selected software firms of India, 2004-13



The trend observed in Figure 4 reveals that there has been a sharp dip in the operating profit margin for the industry in year 2008-09. This was a result of a sudden shrinking of sales in view of the global slowdown, without giving the firms a chance to respond immediately with corresponding cost reduction measures; depreciation of the rupee actually prevented the margin from having a steeper fall. Between April 2008 and March 2009, the rupee depreciated by nearly 25

percent against the dollar and nearly 10 percent against the Euro. This had a marked cushioning effect on the rupee-denominated sales as nearly three fourths of India's software services comprised of exports.

Figure 4 shows that the operating profit margin has recovered in subsequent years, indicating that the industry has adapted well by making its operations more efficient and diversifying into different geographies.

### Pre-Tax Profit Margin

# Table 2: Mean, median and quartile values of pre-tax profit margin of 30 selectedsoftware firms of India, 2004-13

(Figures are in percentages)

| Year |        |       | Top 5 Firms |           |           |        |       |
|------|--------|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|
|      | Number | Mean  | Median      | Quartile1 | Quartile3 | Number | Mean  |
| 2004 | 29     | 15.87 | 15.34       | 9.76      | 27.99     | 4      | 24.30 |





| No err  |        |       | Overall Indu | Jstry     |           | Top 5 Firms |       |  |
|---------|--------|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|--|
| fear    | Number | Mean  | Median       | Quartile1 | Quartile3 | Number      | Mean  |  |
| 2005    | 29     | 16.19 | 18.96        | 9.60      | 23.67     | 5           | 23.47 |  |
| 2006    | 30     | 17.33 | 18.09        | 11.18     | 24.64     | 5           | 24.40 |  |
| 2007    | 30     | 17.12 | 18.44        | 12.10     | 24.32     | 5           | 27.46 |  |
| 2008    | 30     | 17.43 | 18.62        | 12.57     | 23.25     | 5           | 24.34 |  |
| 2009    | 28     | 15.80 | 15.28        | 10.26     | 23.66     | 5           | 24.60 |  |
| 2010    | 30     | 18.82 | 20.33        | 13.15     | 24.70     | 5           | 25.98 |  |
| 2011    | 30     | 17.55 | 16.02        | 9.97      | 23.65     | 5           | 24.26 |  |
| 2012    | 29     | 13.92 | 13.66        | 9.79      | 21.23     | 5           | 25.71 |  |
| 2013    | 28     | 15.55 | 18.36        | 9.65      | 22.40     | 5           | 27.38 |  |
| 2004-08 | 29-30  | 16.80 | 18.23        | 11.07     | 24.20     | 4-5         | 24.81 |  |
| 2009-13 | 28-30  | 16.36 | 16.87        | 9.92      | 23.70     | 5           | 25.59 |  |
| 2004-13 | 28-30  | 16.58 | 17.78        | 10.54     | 24.07     | 4-5         | 25.21 |  |

Note: Extreme values over +/- 50 percent have been excluded while calculating above table. Source of data – Ace Equity Database

| Paired Samples t-Test        |       |           |         |   |         |              |    |         |  |  |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|---|---------|--------------|----|---------|--|--|
|                              |       | Paired    |         |   | Sig.(2- |              |    |         |  |  |
| Between<br>'Pre' and         |       | . Std.    |         | 95% Confidence<br>Std. Error Interval of the Diff |         | ce<br>Diff T |    |         |  |  |
| 'Post'<br>periods            | Mean  | Deviation | Mean    | Lower   | Upper   |              |    | failed) |  |  |
| (2004-08)<br>- (2009-<br>13) | 62167 | 10.43496  | 1.90515 | -4.51814  | 3.27481 | 326          | 29 | .747    |  |  |

Data in Table 2 shows that there has been a negligible impact of the recession on the means of the pre-tax profit margins. A marginal fall has been observed in the mean of the pre-tax profit margin for the overall industry from 16.80 percent to 16.36 percent, during the recession phase. In contrast, there has been a marginal rise in this ratio for the top 5 firms during the same period, from 24.81 percent to 25.59 percent.

The ten-year mean of the pre-tax profit margin for the top 5 software firms has maintained, by and large, a satisfactory level of around 25 per-

cent. In contrast, the overall industry has lagged behind, on an average, by more than 8 percent, at 16.58 percent only.





Figure 5 : Trend of mean pre-tax profit margin of 30 selected software firms of India. 2004-13



The overall industry has witnessed a drop in the . The pre-tax profit margins closely mirror the average pre-tax profit margins by around 3 percent in 2013 from its peak in 2010. This can in part be explained by a higher proportion of interest costs for small and medium sized firms in recent years.

operating profit margins for the top 5 software firms as they have little debt and therefore a very insignificant interest burden. It may be noted that the pre-tax profit margin has, by and large, recovered for this segment, to its pre-recession peak value.

### 4.3 Net Profit Margin

Figure 6 : Trend of mean net profit margin of 30 selected software firms of India, 2004-13



Figure 6 reveals that there has been a dip in i its average industry value in year 2008-09. For the top 5 firms, the mean of net profit margin, between the pre and post-recessionary periods, has dipped by a mere 1 percentage point from around 22.22 percent to 21.13 percent; for the

overall industry, there has been a similar dip of around 1 percentage point from 15.59 percent to 14.66 percent. The results of the t-test in Table 3 confirm that there has been no significant impact of recession on the means of net profit margins.





### Table 3: Mean, median, quartile values of net profit margin of 30 selected software firms of India, 2004-13 (Figures are in percentages)

| Voor    |        |       | Overall Inde | ustry     |           | Тор    | 5 Firms |
|---------|--------|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|
| rear    | Number | Mean  | Median       | Quartile1 | Quartile3 | Number | Mean    |
| 2004    | 29     | 14.55 | 13.23        | 10.06     | 24.65     | 4      | 21.46   |
| 2005    | 30     | 15.89 | 19.16        | 9.48      | 21.87     | 5      | 20.66   |
| 2006    | 30     | 15.80 | 14.76        | 10.39     | 21.51     | 5      | 22.03   |
| 2007    | 30     | 15.74 | 16.23        | 10.75     | 22.49     | 5      | 25.03   |
| 2008    | 30     | 15.96 | 16.81        | 11.81     | 21.71     | 5      | 21.74   |
| 2009    | 28     | 14.30 | 13.82        | 8.46      | 21.48     | 5      | 21.48   |
| 2010    | 30     | 17.13 | 17.88        | 11.75     | 22.89     | 5      | 22.05   |
| 2011    | 30     | 15.95 | 15.34        | 8.37      | 22.79     | 5      | 20.25   |
| 2012    | 29     | 13.38 | 12.18        | 8.79      | 16.95     | 5      | 20.26   |
| 2013    | 29     | 12.42 | 14.35        | 7.00      | 18.24     | 5      | 21.58   |
| 2004-08 | 29-30  | 15.59 | 16.70        | 10.12     | 22.46     | 4-5    | 22.22   |
| 2009-13 | 28-30  | 14.66 | 14.38        | 8.83      | 21.84     | 5      | 21.13   |
| 2004-13 | 28-30  | 15.13 | 15.25        | 9.41      | 21.90     | 4-5    | 21.66   |

Note: Extreme values over +/- 50 percent have been excluded while calculating above table. Source of data – Ace Equity Database

|                              | Paired Samples t-Test |           |           |  |         |     |    |         |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|--|---------|-----|----|---------|--|--|--|
|                              | Paired Differences    |           |           |  |         |     |    |         |  |  |  |
| Between<br>'Pre' and         | Std                   |           | Std Error | 95% Confidence Interval<br>of the Diff |         | t   | df | Sig.(2- |  |  |  |
| 'Post'<br>periods            | Mean                  | Deviation | Mean      | Lower                                  | Upper   |     |    | tailed) |  |  |  |
| (2004-08)<br>- (2009-<br>13) | 95933                 | 10.34272  | 1.88831   | -4.82137                               | 2.90270 | 508 | 29 | .615    |  |  |  |

Table 3 reveals that the top 5 firms have [In sum, the study reveals that the recession had demonstrated a healthy average net profit margin of 21.66 percent for the 10 years under consideration. In comparison, the industry has underperformed, with a mean net profit margin of 15.13 percent only.

little impact on the means of the profit margins of the industry as a whole, which did not dip by more than 1 percentage point, after the recession. The mean operating profit margins and the pre-tax profit margins of the top 5 firms have re-




mained consistently around 25 percent, except for a brief dip in the upward trend caused by the recession in 2008. It is gratifying to note that they have resumed their upward trend after 2009 and in 2013 they have managed to reach their highest levels in the last decade. Their net profit margin, though, has come down by around one percentage point to 21.13 after the recession. In comparison, the performance of the rest of the industry has potential for improvement, with the mean operating, pre-tax and net profit margins, for the industry as a whole, being lower by 5 to 8 percentage points at 17.80, 16.58 and 15.13 percent respectively.

### 5 Profitability Analysis – Rates of Returns

#### 5.1 Introduction

Rates of returns are widely used measures of the profitability of business firms, indicating whether the firms are generating adequate returns on investments made. The key rates of returns considered in this paper are the return on assets(ROA), return on capital employed(ROCE) and return on equity(ROE). Return on assets is a measure of operating efficiency and indicates how efficiently the company employs its assets. Return on capital employed is a measure of how efficiently the combined funds of owners and lenders are utilized. The rates of returns have been calculated in the following manner:

$$ROA = \frac{Net \, profit \, after \, tax + Interest(1-Tax \, rate)}{Average \, total \, assets}} \times 100 \qquad \dots \quad Equation \ 1$$

$$ROCE = \frac{Net \, profit \, after \, tax + Interest(1-Tax \, rate)}{Average \, total \, capital \, employed}} \times 100 \qquad \dots \quad Equation \ 2$$

$$ROE = \frac{Net \, profit \, after \, tax - Dividends \, on \, preference \, share \, capital}{Average \, total \, shareholders \, equity}} \times 100 \qquad \dots \quad Equation \ 3$$

[Note: Conceptually sound basis has been used to determine varied rates of return (For details refer to Khan and Jain, 2014); these values are different from the values provided in the Ace equity database.]

#### 5.2 Return on Assets

## Table 4: Mean, median and quartile values of return on assets of 30 selectedsoftware firms of India, 2004-13

|      |        | C     | Top 5 Firms |            |            |        |       |  |  |  |  |
|------|--------|-------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Number | Mean  | Median      | Quartile 1 | Quartile 3 | Number | Mean  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 29     | 14.47 | 15.02       | 7.94       | 19.76      | 4      | 19.71 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 29     | 16.30 | 15.57       | 11.69      | 21.27      | 4      | 19.80 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 30     | 17.17 | 15.96       | 9.14       | 23.26      | 5      | 29.09 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 30     | 18.78 | 15.97       | 10.81      | 25.71      | 5      | 34.72 |  |  |  |  |

(Figures are in percentages)





|         |        | C     | verall Indus | try        |            | Top 5  | Firms |
|---------|--------|-------|--------------|------------|------------|--------|-------|
| Year    | Number | Mean  | Median       | Quartile 1 | Quartile 3 | Number | Mean  |
| 2008    | 30     | 16.54 | 15.97        | 9.43       | 22.15      | 5      | 28.10 |
| 2009    | 30     | 15.09 | 14.15        | 9.16       | 20.22      | 5      | 25.84 |
| 2010    | 30     | 14.60 | 14.31        | 8.78       | 20.11      | 5      | 21.07 |
| 2011    | 30     | 13.52 | 13.63        | 8.30       | 17.14      | 5      | 19.55 |
| 2012    | 30     | 12.19 | 12.22        | 5.37       | 16.99      | 5      | 20.99 |
| 2013    | 30     | 12.98 | 10.93        | 6.68       | 18.50      | 5      | 21.48 |
| 2004-08 | 29-30  | 16.67 | 15.56        | 10.01      | 23.35      | 4-5    | 26.85 |
| 2009-13 | 30     | 13.68 | 13.58        | 7.70       | 18.82      | 5      | 21.79 |
| 2004-13 | 29-30  | 15.16 | 14.34        | 8.68       | 20.55      | 4-5    | 24.21 |

Note: Extreme values over +/- 50 percent have been excluded while calculating above table. Source of data – Ace Equity Database

|                        | Paired Samples t-Test |                    |                                     |          |                       |        |    |         |  |  |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------|----|---------|--|--|--|
|                        |                       | Paired Differences |                                     |          |                       |        |    |         |  |  |  |
| Between<br>'Pre' and   |                       |                    | 95% Confide<br>Std. Interval of the |          | fidence<br>f the Diff | t      | df | Sig.(2- |  |  |  |
| 'Post'<br>periods      | Mean                  | Std. Deviation     | Error<br>Mean                       | Lower    | Upper                 |        |    | tailed) |  |  |  |
| (2004-08)<br>(2009-13) | -3.31367              | 7.60591            | 1.38864                             | -6.15376 | 47357                 | -2.386 | 29 | .024    |  |  |  |

Table 4 indicates that there has been a drop in the mean ROA for the industry as a whole from 16.67 percent to 13.68 percent between the pre- and post-recession periods. The top 5 firms have seen a steeper fall by nearly 5 percentage points, from 26.85 percent to 21.79 percent. In spite of this decrease, their overall levels have been quite satisfactory in comparison to the industry as a whole, with a mean ROA range of 12.19 – 18.78 percent.

This fall in ROA can be explained due to reduction in growth of sales without commensurate reduction in costs and asset base. We venture to feel that the firms would have retrenched excess contract staff and vacated part of leased office space as well as computer hardware equipment (thereby reducing lease costs), realizing presence of excess capacity due to the onset of the recession; such measures would have reduced the operating costs.

The steeper fall in ROA for the top 5 firms has been, in part, due to build-up of very high levels of cash holdings by these firms during this period. Although such high levels of cash holdings have traditionally been considered as unproductive and an inefficient mode of holding assets, recent literature has hinted that large number of firms, especially in the technology and R&D space have been tending to hold higher levels of cash, as level of risk and investments in R&D have been increasing(Bates, Kahle and Stulz, 2009). Higher cash holdings have been also viewed as a potent tool for enabling proactive, strategic decisions required for checking the growth of





competition (Fresard, 2010). One may observe a similar pattern, with a gradual consolidation by the top 5 firms, which have nearly doubled their share of Indian software exports from around 25 percent to over 50 percent between 2004 and 2013, while their cash-to-total assets ratio doubled from 10 percent to 19 percent (Figure 8) during the same period.





Figure 7 reveals a general upward trend in cash and bank balances of the software industry from year 2005 onwards.

## Figure 8: Trend of mean cash to total assets ratio of 30 selected software firms of India. 2004-13



The trend in Figure 8 indicates that the mean i that cash holdings of larger firms become more cash-to-total assets ratio for the top 5 firms has valuable as a strategic competitive resource. almost doubled from 10 percent to 19 percent between 2004 and 2013. In comparison, the mean ratio has remained almost unchanged for the industry as a whole. These observations are in conformity with findings of Kim and Bettis (2013)





## Figure 9: Trend of mean return on assets of 30 selected software firms of India, 2004-13



Figure 9 reveals that that the return on assets of i better. The top 5 firms had witnessed a sharp inthe top 5 software firms of India has been con- i crease in the ROA in years 2006 and 2007, which sistently higher, nearly one and a half times that slowly got reduced to its previous levels after the of the industry as a whole. This indicates that the recession. top 5 firms have been able to utilize their assets

#### 5.3 **Return on Capital Employed**

## Table 5: Mean, median and quartile values of return on capital employed of 30 selected software firms of India, 2004-13

| (Fiaures | are | in | percentaaes) |
|----------|-----|----|--------------|
| 1190105  | are |    | percernages  |

| Verr    |        | C     | Overall Indu | ustry     |           | Top 5 Firms |       |  |
|---------|--------|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|--|
| rear    | Number | Mean  | Median       | Quartile1 | Quartile3 | Number      | Mean  |  |
| 2004    | 29     | 17.99 | 18.02        | 9.69      | 23.86     | 4           | 26.02 |  |
| 2005    | 29     | 20.87 | 19.52        | 15.49     | 26.58     | 4           | 26.70 |  |
| 2006    | 30     | 22.78 | 21.31        | 11.19     | 31.11     | 5           | 39.88 |  |
| 2007    | 29     | 23.14 | 19.38        | 12.98     | 35.58     | 4           | 42.21 |  |
| 2008    | 30     | 22.65 | 19.81        | 10.62     | 33.01     | 5           | 40.29 |  |
| 2009    | 29     | 19.27 | 18.55        | 10.22     | 26.53     | 5           | 36.90 |  |
| 2010    | 30     | 19.28 | 18.94        | 10.54     | 25.30     | 5           | 28.08 |  |
| 2011    | 30     | 17.50 | 17.76        | 10.09     | 21.74     | 5           | 25.52 |  |
| 2012    | 30     | 16.14 | 16.57        | 6.68      | 22.91     | 5           | 27.84 |  |
| 2013    | 29     | 16.05 | 14.53        | 7.95      | 23.90     | 5           | 29.33 |  |
| 2004-08 | 29-30  | 21.50 | 19.38        | 11.66     | 30.22     | 4-5         | 35.48 |  |
| 2009-13 | 29-30  | 17.64 | 17.21        | 9.31      | 24.58     | 5           | 29.54 |  |
| 2004-13 | 29-30  | 19.57 | 18.55        | 10.13     | 26.36     | 4-5         | 32.32 |  |

Note: Extreme values over +/-75 percent have been excluded while calculating above table. Source of data – Ace Equity Database





|                              | Paired Samples t-Test |           |                    |  |       |        |    |         |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|--|-------|--------|----|---------|--|--|--|
|                              |                       | Paire     |                    |  |       |        |    |         |  |  |  |
| Between<br>'Pre' and         |                       | Std.      | Std. Error<br>Mean | 95% Confidence<br>Interval of the Diff |       | +      | df | Sig.(2- |  |  |  |
| 'Post'<br>periods            | Mean                  | Deviation |                    | Lower                                  | Upper |        |    | tailed) |  |  |  |
| (2004-08)<br>- (2009-<br>13) | -4.35833              | 9.94479   | 1.81566            | -8.07178                               | 64489 | -2.400 | 29 | .023    |  |  |  |

Table 5 indicates that there has been a reduction in mean value of ROCE for the industry as a whole by around 4 percentage points from 21.50 percent to 17.64 percent. The mean value of ROCE for the top 5 firms continues to demonstrate a robust figure of 29.54 percent even after a steep fall of around 6 percentage points. The results of the t-test are in line with this, indicating that there has been a significant impact on the means of the ROCE. The fall in the ROCE has been observed to be higher for the top 5 firms, in comparison to the industry as a whole. This could

partly be explained by the fact that the bigger firms in the industry had a build-up of liquid assets of cash and bank balances on the higher side. In the absence of expansionary needs, the industry could have used these funds to retire whatever little debt it had, or paid back higher amounts to its shareholders in the form of dividends and share buybacks. However, it seems that the industry chose to retain the capital for future needs/expansion.

# Figure 10: Trend of mean return on capital employed of 30 selected software firms of India, 2004-13



Figure 10 shows that the mean ROCE values rose marginally in 2006 and 2007, for the industry as a whole; in subsequent years it had a downward trend till 2013. The top 5 firms had also seen a significant jump in mean ROCE values between 2006 and 2008. This gradually fell back to the original levels by 2011. The top 5 firms broadly delivered an ROCE of more than one and half times that of the industry as a whole.





#### 5.4 Return on Equity

# Table 6: Mean, median and quartile values of return on equity of 30 selectedsoftware firms of India, 2004-13

|         |        |       |              |           |           | · · ·  |       |
|---------|--------|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|
| Vogr    |        |       | Overall Indu | stry      |           | Top 5  | Firms |
| rear    | Number | Mean  | Median       | Quartile1 | Quartile3 | Number | Mean  |
| 2004    | 28     | 18.91 | 18.15        | 12.55     | 27.36     | 4      | 26.18 |
| 2005    | 29     | 21.51 | 21.06        | 15.49     | 28.27     | 4      | 26.91 |
| 2006    | 29     | 22.45 | 21.54        | 13.38     | 29.95     | 5      | 40.13 |
| 2007    | 29     | 24.40 | 20.95        | 12.99     | 36.09     | 4      | 42.40 |
| 2008    | 30     | 22.86 | 21.50        | 11.11     | 28.92     | 5      | 41.81 |
| 2009    | 30     | 20.73 | 20.53        | 10.94     | 29.34     | 5      | 38.69 |
| 2010    | 30     | 20.87 | 20.85        | 12.05     | 30.38     | 5      | 31.41 |
| 2011    | 30     | 18.27 | 19.73        | 10.37     | 23.11     | 5      | 28.16 |
| 2012    | 30     | 15.33 | 17.37        | 6.65      | 23.21     | 5      | 29.28 |
| 2013    | 29     | 14.77 | 15.12        | 7.94      | 23.91     | 5      | 31.22 |
| 2004-08 | 28-30  | 22.05 | 20.68        | 12.99     | 29.95     | 4-5    | 35.98 |
| 2009-13 | 29-30  | 18.02 | 18.37        | 9.32      | 26.32     | 5      | 31.75 |
| 2004-13 | 28-30  | 20.01 | 19.35        | 10.80     | 27.84     | 4-5    | 33.73 |

(Figures are in percentages)

Note: Extreme values over +/- 75 percent have been excluded while calculating above table. Source of data – Ace Equity Database

|                                | Paired Samples t-Test |                |         |  |       |        |    |         |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|--|-------|--------|----|---------|--|--|--|--|
|                                |                       | Paire          |         |  |       |        |    |         |  |  |  |  |
| Between                        |                       |                |         | 95% Confidence<br>Interval of the Diff |       |        |    | Sig.(2- |  |  |  |  |
| 'Pre' and<br>'Post'<br>periods | Mean                  | Std. Deviation | Mean    | Lower                                  | Upper | ť      | df | tailed) |  |  |  |  |
| (2004-08)<br>- (2009-<br>13)   | -4.65500              | 10.78049       | 1.96824 | -8.68050                               | 62950 | -2.365 | 29 | .025    |  |  |  |  |

The results in Table 6 reveal that after the recession the ROE values dipped by around 4 percentage points for all segments of the industry. The mean of the return on equity for the top 5 firms remained more than satisfactory in spite of falling from 35.98 percent in the pre-recessionary

phase to 31.75 percent in the post-recessionary phase. The industry as a whole, in comparison, showed average performance, with the mean return on equity falling from 22.05 percent to 18.02 percent after the recession. The results of the t-test confirm that there has been a significant impact of recession on the ROE.





Figure 11: Trend of mean return on equity of 30 selected software firms of India, 2004-13



Figure 11 reveals that the return on equity of the top 5 firms is consistently higher than the industry average, by and large, yielding a return on equity of more than one and a half to twice that of the industry average. Before the recession, the ROE for the industry as a whole was stable, although the top 5 firms showed a consistent rise in ROE between 2005 and 2007. After the recession, the ROE had come off the peak values by nearly one third, for all segments of the industry. The top 5 firms have shown an upward trend in ROE from 2012 onwards.

In sum, the analysis of the rates of returns of the Indian software industry has revealed that in marked contrast with the profit marains, there has been an almost secular reduction in the values of the ROA, ROCE and ROE, for all segments of the industry, ranging between 1 to 6 percentage points, after the recession. The t-tests reveal that there has been a significant difference in the means of all three rates of returns between the pre- and post-recession periods. The results have been cross-validated using the Wilcoxon paired signed rank test. The top 5 firms have been impacted to a higher extent, partly due to build-up of non-productive cash-assets. In spite of a higher fall in the ratios for the top 5 firms, their mean rates of returns have been auite satisfactory, giving nearly one and a half times the returns of the industry as a whole.

## 6 DuPont Analysis

## 6.1 Introduction

The objective of this section is to assess profitability performance of Indian software industry using DuPont Analysis. DuPont analysis enables to view how the ROE is affected by the operating, investing and financing decisions of a firm, represented by:

► operating efficiency, which is measured by the net profit margin,

► asset use efficiency, which is measured by total assets turnover ratio and

► financial leverage, which is measured by the equity multiplier.

$$ROE = \frac{EAT}{Sales} \times \frac{Sales}{Assets} \times \frac{Assets}{Equity} \qquad \dots \quad Equation \ 4$$





(Figures are in ratios)

### 6.2 3-Component DuPont Analysis

## Table 7: Mean and median values of DuPontelements of 30 selected software firmsof India, 2004-13

| Year    | Net Profit Margin |        | Assets Turnover Ratio |        | Equity Multiplier |        | ROE  |        |
|---------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|------|--------|
|         | Mean              | Median | Mean                  | Median | Mean              | Median | Mean | Median |
| 2003-04 | 0.19              | 0.17   | 1.10                  | 0.98   | 1.37              | 1.28   | 0.22 | 0.20   |
| 2004-05 | 0.17              | 0.17   | 1.24                  | 1.19   | 1.83              | 1.32   | 0.30 | 0.22   |
| 2005-06 | 0.18              | 0.17   | 1.03                  | 0.93   | 1.52              | 1.36   | 0.25 | 0.24   |
| 2006-07 | 0.18              | 0.16   | 1.11                  | 0.99   | 1.89              | 1.41   | 0.33 | 0.22   |
| 2007-08 | 0.17              | 0.17   | 1.05                  | 1.03   | 1.58              | 1.39   | 0.25 | 0.23   |
| 2008-09 | 0.16              | 0.14   | 1.13                  | 1.06   | 1.62              | 1.36   | 0.24 | 0.22   |
| 2009-10 | 0.16              | 0.17   | 0.96                  | 0.87   | 1.67              | 1.42   | 0.22 | 0.24   |
| 2010-11 | 0.17              | 0.15   | 0.90                  | 0.82   | 1.57              | 1.32   | 0.19 | 0.21   |
| 2011-12 | 0.13              | 0.11   | 1.00                  | 0.86   | 1.54              | 1.36   | 0.18 | 0.17   |
| 2012-13 | 0.13              | 0.14   | 0.99                  | 0.89   | 1.77              | 1.36   | 0.19 | 0.15   |

Source of data – Ace Equity Database

Table 7 lists the year-wise means of the various ratios under the 3-component DuPont analysis. Figure 12 is a plot of these figures, showing how these ratios varied over time.

## Figure 12: Trend of mean DuPont elements of 30 selected software firms of India, 2004-13







A regression analysis was carried out for the ROE using the 3-component DuPont equation.

$$ROE = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \left(\frac{EAT}{Sales}\right) + \beta_2 \left(\frac{Sales}{Assets}\right) + \beta_3 \left(\frac{Assets}{Equity}\right) + \varepsilon \qquad \dots \quad Equation \ 5$$

### Table 8: Results of regression analysis on DuPont equation based on 30 selected software firms of India. 2004-13

#### **Summary Output**

| Regression Statistics |             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Multiple R            | 0.859587905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R Square              | 0.738891367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Audjusted R Square    | 0.735654483 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Error        | 0.093601425 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations          | 246         |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **ANOVA**

|            | df  | SS          | MS          | F           | Significance F |
|------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Regression | 3   | 5.999839697 | 1.999946566 | 228.2724352 | 2.92063E-70    |
| Residual   | 242 | 2.120216874 | 0.008761227 |             |                |
| Total      | 245 | 8.120056571 |             |             |                |

|                      | Coefficients | Standard<br>Error | t Stat       | P-value     | Lower 95%    | Upper 95%    |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Intercept            | -0.30554324  | 0.02189202        | -13.95683189 | 7.09635E-33 | -0.348666472 | -0.262420008 |
| NPM                  | 1.192374698  | 0.066468006       | 17.93907725  | 2.42421E-46 | 1.061445014  | 1.323304383  |
| Asset<br>Turnover    | 0.212408706  | 0.010620223       | 20.00040009  | 3.46624E-53 | 0.19148883   | 0.233328581  |
| Equity<br>Multiplier | 0.07676388   | 0.006038597       | 12.71220543  | 1.06478E-28 | 0.064868961  | 0.088658798  |

It is worth mentioning that the regression results i range, for a vast majority of the firms, thereby can be relied for interpretation as the statistical analysis in terms of correlation between the independent variables and autocorrelation in the dependent variable has been carried out. The correlation analysis does not suggest a strong correlation between the independent variables. Likewise, the results indicate that the autocorrelation coefficient lies well within the critical

suggesting that we may assume absence of strong auto-correlation in the dependent variable.





|                   | Net Profit<br>Margin | Assets Turnover | Equity Multiplier |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Net Profit Margin | 1                    |                 |                   |
| Assets Turnover   | -0.28                | 1               |                   |
| Equity Multiplier | -0.24                | 0.10            | 1                 |

#### Table 9 : Correlation coefficients among the independent variables

#### Figure 13: Firm-wise first-order autocorrelation coefficients for ROE



The adjusted R-square value of 0.7356 in Table i are less than 0.05 and therefore indicate that 8 indicates that more than 73 percent of the the results are significant and can be accepted. cases can be explained well with the help of the equation. The significance value of F-test and the P-values for the individual coefficients

Based on the results shown above, the regression equation can be written as

$$ROE = -0.305 + 1.192 \left(\frac{EAT}{Sales}\right) + 0.212 \left(\frac{Sales}{Assets}\right) + 0.077 \left(\frac{Assets}{Equity}\right) \qquad \dots \quad Equation \ 6$$

Equation 6 reveals that a unit change in net profit margin would lead to a change of 1.192 times in ROE; a unit change in assets turnover ratio would lead to a change of 0.212 times in ROE; the last is the equity multiplier ratio which has a multiplier effect of only 0.077. The recommendation for the industry is that it should focus on improving its net profit margin and assets turnover ratio as the greatest improvement in ROE can be achieved

by improving these two ratios.

In order to improve their net profit margins, firms would need to work at improving their operational costs. In addition, small and mid-sized firms, which have sizeable interest burden, could benefit significantly by retiring debt and reducing this interest component.

One of the factors which firms could turn in their





favor is the assets turnover ratio. The firms should make all efforts to identify outlets of utilizing their idle cash and bank balances in a better manner, increasing the overall productivity of their assets. They could also look into introducing multiple shift work in their offices. This would result in increased sales, without commensurate increase in fixed assets base. This is something which is routinely followed by the IT enabled services sector.

## 7 Concluding Observations

The study indicates that in spite of the global slowdown and major spending cuts in IT budgets of firms across USA and Europe, the software firms of India have managed to remain profitable, although there was a temporary dip in the margins in 2009. There was no significant impact of the global slowdown on the operating, pre-tax and net profit margins of the industry as a whole, which reduced by only 1 percentage point after the recession. The fall in the margins was to some extent cushioned by the depreciation of the rupee against the major foreign currencies during this period. The top 5 software firms have consistently shown robust operating and pre-tax profit margins of around 25 percent and net profit margin of around 22 percent. In comparison, the profit margins for the industry as a whole, based on the 10 year period of the study, have been found to be lower by 6 to 8 percentage points.

It has been observed that there has also been a marked difference in the rates of returns of the top 5 firms, compared to the rest of the industry. The top 5 firms earned nearly one and a half times the returns which the industry as a whole yielded. After the recession, there has been an almost secular reduction in the values of the ROA, ROCE and ROE, for all segments of the industry, ranging between 1 to 6 percentage points. The top 5 firms have been impacted to a higher extent, partly due to steep build-up of non-productive assets in the form of cash and bank balances.

During the period of study, the top 5 firms have managed to squeeze out competition, and increased their market share from around 25 percent to over 50 percent of total software exports from India. It has been observed that this has coincided with a near doubling in cash-to-assets ratio of the top 5 firms during the same period, substantiating earlier research which hints at a correlation between high cash levels and ability to make proactive, strategic moves to stifle the growth of competition.

The recommendation for the industry, based on the DuPont analysis and the regression carried out, is to focus foremost on improving the net profit margin and the assets turnover ratio. Small and mid-sized firms, having a sizeable interest burden, could benefit significantly by retiring debt and reducing this burden. Other firms can look into reducing their idle cash holdings and improving the overall productivity of their assets, by either paying back the shareholders in the form of dividends or buy back of shares, or using them for making strategic investments for future growth. They could also introduce multiple shift work in their offices, resulting in increased sales, without commensurate increase in fixed assets base.

Overall, in spite of the global downturn, the Indian software industry has been able to maintain financial ratios within a healthy range, compared to other industries. This has been partly because its value proposition continues to be relevant, perhaps becoming even more compelling, in the wake of the global recession, where global clients are forced to rethink on ways to reduce their costs. However, the industry needs to learn lessons from its peers like Ireland and Israel and needs to move up the value chain, increasing its revenue per employee to a much higher level by offering innovations and products to the global market, rather than just remaining a services





oriented industry. It also needs to take inspiration from China and Brazil and grow the domestic market divisions, failing which this market is likely to be captured by the foreign competition.

#### References

Arora, A. and Gambardella, A. (2004). "The globalization of the software industry: perspectives and opportunities for developed and developing countries" (No. w10538). National Bureau of Economic Research.

Arora, A. and Gambardela , A.(2005), "From underdogs to tigers - The rise and growth of the software industry in Brazil, China, India, Ireland and Israel", Oxford University Press.

Bates, T. W., Kahle, K. M. and Stulz, R. M. (2009). "Why do US firms hold so much more cash than they used to?", *The Journal of Finance*, 64(5), 1985-2021.

Bhatnagar, S. (2006). "India's software industry." In Chandra, V. (Ed.), Technology, adaptation and exports: How some developing countries got it right (pp 49-81). World Bank Publications.

Government of India, Ministry of Finance(2014). "Economic Survey 2013-14."

Forbes India (2013, July), "Will Business Restructuring Fuel Polaris Financial Technology's Growth?",Retrievedfromhttp://forbesindia.com/ article/big-bet/will-business-restructuring-fuel-polaris-financial-technologys-growth/35653/2

Fresard, L. (2010). "Financial strength and product market behavior: The real effects of corporate cash holdings.", *The Journal of finance*, 65(3), 1097-1122.

Ghosh, J. and Chandrasekhar, C. P. (2009). "The costs of 'coupling': The global crisis and the Indian economy", *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 33(4), 725-739.

India Brand Equity Foundation(2014). "IT and ITeS." Retrieved from http://www.ibef.org/ download/IT-and-ITeS-March-2014.pdf

UNCTAD(2012). "Information Economy Report 2012." Retrieved from http://www.**unctad**.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/ier**2012\_**en.pdf

Khan, M. Y. and Jain, P. K. (2014). ''Financial management: Text, problems and cases", McGraw Hill, New Delhi.

Kim, C., and Bettis, R. A. (2013). "Cash is surprisingly valuable as a strategic asset.", *Strategic Management Journal*. doi: 10.1002/smj.2205

Kumar, Rajiv; Vashisht, Pankaj (2009) : "The global economic crisis: Impact on India and policy responses", Asian Development Bank Institute working paper series 164. Tokyo: ADB Institute.

Zhou, J. (2009). "Increase in Cash Holdings: Pervasive or Sector-Specific?", University of Toronto[Online]. Retrieved from:

http://69.175.2.130/~finman/Reno/Papers/increaseincashholdings\_Jan152009\_fma09. pdf





## Foreign Direct Investment in Indian Scenario: An Empirical Study of Service Sector

## Abstract

Service sector is one of the fastest growing sectors in India. It contribution to Indian economy has been manifold which is about 55.2% in Gross Domestic Product and is growing by 10% annually. One of the important things observed is that the share of Service sector in total Foreign Direct Investment in India has increased by leaps and bound in the few past years. One of the important observations that can be made is that during 1991 to 2005 the share of service sector in Total FDI in Indian Economy was just 9.76% occupying 5<sup>th</sup> position, but its share in total FDI has increased to around 21% occupying the 1<sup>st</sup> position in 2011 as per the report available through DIPP website.

## **Keywords**

FDI, Power, Investment, Inflows, Government

## 1. Introduction

In the 21<sup>st</sup> century India is an emerging economic power with vast human and natural resources and a huge knowledge base. The economy of India is the twelfth largest economy in the world by nominal value and the fourth largest by Purchasing Power Parity (PPP).

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has been increasing dramatically across the world. Almost all the countries in the world are realizing the

## Arindam Banerjee

importance of foreign capital's role in the rapid industrial and economic development. It contributes a lot to the economic growth and industrial development across the world. It even acts as a catalyst in the process of domestic industrial development. Further it helps in speeding up economic activity and brings with it other scarce productive factors such as technical know- how and managerial experience, which are essential for economic development.

FDI plays an important role in the development process of a country. It has potential for making contribution to the economic development through the transfer of financial resources, technology innovation and improved management techniques. Developing countries like India need substantial foreign inflows to achieve the required investment to accelerate economic growth and development.

## 1.1 Meaning of FDI

FDI is generally defined as "A form of long term international capital movement made for the purpose of productivity activity and accompanied by the intention of managerial control or participation in the management of the foreign firm".

According to the International monetary fund, FDI can be defined as "An Investment that is made to acquire a lasting interest in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor. The investor's purpose is to have an effective voice in the management of the enter-





prise." FDI should not be confused with portfolio : Tourism etc. Some of the FDI policies in relation investment, which doesn't seek management control. Portfolio investment occurs when an individual investor invest, mostly through stockbrokers in the stocks of the foreign countries in search of profit opportunities.

The World Investment Report of the United Nations Conference on trade and development (UNCTAD) defines FDI as "International Investment that reflects the objective of a resident entity in one economy (Foreign Direct Investor or Parent Enterprise) obtaining "Lasting Interest" in and control of an enterprise resident in a economy other than that of foreign direct investor." Lasting interest implies the existence of a long-term relationship between a direct investor and the enterprise and a significant degree of influence on the management of the enterprise.

## 1.2 The Service Sector in India

Service sector is one of the fastest growing sectors in India. It contribution to Indian economy has been manifold which is about 55.2% in Gross Domestic Product and is growing by 10% annually. One of the important things observed in share of Service sector in total Foreign Direct Investment in India is that it has increased by leaps and bound in the few past years.

One of the important observations that can be made is that during 1991 to 2005 the share of service sector in Total FDI was just 9.76% occupying 5<sup>th</sup> position, but its share in total FDI has increased to around 21% occupying the 1<sup>st</sup> position in 2011 as per the report available through DIPP website.

#### 1.3 FDI Policy in relation to the Service Sector

In this paper an attempt has been made to analyze the FDI Inflows in Service Sector (both Financial and Non Financial) as well as component wise like Telecommunication, Hotel and

to different service sector are given below:

Example in Insurance Sector, FDI is allowed on the automatic route subject to obtaining license from Insurance Regulatory & Development Authority (IRDA).In private Sector Banking, FDI of 49% from all sources on the automatic route is allowed subject to guidelines issued from RBI. In telecommunication Industry, FDI is limited to 49% subject to licensing and security requirements and adherence by the companies. In trading, FDI up to 51% is allowed provided that it is primarily export activities, and the undertaking is an export house/trading house/super trading house.

## 2. Objective of the Study

The study primarily focuses on the following objectives:

a. Analysis of overall Sectoral FDI inflows in India from 2002 to 2011.

**b.** Analysis of the FDI Inflows in Service Sector from 2000 to 2011.

C. Analysis of monthly FDI Inflows in Service Sector in 2009-10 and 2010-11.

**d.** Analysis of Component Wise break up of FDI Inflow in Service Sector in India.

## 3. Literature Review

It is proposed to present briefly some of the literatures referred by me while conducting the study.

Liesbeth Colen, Miet Maertens, Jo Swinnen (2008) has worked on "FDI as an Engine for Economic Growth and Human Development. The objective of the study was to analyze the importance of FDI on developing countries with a view to highlight the trend of the FDI flows. The study further tries to evaluate the effect of FDI on





economic growth as well as poverty reduction.

► Mahima Dass Gupta (2005) aims at analyzing the role and performance of FDI in India. This study focuses on analyzing different routes through which FDI comes to India or the dimension and trend of FDI vis a vis certain selected Asian countries.

► Dr. H. A. C. Prasad and R. Sathish (2010) in their working Paper (Department of Economic Affairs Ministry of Finance Government of India) titled 'Policy for India's Services Sector' have examined major issues concerning India's service sector. They have given both general and sector specific policy suggestion. The paper also emphasizes on the importance of services for India in terms of GDP growth, services export growth and openness of the economy.

► M. Selvakumar, T. Ambika and S. Muthulakshmi (2007) in their article "Foreign Direct Investment in Service Sector" have focused on trend and pattern of FDI in Indian Service Sector and the Global trend of FDI in Service Sector and emphasizes that efforts should be made towards attracting efficiency seeking FDI through a right policy that expand operation, improve local skills, establish linkages and upgrade technology.

► Mahima Dass Gupta (2005) aims at analyzing the role and performance of FDI in India. This study focuses on analyzing different routes through which FDI comes to India or the dimension and trend of FDI vis a vis certain selected Asian countries.

► Economic Survey (2010-11) emphasized that India stands out for the size and dynamism of its service sectors. The contribution of Service sector to the Indian Economy has been manifold. It highlights various aspects of Service Sector like sectors attracting highest FDI Inflows in India (Service sector occupying the top position), Service Exports, share of different service categories in GDP, share and growth of Service sector etc.

### 4. Research Methodology

The data relevant to the study has been collected from various sources like the report of the ministry of commerce and industry, the department of Industrial promotion and policy (DIPP), the government of India, Centre of Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE), Reserve Bank of India, and Journals. Various information has also been taken from World development reports and World Investment Reports. The data has been analyzed by using statistical tools like correlation, simple regression model (using least square method) so as to draw valid conclusion. SPSS 16 has been used for analysis purpose apart from other statistical analysis with graphs etc.

## 5. Analysis

The entire analysis for the study has been conducted in different sections as discussed below:

**5.1** : This section basically deals with the distribution of the FDI Inflows in different sectors in Indian Economy.

Table 1 deals with the FDI Inflows in top 5 sectors in Indian Economy. It is observed from Table 1 that Service sector (comprising of financial and non financial) attracts the maximum FDI Inflows in Indian economy i.e. 26835US \$(in millions) from 2002 to 2011. Service sector is followed by Computer software and Hardware, Telecommunication, House and Real Estate and Construction in terms of attracting the maximum FDI inflows in Indian economy from 2002 to 2011.

The result of Table 1 is produced below:





| Sector   | 2002-<br>03 | 2003-<br>04 | 2004-<br>05 | 2005-<br>06 | 2006-<br>07 | 2007-<br>08 | 2008-<br>09 | 2009-<br>10 | 2010-<br>11 | Total |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| Service<br>Sector<br>(Financial<br>and Non<br>Financial) | 326         | 269         | 469         | 581         | 4664        | 6615        | 6116        | 4392        | 3403        | 26835 |
| Computer   |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |       |
| Hard & Soft  | 644         | 532         | 721         | 1451        | 2733        | 1410        | 1677        | 919         | 484         | 10571 |
| Telecom  | 223         | 116         | 129         | 686         | 521         | 1261        | 2558        | 2554        | 1665        | 9713  |
| Real estate  | N.A         | N.A         | N.A         | 38          | 467         | 2179        | 2801        | 2844        | 1127        | 9456  |
| Construction   | 21          | 47          | 152         | 151         | 985         | 1743        | 2028        | 2868        | 1125        | 9120  |

#### Table 1 : Top 5 sectors attracting the maximum FDI Inflow in Indian Economy

Source: Website of Department of Industrial promotion and policy (DIPP), In the table : N.A Means Not Available and amount are expressed in US \$ (in millions)

Thus we can observe from Section 5.1 that Service Sector occupies 1<sup>st</sup> position among the sectors having the maximum FDI Inflows in Indian Scenario from 2002 to 2010. Table 2 deals with the percentage of FDI inflows to Total cumulative FDI inflows in Indian my for Top 5 sectors. It is observed from Table 2 that percentage of FDI Inflows to cumulative FDI

**5.2** : This section deals with percentage of FDI Inflows to total cumulative FDI inflows of Indian economy for Top 5 sectors in Indian scenario. It was observed from the previous section that service sector attracted the maximum FDI Inflows in Indian Economy.

Table 2 deals with the percentage of FDI inflows to Total cumulative FDI inflows in Indian economy for Top 5 sectors. It is observed from Table 2 that percentage of FDI Inflows to cumulative FDI inflows is maximum for Service sector (20.82%) followed by Computer Software and Hardware , Telecommunication, House and Real Estate and Construction from 2000 to 2011.

The result of Table 2 is produced below:

#### Table 2. Percentage of FDI Inflows to cumulative FDI Inflows for top 5 Sectors

|   | Total | Cumulative<br>Inflow | % Inflows |
|---|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| Service Sector(Financial and Non Financial) | 27007 |                      | 20. 82    |
| Computer Software and Hardware              | 10723 |                      | 8.26      |
| Telecommunication                           | 10589 |                      | 8.16      |
| House and Real Estate                       | 9632  |                      | 7.42      |
| Construction                                | 9178  |                      | 7.07      |

Amount Expressed in US \$ (in millions)





|                                  | Total | Cumulative<br>Inflow | % Inflows |
|----------------------------------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| Cumulative Inflow (2000 to 2011) |       | 129716               |           |

Source: Website of Department of Industrial promotion and policy (DIPP)

| Thus we can observe from Section 5.2 that the        | served from Table 3 that the percentage of FDI               |
|--|--|
| percentage of FDI Inflows to Total cumulative FDI    | Inflow in the Service sector to the cumulative FDI           |
| Inflows is maximum for service sector in Indian      | inflow in Indian economy is maximum in the year              |
| scenario from 2000 to 2010.                          | 2006-07 (29.6%) followed by 2007-08 and 2008-                |
|  | 09. The total percentage of FDI Inflow in Service            |
| 5.3: In this section an attempt has been made to     | sector to the total FDI Inflow in Indian Economy             |
| further investigate the percentage of FDI Inflows    | from 2002-03 to 2009-10 is 21.5%. This makes                 |
| in Service sector to Total cumulative FDI Inflows in | service sector holds a prominent (1 <sup>st</sup> ) position |
| Indian Economy from 2002 to 2010.                    | among different sectors attracting FDI inflows.              |
| Table 3 deals with the above objective. It is ob-    | The result of Table 3 is produced below:                     |

#### Table 3:Cumulative FDI Inflow Indian Economy Vs FDI Inflows in Service Sector

| Year    | Cumulative FDI<br>Inflows | FDI Inflows in Service Sector<br>(Financial and Non Financial) | % of FDI Inflows |
|---------|---------------------------|--|------------------|
| 2002-03 | 3134                      | 326  | 10.4             |
| 2003-04 | 2634                      | 269  | 10.2             |
| 2004-05 | 3755                      | 469  | 12.5             |
| 2005-06 | 5549                      | 581  | 10.4             |
| 2006-07 | 15730                     | 4664   | 29.6             |
| 2007-08 | 24579                     | 6615   | 26.9             |
| 2008-09 | 27309                     | 6138   | 22.4             |
| 2009-10 | 25888                     | 4353   | 16.8             |
| Total   | 108578                    | 23415  | 21.5             |

Amount Expressed in US \$ (in millions)

Source: Website of Department of Industrial promotion and policy (DIPP)

| 5.4: It has been an endeavor in this section to   | between Cumulative FDI in Indian Economy and       |
|---|--|
| investigate into the relationship between Cumu-   | FDI in Service Sector. It is observed from Table 4 |
| lative FDI in Indian Economy and FDI in Service   | that correlation is .952 which is depicting a very |
| Sector through correlation and regression analy-  | high positive correlation.                         |
| sis. SPSS 16 is used for analysis purpose.        |  |
|   | The result of correlation matrix is produced in    |
| Table 4 is basically dealing with the correlation | Table 4:   |





| Correlations |                     |         |             |  |  |  |
|--------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|--|--|--|
|              |                     | Cum.FDI | FDI.Service |  |  |  |
| Cum.FDI      | Pearson Correlation | 1.000   | .952**      |  |  |  |
|              | Sig. (2-tailed)     |         | .000        |  |  |  |
|              | Ν                   | 8.000   | 8           |  |  |  |
|              | Pearson Correlation | .952**  | 1.000       |  |  |  |
| FDI.Service  | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000    |             |  |  |  |
|              | Ν                   | 8       | 8.000       |  |  |  |

## Table 4 (Correlation Matrix)

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The significant influence of FDI in Service Sector on the Total Cumulative FDI in Indian Economy is further tested by Regression analysis using SPSS 16.

Table 5 consists of the regression results. Cumula- 15 that R square is .906 meaning that 90.6% of the tive FDI is taken as a dependent variable and FDI . Cumulative FDI in India Economy is explained inflow in service sector is taken as independent variable for the study. It is observed from Table

by FDI in Service Sector. The result of Table 5 is produced below:

## Table 5 (Regression Results: R<sup>2</sup>)

| Model Summary |       |          |                      |                               |  |  |  |
|---------------|-------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Model         | R     | R Square | Adjusted R<br>Square | Std. Error of the<br>Estimate |  |  |  |
| 1             | .952ª | .906     | .890                 | 3667.64246                    |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), FDI.SERVICE

Table 6 basically investigates into the significant [FDI in Service Sector is a very significant factor ininfluence of FDI inflows in service sector on the *fluencing* the Cumulative FDI in Indian Economy. cumulative FDI Inflows in Indian Economy. It is observed from Table 6 that t value of FDI in Service Sector is 7.590 (which is beyond the range of - 2 and +2) and p value of .000 (p < .05). Hence the

The beta coefficient is positive indicating that FDI in Service Sector is positively influencing the Cumulative FDI in Indian Economy. The result of Table 6 is produced below.

#### Table 6: Regression Results

| Model |             | Unstandardized<br>Coefficients |            | Standardized<br>Coefficients | t     | Sig. |
|-------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------|------|
|       |             | В                              | Std. Error | Beta                         |       |      |
| 1     | (Constant)  | 2519.934                       | 1949.908   |                              | 1.292 | .244 |
|       | FDI.Service | 3.776                          | .498       | .952                         | 7.590 | .000 |

a. Dependent Variable: Cum.FDI





We can plot a graph between Cumulative FDI Inflows and FDI Inflows in Service Sector (refer table 3) as shown below:



Graph 1 : Cumulative FDI Inflows and FDI Inflows in Service Sector

X-axis: years, Y axis: FDI Inflows, Series 1: Cumulative FDI Inflows, Series 2: FDI Inflows in Service Sector

It can observed from the above graph that the 1 The graph 2 is produced below: FDI inflow in Service sector has increased with the increase of total FDI Inflows in India. But FDI inflow in Service sector has decreased with the decrease of total FDI Inflows in India in the year 2009-10 which is again reflecting a very positive correlation.

5.5: This section deals with graphical representation of the FDI inflows in Service sector from 2002 to 2010.

Graph 1 reflects the FDI Inflows in Service Sector in Indian Scenario from 2002-03 to 2009-10.

It is observed from graph 1 that the highest FDI Inflows in Service Sector was in the year 2007-08 (6615 US \$ (in millions)) followed by the year 2008-09 and 2006-07.

So, a steady increase in the FDI Inflows in the service sector is observed though it has reduced a bit in the year 2009-10 compared to 2008-09.







Graph 2: FDI Inflows in Service Sector in India (2002-2010)

Note: X Axis represents the FDI Inflows in Service Sector (Financial and Non Financial) (US \$ (in millions)) and Y Axis represents the years

| Further in this section the total inflow of equity FD   | forecast the total FDI inflows in 2012 and 2013.  |
|---|---|
| in Service Sector (Financial and Non Financial)<br>in India is tested through the fitting Linear Trenc<br>equation using the method of least squares. | The calculated Linear Trend Equation is given below   |
| The Linear Trend Equation is Y= a + bx  | Y= 4696.4 +315.5t (As it is time series)  |
| Table 7 deals with the total FDI equity Inflows in<br>India<br>Table 7: Total FDI equity Inflows from   | However using the Linear Trend Equation as<br>shown below the projected inflow of FDI in In-<br>dia for the year 2012, [deviation taken (t) = 4] is<br>5958.4 US Million Dollars.               |
| 2007 to 2010 (year has been taken from<br>January to December and Amount is<br>expressed in US Million Dollars)                                       | Y (2012) = $4696.4 + 315.5(4) = 5958.4$ US Million<br>Dollars<br>For the year 2013, deviation taken (t) = 5   |
|   |   |
| Year         2006         2007         2008         2009         2010   | Y (2012) = 4696.4 + 315.5(5) = 6273.9 US Million  |
| FDI         3939         2063         8043         5778         3659  | It can be observed from the above calculation   |
| Source: Website of Department of Industria<br>promotion and policy (DIPP)<br>The main focus of this part of the section is to                         | that the projected FDI Inflows in Service Sector<br>(Financial and Non Financial) in India in 2012<br>and 2013 is expected to be 5958.4 and 6273.9 US<br>Million Dollars. (January to December) |
|   |   |





At the "Focus India Show" in Chicago Indian Commerce and Industry Minister Mr. Kamal Nath told the US investors that India has emerged as the "Best Destination" for FDI and joint ventures. He also described India economy as "India: The Fastest Growing Free Market Democracy". and December the increase in FDI Inflows in 2010-11 compared to 2009-10 shows a positive increase of 118, 606 and 472 US \$ (in millions) representing 5%,40% and 30% increase.In other months FDI Inflow shows a decreasing trend in 2010-11 compared to 2009-10, highest being

**5.6:** The present section deals with the analysis of monthly FDI Inflow in Service Sector (both Financial and Non Financial) and in India Economy from 2009-10 and 2010-11.

Table 8 reflects the monthly FDI inflows in Indian Economy from 2009 -10 to 2010-11. It is observed from table 8 that during 3 months : May, Sept

and December the increase in FDI Inflows in 2010-11 compared to 2009-10 shows a positive increase of 118, 606 and 472 US \$ (in millions) representing 5%,40% and 30% increase. In other months FDI Inflow shows a decreasing trend in 2010-11 compared to 2009-10, highest being in the month of August of 1938 US \$ (in millions) ( representing 59%) If we investigate into the overall FDI Inflow in Indian Economy in 2010-11 compared to 2009-10 we can observe a decreasing trend. (Absolute decrease 6420 US \$ (in millions) representing 24%).

The result of Table 8 is given below:

| Month    | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | Absolute Increase/<br>Decrease | % Increase/<br>Decrease |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| April    | 2339    | 2179    | -160                           | -0.068405301            |
| Мау      | 2095    | 2213    | 118                            | 0.056324582             |
| June     | 2582    | 1380    | -1202                          | -0.465530596            |
| July     | 3476    | 1785    | -1691                          | -0.486478711            |
| August   | 3268    | 1330    | -1938                          | -0.593023256            |
| Sept     | 1512    | 2118    | 606                            | 0.400793651             |
| October  | 2332    | 1392    | -940                           | -0.403087479            |
| November | 1735    | 1628    | -107                           | -0.06167147             |
| December | 1542    | 2014    | 472                            | 0.306095979             |
| January  | 2042    | 1042    | -1000                          | -0.489715965            |
| February | 1717    | 1274    | -443                           | -0.258008154            |
| March    | 1209    | 1074    | -135                           | -0.111662531            |
| Total    | 25849   | 19429   | -6420                          | -0.248365507            |

Table 8: Monthly FDI Equity inflow for the Indian Economy

Source: Website of Department of Industrial promotion and policy (DIPP)

| Table 9 reflects the monthly FDI inflows in Indian service sector from 2009 -10 to 2010-11.    | year 2010-11 compared to 2009-10. The maxi-<br>mum decrease is reflected in the month of June |
|--|---|
| It can be observed from Table 9 that service sector in India is showing a positive increase in | (-91%) and October (-80%) for the year 2010-11<br>compared to 2009-10.                        |
| the month of July (34%), September (447%),<br>November (36%) and December (135%) for the       | The result of Table 9 is produced below:  |





| Month    | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | Absolute Increase/<br>Decrease | % Increase/<br>Decrease |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| April    | 655.19  | 355.25  | -299.94                        | -0.45779087             |
| Мау      | 492.62  | 231.83  | -260.79                        | -0.529393853            |
| June     | 781.77  | 68.45   | -713.32                        | -0.912442278            |
| July     | 286.5   | 384.2   | 97.7                           | 0.341012216             |
| August   | 338.52  | 181.51  | -157.01                        | -0.463813069            |
| Sept     | 147.33  | 806.09  | 658.76                         | 4.471322881             |
| October  | 493.53  | 96.01   | -397.52                        | -0.805462687            |
| November | 317.46  | 433.64  | 116.18                         | 0.365967366             |
| December | 108.96  | 256.77  | 147.81                         | 1.356552863             |
| January  | 330.14  | 143.27  | -186.87                        | -0.566032592            |
| February | 307.9   | 275.2   | -32.7                          | -0.106203313            |
| March    | 207.29  | 134.6   | -72.69                         | -0.350668146            |
| Total    | 4467.21 | 3366.82 | -1100.39                       | -0.246326007            |

#### Table 9 : Monthly FDI inflow in the Indian Service Sector

5.7 : The present section investigates the per- to the Total FDI Inflows in Indian Economy was centage of FDI Inflow in service sector related to the highest (38%) and was the lowest (5%) in the the cumulative FDI Inflow in Indian economy on monthly basis.

Table 10 displays the percentage of FDI Inflow in service sector related to the cumulative FDI Inflow in Indian economy on monthly basis for 2009-10 and 2010-11.

It can be observed from table 10 that in 2009-10. the total FDI Inflow in Indian Service Sector was 17% of the total FDI Inflow in Indian Economy. In the month of June, 2009 the percentage of total FDI Inflows in Service sector to the Total FDI Inflows in Indian Economy was the highest (30%) and was the lowest (7%) in December, 2009.

It is further observed from the table that in 2010-11, the total FDI Inflow in Indian Service Sector was again 17% of the total FDI Inflow in Indian Economy. In the month of September, 2010, the percentage of total FDI Inflows in Service sector

month of June, 2010.

The result of Table 10 is displayed below.





#### Table 10: Percentage of FDI Inflow in service sector related to the cumulative FDI Inflow in Indian economy(on monthly basis)

|           | Total FDI<br>Inflow in<br>Indian<br>Economy | Total FDI<br>Inflow in<br>Indian<br>Service<br>Sector | % of FDI<br>Inflow in<br>Indian<br>Service<br>Sector to<br>Total FDI<br>Inflow in<br>Indian<br>Economy | Total FDI<br>Inflow in<br>Indian<br>Economy | Total FDI<br>Inflow in<br>Indian<br>Service<br>Sector | % of FDI<br>Inflow in<br>Indian<br>Service<br>Sector to<br>Total FDI<br>Inflow in<br>Indian<br>Economy |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Month     | 2009-10                                     | 2009-10   | %  | 2010-11                                     | 2010-11   | %  |
| April     | 2339  | 655.19  | 28   | 2179  | 355.25  | 16   |
| Мау       | 2095  | 492.62  | 24   | 2213  | 231.83  | 10   |
| June      | 2582  | 781.77  | 30   | 1380  | 68.45   | 5  |
| July      | 3476  | 286.5   | 8  | 1785  | 384.2   | 22   |
| August    | 3268  | 338.52  | 10   | 1330  | 181.51  | 13   |
| September | 1512  | 147.33  | 9  | 2118  | 806.09  | 38   |
| October   | 2332  | 493.53  | 21   | 1392  | 96.01   | 7  |
| November  | 1735  | 317.46  | 18   | 1628  | 433.64  | 26   |
| December  | 1542  | 108.96  | 7  | 2014  | 256.77  | 12   |
| January   | 2042  | 330.14  | 16   | 1042  | 143.27  | 13   |
| February  | 1717  | 307.9   | 18   | 1274  | 275.2   | 22   |
| March     | 1209  | 207.29  | 17   | 1074  | 134.6   | 13   |
|           | 25849                                       | 4467.21   | 17   | 19429                                       | 3366.82   | 17   |

5.8: The present section deals with FDI inflows for <sup>1</sup> Hotel and Tourism. different components of Service Sector in India like tourism, telecom etc.

As it was observed in the analysis of the previous sections, the article was mainly focused on FDI Inflows in Service Sector in Financial and Non Financial areas. In this section, overall component wise break up of Service Sector has been analyzed. The sector taken into consideration apart from Financial and Non Financial are telecommunication, consultancy services, Trading,

Table 11 deals with the FDI Inflows in different sectors of Indian service sctors. It can be observed from Table 11 that maximum FDI has been attracted in Financial and Non Financial (54%) followed by Telecommunication (26%), Trading (10%) and Hotel and tourism in the financial year 2010-11. The result of Table 11 is produced below:





## Table 11 : FDI Inflow in Different sectors related to Service (April, 2010 to March, 2011) in (US \$ (in millions)

| Sector                    | FDI<br>Inflow | %  |
|---------------------------|---------------|----|
| Financial & Non Financial | 3366          | 54 |
| Telecom                   | 1659          | 26 |
| Consultancy Services      | 256           | 5  |
| Trading                   | 667           | 10 |
| Hotel & Tourism           | 268           | 5  |
| Total                     | 6216          |    |

#### The result from Table 11 is represented by PIE Chart as given below: PIE CHART (for table 11)



5.9 : In this section an further analysis has been 5 between 2009-10 and 2010-11 that only Trading made to investigate the FDI Inflow in different sectors of Indian service sector for 2009-10 and 2010-11.

Table 12 deals with the FDI Inflow in different sectors of Indian service sector for 2009-10 and 2010-11.

reflects a positive increase in FDI Inflows in the year 2010-11 compared to 2009-10 (% increase of 15% and Absolute increase of 89 US \$ (in millions)). The greatest decrease is observed in Hotel and Tourism Sector (-64%) followed by Telecommunication sector (-34%) and Consultancy services (-25%).

It is observed from Table 12 after a comparison The result of Table 12 is observed below:

### Table 12: FDI Inflow in different sectors of Indian service sector for 2009-10 and 2010-11

| Sector                | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | Absolute +/- | (%) +/- |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Finan & Non Financial | 4467    | 3366    | -1101        | -24     |





| Sector               | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | Absolute +/- | (%) +/- |
|----------------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Telecom              | 2531    | 1659    | -872         | -34     |
| Consultancy Services | 345     | 256     | -89          | -25     |
| Trading              | 578     | 667     | 89           | 15      |
| Hotel & Tourism      | 748     | 268     | -480         | -64     |
| Total                | 8669    | 6216    | -2453        | -28     |

### 6. Conclusions

From the above study some of the facts and findings can be summarized as below:

► Service Sector in India occupies 1<sup>st</sup> position among the different sectors attracting the maximum FDI Inflows in Indian Scenario from 2002 to 2010 followed by Computer software and Hardware, Telecommunication, House and Real Estate and Construction.

► The percentage of FDI Inflows to cumulative FDI inflows in Indian Economy is maximum for Service sector (20.82%) followed by Computer Software and Hardware , Telecommunication, House and Real Estate and Construction from 2000 to 2011.

► The percentage of FDI Inflow in the Service sector to the cumulative FDI inflow in Indian economy is maximum in the year 2006-07 (29.6%) followed by 2007-08 and 2008-09.

► FDI in Service Sector is a very significant factor influencing the Cumulative FDI in Indian Economy. The beta coefficient (obtained through regression analysis) is positive indicating that FDI in Service Sector is positively influencing the Cumulative FDI in Indian Economy.

► The maximum FDI Inflows in Service Sector was in the year 2007-08 (6615 US \$ (in millions)) followed by the year 2008-09 and 2006-07.

► For the service sector, the maximum FDI has

been attracted in Financial and Non Financial (54%) followed by Telecommunication (26%) , Trading (10%) and Hotel and tourism for the financial year 2010-11.

► After a comparison between 2009-10 and 2010-11 it is observed that only Trading (as a component of service sector) reflects a positive increase in FDI Inflows in the year 2010-11 compared to 2009-10.

It is to be noted that a confluence of factors such as spurt in corruption cases, procedural delays, environmental policy issues, comparatively higher inflation might affect the FDI investment into a country. India has to fully unravel its FDI potential to the fullest and the government has to take significant measures aimed at further liberalization of FDI by easing the procedural processes and building robust infrastructure to make India an emerging investment hub.

#### 7. References

Balasubramanyam, V.N.Mahambare, Vidya (2003), "FDI in India, Transnational Corporations, UNCTAD, Volume 12, Number 2, August 2003 45-72.

Chakraborty C. Nunnenkamp, P. (2008), "Economic Reforms, FDI, and Economic Growth in India: A Sectoral Level Analysis, World Development, 36(7). 1192-1212

Website of Department of Industrial





policy and Promotion (www.dipp.nic.in).

Economic Department, Ministry of Finance & Company Affairs Economic Survey 2007-2008, New Delhi.

News letters published by the Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion, Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India.

R. Nagaraj (2003), "FDI in India in 1990's, Trend and Issues", Economic and Political Weekly, 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2003.

Dr. Jita Bhattacharyya and Mousumi Bhattacharya (2011), "Causal Relationship between FDI Inflow and Services Export – A case of India," Gurukul Business Review (GBR), Vol. 7 (Spring 2011), pp. 63-72.

Zhu, Li-ping and Yang Xu-biao (2010), "The Empirical Analysis on the relationship between foreign direct investment and China's service industry growth efficiency" Information Science and Engineering (ICISE), 2010 2nd International Conference on Pages 3259 – 3262.

Chitrakalpa Sen(2011), "FDI in Service Sector – Propagator for Growth for India?" Theoretical and Applied Economics (Volume XVIII, No. 6(559), pp. 141-156.

Kulwindar Singh (2005), "Foreign Direct Investment in India: A critical analysis of FDI from 1991-2005".





## Higher Education in Private Universities of Bangladesh : Emergence, Reality and Policy Guidelines

## Abstract

Higher education has the direct impact on socio-economic development of a country. Higher education through the creation of human capital may promote prosperity in the peripheral nation. University is not only a place of academic excellence rather it is also a center of change and development. The private universities of Bangladesh are very weak. In practice, these private universities could not contribute significantly to the human resource development of the country. The main objective of the paper is to highlight on the issues that are directly related to the emergence of higher education in private sector. The present state of tertiary level education provided by private universities is also outlined. Teaching methods and design used by the private universities are also discussed. Some recommendations are suggested to help solve the problems of private universities. The authors were highly selective to keep the length of paper within limited bound.

## Keywords

Private Universities; Public Universities; Higher Education; Teaching Environment.

## S. M. Salamat Ullah Bhuiyan H.M. Kamrul Hassan Zapan Barua

## 1.0 Introduction

Higher education is an important ingredient for developing human capital in a country. The human capital has the direct impact over income generation as well as wealth creation for a nation. Human capital in different countries is considered more important than the physical capital (World Bank Report 2000). The knowledge and skill derived from human capital facilitate and sustain economic development in the least developed countries of the world.

In Bangladesh, higher education at the university level is provided through two main types of institutions - public universities and private universities. The concept of private universities in Bangladesh is not very old. Still it is at the infant or rudimentary stage. Private universities in Bangladesh emerged with enactment of a series of laws governing higher education in 1992. In order to fulfill the growing demand of institution for higher studies at present there are 108 universities in Bangladesh. Of these universities, 34 are in public sector, 3 are international, and the rest 71 are in private sector (UGC: 2013). The business of 71 private universities on 55,000 square miles, roughly 1 for each 700 square miles is very stunning. The mushrooming development of business education at tertiary sector is very dreadful. It





envisioned education as a commodity and like all other commodities; it was made operative under free market and ruthless capitalist competition (Rashid, 2004).

## 2.0 Mushroom Development of Private Business School

In case of higher education, private education at the tertiary level has occupied a significant and remarkable place. The metropolitan cities of Bangladesh are overburdened by the signboards of private universities at different locations. The private universities have been emerging one after another from 1992. Colorful advertisements appear in the local and national dailies covering information relating to admission, tuition fees, courses offered, facilities available, faculties taking the classes, scholarship available and so on. Now, there are 71 private universities in Bangladesh. Of these universities, lion portion of universities are located in Dhaka metropolitan city. In the year 2000, there were 17 private universities in Bangladesh. Today in 2013, a total number of private universities are 71 (UGC: 2013). This abnormal growth rate of private universities in the country seems to be unhealthy in comparison to the per capita income of the nation. Higher education in these universities is highly expensive. These private universities use the North American model of education (Rahman N., 2000). Despite of British legacy, the British model of education is not used by the business schools of Bangladesh. However, the reasons for the mushroom development of private universities in Bangladesh could briefly be enumerated as under:

# 2.1 Inadequacy of Public Education System

The country has 34 public universities. Some of these universities have not yet started enrollment (UGC: 2013). The limited enrollment capacity of

public university has given birth to schools on different disciplines under private universities. The demand for higher education in the county is increased to a considerable extent to meet the newly created demand. The state did not plan to set up new universities in the public sector with new orientations (Alam, Haque and Siddique 2007). Therefore, the failure of government universities to accommodate the big flow of interested participants for higher education is a reason for the increased licensing of private universities (Mamun, M. Z. and Das, S. et. al. 2000).

## 2.2 Severe Competition

There is severe competition to find placement in public universities through merit based admission test. Thousands of students from different parts of the country gather in the campus of public universities (Quader, Shahidullah and Sultana, 2005). The intense competition deprives most of the students from entering into the public universities. Even after the emergence of 71 private universities, the competition has not yet been lessened. This gap is the opportunity for the growth of private universities.

## 2.3 Tuition Fees

Students of the public universities pursue their higher studies at nominal tuition fees. It is to be mentioned here that one student cannot even buy his/her one day's breakfast by the monthly tuition fees of the public universities. For this nearly free opportunity, students rush at the doors of public universities (Nasiruddin, Hamiduzzaman and et. al. 2011). The limited seat capacity of the public university compels most of the brilliant student to seek their placement at the private universities. This derived opportunity is another significant reason that stimulated the emergence of private universities.

## 2.4 Appreciation by the Stakeholders





The Private University Act of 1992 delighted many guardians, educationist and intellectuals. They welcomed this act as an expansion and extension of our ongoing education system. Therefore, the private universities fulfilled the long cherished desire of the activist or the stakeholders (Alam, Haque and et. al. 2010). The emergence of new education system has opened an avenue for the private education provider at the tertiary level.

## 2.5 Stagnant Situation

Education system in public universities is stagnant. The system have been suffering from the rise of politics, terrorism, killing, tender politics, hijacking and session jams over the years. Due to session jam, a three years honors degree course took an extra two or three years to complete. Consequently, students were leaving for Indian educational institutes. It is reported that on an average in a year about 50,000-60,000 Bangladeshi students stay in India (Alam et. al. 2007). Due to the stagnant situation derived from the said admire, the stakeholders (Parents and others) become happy to see an alternative wing of education. Capitalizing the present situation in public universities, the private universities developed politics free and peaceful academic environment.

## 2.6 Inter and Intra Group Conflict

The campus of the public universities has been the battleground over the years. The inter and intra group political conflict among the students and student wings develop similar battleground. The students have been engaging frequent fighting for establishing political supremacy and dominance. The arm fighting in the campus is the cause of death of many innocent students. (Quader and et.al. 2005) and (Alam and et. al., 2007). The political unrest and insecurity in the campus of public university compelled the student and guardians to turn their eyes to private universities.

## 2.7 Higher Passing Rate

One of the objectives for the establishment of private universities in the country is to meet the growing demand of higher education. Now the total population of the country is approximately 170 millions. About 1 million students pass the Higher Secondary Certificate examination. These students are inputs for admission into universities.

Our public universities cannot accommodate all the students passed from the different colleges of the country. In spite of having required educational background and willingness to pursue higher studies, the students cannot have the opportunity to get placement. Consequently, it is thought that the opening of private universities would lessen the pressure of public universities for admission.

## 2.8 Low Standard of Education

University grants commission report says that the standard of education in public universities has been deteriorating gradually due to some reasons like student politics, interrupted classes and examinations, unsatisfactory teachers and student ratio and so forth. In private universities class and examination, schedules are maintained strictly. On the other hand, teacher student ratio is satisfactory. Therefore, students and their guardians are attracted to the private universities (Gulshan and Paul, 2005).

## 2.9 Economic Growth

The growth of human knowledge through formal education raised a significant role in the economic development of a country. Education is of course, an investment for future. Through education, the skills and abilities of young people can be enhanced. Consequently, the generous education ministry of Bangladesh provided license to established private universities (Matin, 2003)





## 2.10 Reduced Financial Burden

The government has to bear the cost of education at the public university level. Government finances the public universities through University Grant Commission (UGC). Bangladesh is a LDC, having about a total population of 170 millions. Therefore, it is a bit impossible on the part of government to bear the increased cost of education of its nation. The private universities earn a huge profit over cost in running the institutions through charging exorbitant tuition fees and other charges, which are often comparable to those in the universities of affluent countries. So, it is possible to finance the private universities by the board of trustees of the respective universities. (Monem M & Baniamin H. M., 2010).

### 2.11 Encouraging competition

Before 1992, there was no private university in Bangladesh. Therefore, higher education was highly dominated by public universities. There was no scope to make a comparative analysis in between or among the universities. In the above scenario, a sound growth of private universities is assumed important in achieving a balanced competition. This may improve the quality of higher education. This is one of the important causes for gradual development of higher education in the private sector. (Monem M & Baniamin H. M., 2010).

# 3.0 Current Status of Tertiary Education in Bangladesh

A new dimension has been emerging at higher-level business education in Bangladesh during the last two decade (Rahman N., 2000). Bangladesh observed the emergence of private universities with much hope to contribute positively on the country's demand of quality higher education (Mamun and Das, 1999). In order to gratify the growing needs for graduates, private universities have come forward with programs in business, law, computer science, engineering and liberal arts. As a consequence, different types of higher education provider have emerged in Bangladesh with different objectives. The resource bases used by the providers are different. The status of higher education in Bangladesh is summarized briefly as under:

## 3.1 Confused Standard

The students and their parents are not completely familiar with the professional standards of different types of providers. Most of the stakeholders feel confusion in assessing the suitability of the private education provider. The concerned persons are also confused about the system in the absence of national accreditation system. (Zahid, Chowdhury and Sogra 2000)

## 3.2 Higher Cost of Education

The students of public universities have to pay a nominal amount of fee. On the other hand, students of the private and off campus universities have to pay a handsome amount of money as fee. Moreover, these universities have failed to attract better quality students in order to make money only (Rashid M H, 2004).

## 3.3 North Americans System

All the private universities in Bangladesh follow North American model of continuous assessment for the evaluation of student performance. This model is defective as compared to the universities of Commonwealth Countries and the calculation of grade point under this system is not cent percent scientific (Quader M. S. et. al., 2005).

## 3.4 Space Constraint

A large number of private universities have been failed to meet the minimum requirements of physical infrastructure (Aminuzzaman, 2007).





Therefore, there is serious space constraint in the premises and campus of private universities. The dearth of adequate space is not conducive to the development of mental and physical health of the young learners. The survey of Zahid and et al.(2000) indicates that among private universities, usual range of floor space is in between 1000 sq ft. to 7000 sq ft., average being 5000 sq ft. Library space ranged from 500-2500 sq ft.; average being in the region of 1000 sq ft. Private universities are operating in the heart of the cities in the midst of deaf noises, crowded places and polluted traffic. Even years after their inception, a number of private universities have not shifted to or even acquired their own land for their campuses. (Sumon, 2004), (Siddiqi, 2006), (Ahmed, 2003), (Haque, 2004), (Shahjahan, 2002) and (Siddigi, 2002).

## 3.5 Lack of Full Time Faculty

Most of the private universities largely depend on part-time faculty. At the entry level, some full-time junior faculties are appointed. The junior faculties lack teaching experience and cannot provide effective teaching in the classrooms. Senior professorial staffs are not found on the payroll. Again, most of the young graduates do not like to engage in teaching in the private institutions. Since the job is not secured and a comprehensive compensation scheme is yet to be developed in private universities. Moreover, they can earn more in other institutions with promotional prospects (Islam Y., 2011).

## 3.6 Inexperienced Teacher

In Bangladesh, teachers for business schools are appointed from fresh graduates. Immediate after an appointment, these teachers enter into the classroom to discharge the assigned responsibilities of noble profession (Alam G M, 2008). As such, the students do not enjoy the flavor of experienced teachers. In most of the developed countries of the world, teaching job in the various schools of universities is not permanent (Hirt N, 2005). Teachers are appointed based on periodical contract. In Bangladesh, private universities do not follow this practice in employing and maintaining teacher. As a result, there are no competitions among the faculties in order to improve their efficiency, stock of knowledge and the like.

## 3.7 Lack of Alliance

The objective of business school is to prepare and develop graduates for use by business communities. Viewed from this perspective, the business schools are considered as the constituents of business community (Rahman N, 2000). Therefore, the syllabi of higher business education like BBA and MBA program should be fringed as per the requirement of business society. Moreover, institutional, regional and local requirements are to be considered (Mamun and Das, 1999). The business schools in private sector are found reluctant to use this common agenda of mutual interest.

# 3.8 Absence of Updating Course Content

There are committees of courses in every business schools in order to update the contents of courses. The schools of private universities in Bangladesh rarely update the course contents. These schools fix up the title of courses to be taught even without course objectives. The individual teacher prepares the syllabus and selects the text based on his/her own intention. In this regard, there is no institutional framework. Consequently, the potential graduates are deprived of professional skills like communication, presentation, planning, leadership, managing stress and peer relationship (Alam G M and Kalifa T B, 2009).





#### 3.9 Games and Sports

The different schools of private universities mostly operate in rented houses. In a few case, private universities operate at their own campus. Adequate space is not allowed to the students in order to conduct indoor games like table tennis, carrom, chess, and badminton. Therefore, there is no adequate arrangement of indoor and outdoor game for the students. The students of private universities are not found to participate in the national sports competition. They are captive in the classrooms (Anwar S F, Chwdhury I G and Rahman N, 2000). There is no single iota of step adopted by the private universities in order to improve and develop the mental and physical health of the students.

### 3.10 Lack of Quality Student

Quality in higher education is an essence and an asset for a nation. Higher-level quality education demands quality students (Kamal, 2005). Quality student has been identified as a major constraint in almost all the private universities. In most cases, private universities invite those students whom the public universities cannot absorb. Most of these students are disqualified students by the admission test of public universities. Moreover, the meritorious students are highly attracted by medical and engineering education. The medium of instruction of these schools is in English. The communication skills of student in English are very poor and it is considered as a major hindrance towards their progress. Ensuring high quality of output where quality of input suffer a serious side back, therefore has become a challenge (Mujaffar & Khan, 2004), Students having good background in English relatively managed to get into better schools and perform better.

#### 3.11 Money Generating Machine

Most of the private universities are considered as the mechanism of generating money for the capitalist. In the context of private university, education is not a social right rather it is a commodity. The founders of private universities run day-to-day administration of the universities even they also give attention over the academic programs. Some Vice Chancellors are non-academic persons with no experience of tertiary level teaching. Therefore, the Vice Chancellor appears to be a showpiece. In some private universities, fresh graduates are given classes in the postgraduate programs. It is completely a mess. Therefore, private business education is a piece of cake for the founders (Rashid, 2005).

## 3.12 Lack of Parking Facilities

Private universities operate their activities using rented premises. Out of 71 private universities only a few of them found to have own parking facilities to a limited extent. Most of the private universities create traffic congestion in densely populated area of the country. Parking is a major problem particularly for institutions located in different areas of metropolitan cities (Zahid, Chowdhury and Sogra 2000). Dhaka metropolitan city may be regarded as the city of university since 49 private universities have been operating there. It is one of the main reasons of traffic congestions (Momen and Baniamin et.al. 2010).

## 3.13 Accommodation Facilities

Roughly, 300 thousands students read in the private universities. Most of the private universities have yet have their own accommodation facilities for students and teachers. ((Zahid, Chowdhury and Sogra 2000). Residential halls were not constructed by the private universities. Quarters are not developed for full-time teachers, officers and staffs. As a result, the students, teachers and employees have to live in the rented quarters.

#### 3.14 Student Intake

University education is likely to be adversely affected by its poor baseline i.e., intake. Quality of education at primary level and secondary level

.....





is not satisfactory in most cases. Then the outputs they provide as inputs of universities are found to have adversely affect quality of graduates in line with 'low level trap'. (UGC: 2005)

## 3.15 Reduced Quality of Education

Offering good academic program is a necessary condition but not a sufficient condition to ensure quality education. The output would not be of high quality if the university does not hire gualified, experienced and committed teachers to teach courses. With a few exceptions, most private universities rely on part-time teachers from public universities to minimize cost. These teachers from public universities teach at several private universities in addition to their full-time job at parent university. As a result, the effectiveness and efficiency of these teachers tend to drop deplorably at a low level. This naturally lowers the teaching quality of the private universities. (Hafiz, 2005) In comparison to the quantitative development of higher education in private sector, qualitative development of the same is not significantly remarkable (Siddique, 2002).

## 4.0 Teaching Methodology

There are significant deviations in the implementation of teaching methodology from that claimed by the different schools of the private universities in the academic policy. These schools offering various degree programs have been found to rely on heavily in traditional methods of teaching. In private universities, emphasis is given on teaching rather than that of learning. The teaching methodologies adopted by the schools of private universities are briefly outlined below:

## 4.1 Lecture Method

Lecture method of teaching is most widely practiced in universities of Bangladesh. Other teaching methods like group presentation and group discussion are not widely used by all business schools. Lecture method is not considered as highly participatory and communicative method of teaching. Therefore, lecture method is not sufficient to impart required knowledge to the students (Mamun M Z and Das S, 2000). Students should develop a broad based background instead of heavy narrow functional specialization. From the viewpoint of career development, different teaching methods (group exercise, field visits, project paper, business film, flip chart, video and LCD facilities) are appropriate for imparting different skills, concepts and enhancing practical orientation of the students. (Majid, Mamun and Siddique, 2000).

## 4.2 Lack of Exposure and Training

The teacher who teaches students has either no or less exposure to handle effectively the required methods of teaching. Moreover, the private education provider does not always provide appropriate teaching materials, software, audio visuals aids and supporting facilities. Most of the teachers are also not familiar to suitable teaching methods that are needed to blend analysis with a creative inside (Haque M S, 2011)

## 4.3 Case Study Method

BBA and MBA are professional degrees. In order to enhance professional orientation, case study method of teaching is highly practiced in Western business schools. This method is as like as the practical method of teaching used for the science students in the laboratory. Unfortunately, this important method is neglected in many business schools. Case method of teaching is required for business students to enhance their critical insights, real life orientation, business thinking and for improving analytical skills. However, limited learning of case based teaching could not blend the analysis of student with practical and critical business insights (Majid AKMS, Mamun M Z and Siddique S R, 2000).





### 4.4 Learning Method

The teachers should use learning method along with their teaching methods. Most of the teachers have insufficient knowhow about the distinction in between teaching method and learning method. Learning method should be used in order to enhance the skills of young learners. Learning methods, like role-playing, business games and group discussions are not widely used for the business students. These methods are highly communicative methods of teaching (Majid et.al. 2000).

#### 4.5 Teaching Aids

In the schools of private universities, white boards are mostly used in teaching followed by the use of OHP (Overhead projector) and MMP (Multimedia Projector). Film shows are not used as teaching aids. Document camera is an important aid for teaching. However, our academic schools are not using this important tool. No school of Bangladesh use overhead video projector in order to show the student production decision making and other office planning system of the company (Rahman N, 2000).

#### 5.0 Policy Recommendations

The following are some policy recommendations that could be adopted for the gradual enhancement of higher education quality in private universities:

**5.1** Teaching methodology should be focused on discovery, dialogue, inquiry and directed towards the effective development of potential is to be adopted. (Mike and Matunga 1995)

**5.2** Teacher oriented expository approach is to be developed by telling, checking and correcting teaching activities and students activities characterized by listening and note taking (Knott, Etar and Matunga, 1995).

**5.3** Skilled and effective teaching faculty is of immense importance and it is also a prerequisite in order to impart quality teaching. The private universities must encourage the young faculty members to pursue higher studies leading to second Masters degree or PhD.

**5.4** Programs like fresher training, specialized workshop and the like may help design effective teaching methodology. Teaching methodology design should focus increasingly on the practice of acquiring knowledge and skills of students in a wide variety of business situation, encouraging simultaneously independent study and self-expression (Wole 1995).

**5.5** The schools of private universities should introduce open and self-learning methodology for promoting increased creativity, problem-solving skills of the students as well as their ability to work independently. (Matiru, 1995)

**5.6** The use of business research would help students use the needed skills with utmost care and effectiveness. It could also expand the analytical capability about known and unknown issues.(Powers, 2001)

**5.7** The teacher should be induced to use research-based findings in the classroom in order to contribute towards the problem solving skills of students.

**5.8** The teachers should be encouraged to conduct research and submit research project. The research projects are to be financed by the institution. The teacher should be encouraged to conduct research in order to contribute in the classroom.

**5.9** Role-playing, business games, simulation and case study are highly suitable for cross-disciplinary works. The use of these techniques could help concerned stakeholders achieve the cognitive and non-cognitive teaching based learning objectives.(Knott, Verghese, 1995)





**5.10** The Western practice of assessing the teachers by students must be practiced in our universities. This practice will facilitate to identify the teaching strength and weakness of individual faculty. This assessment records could be used to maximize teaching strength and to improve quality teaching.(Matiru, 1995)

**5.11** The course instructor should adopt scientific teaching methodology. They should develop handouts, course objectives, course outlines and reading lists. Effective organization of teaching materials would help the student to follow the course (Wole, 1995).

**5.12** An effective functional and sound monitoring system of teaching is to be developed. This monitoring system is an important prerequisite to ensure the quality practice of teaching methods. The schools of private universities should equip themselves with essential teaching aid and supporting facilities like rich library, departmental library, computer facilities etc.

**5.13** In case of recruitment, teaching faculty should more selectively and rigorously be tested. The private universities should also revise the incentive system in order to attract the committed and qualified teaching resources. (Majid and Mamun 2000)

**5.14** In all the business schools, there should be a public relations office or an internship placement center. This center would be able to facilitate attachment program for students. Moreover, a linkage of business schools with corporate houses and business enterprise could be established.

**5.15** Business teacher should have basic degree in business, preferably with industry background. Practicing managers should be engaged as part time teacher to teach the specialized courses. Business teachers should have more interaction with the business com-

munity in the form of training, business research, consulting and plant visit. (Ahmed, M., Ahmed, M. and Anwar 2000).

**5.16** The business schools should try to incorporate course materials relating to real life situation and ensure that the students are able to translate theory into practise. Moreover, the courses currently being taught should be updated in a regular basis and educational institutes should take the suggestions from the business community while updating the curriculum (Ahmed Mushtaq & Ahmed Mahjabin et al. 2000).

## 6.0 Conclusion

Admittedly, education is the vital weapon for economic development and poverty alleviation of a country. In our country, higher education of the private sector is not properly monitored in order to ensure standard of global perspective. Hence, the government of Bangladesh should adopt necessary measures to ensure and monitor higher education in recognition of the potential of education for its nation. The governement of Bangladesh also consider education as one of the top priority areas.

Most of the private universities offer poor quality of education. The concept of private universities in affluent societies (like USA, UK, Australia and Japan) has been successful. It is about more than a decade that private universities are a common sight in the educational arena of Bangladesh. The performance evaluation of some private universities revealed gross irregularities like low quality of education, false statements about students and teachers, temporary and overcrowded campus and complete lack of administration.

#### References

Ahmed M (2003). The Standard of Education in Private Universities: Current Trends and





Transformation, Observer, November 18.

Ahmed Mushtaque, Ahmed Mahjabeen, and Anwar, S. F. (2000). Bridging the Gap Between Expectations of the Business Community and Delivery of the Business Schools in Bangladesh, Journal of Business Administration, 26, pp. 47-66.

Alam G. M. (2008). Impact of the Private Universities on Bangladeshi Education System: an investigation on education policy. VDM: Germany

Altbach P. (1999). Private Higher Education: Themes and Variation in Comparative Perspective. Prospects 29(3): 311-323.

Alam M., Haque M. S., and Siddiqui S. F.(2007). Private Higher Education in Bangladesh, Research Papers, Paris: International Institute for Education Planning: pp 16-22.

Alam, G.M., Hoque, K.E., Rout, G.K. and Priyadarshani, N. (2010). Who gains from EFA –State business of education or private higher education business in developing nations? A study to understand the policy impact in Bangladesh. African Journal of Business Management Vol. 4(5), pp. 770-789.

Alam, G. M., and Khalifa, M. T. B. (2009). The Impact of Introducing a Business Marketing Approach to Education: A Study on Private Higher Education in Bangladesh. African Journal of Business Management, Vol. 3(9), 463-474.

Aminuzzaman, S. M. (2007). Overview of quality assurance in the context of Bangladesh. Paper presented in a workshop organized by American International University of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Bangladesh University Grants Commission-2005; Annual Report.

Gulshan, J. and Paul, A. (2005). Factors influencing students choosing private universities in Bangladesh, Paper presented at the ISCM Conference 2005, Eastin Hotel, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia, 27-31 December. Internet entry: http://iscm.math.um.edu.my/programme.pdf. Accessed on 10 February 2007

Siddique Hafiz G. A. (2005). Quality Assurance in Conventional Private Universities of Bangladesh: Problems and Prospects. Social Science Review, 1(2).

Haque M (2001). Higher education in Bangladesh: Strategic Management Issues. Paper presented at the BIDS Seminar on Economy and Society-Education Sector Review, Dhaka, September 13.

Hirtt N (2005). Marketisation of Education in the Globalised Economy. Paper presented at the Worldwide Forum for Comparative Education, Beijing , August, 2005, page no. 12.

Islam, Y. (2011). Tertiary Education in Bangladesh-Brief History, Problem and Prospects. International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, Georgia Southern University, Volume. 5, No. 2, pp. 17-22

Kamal N, (2005). The Quality of Business Education in Bangladesh, Observer Magazine, Friday, July 01, Page-12

Knott, Mike and Matunga, Peter (1995): Methods of Teaching, Learning in; Matiru, Barbara; Mwangi, Anna and Ruth Schlette Ed., Teach Your Best: A Handbook For University Lecturers, Institute for Socio-Cultural Studies, University of Kassel, pp. 163-165.

Majid A. K. M. S., Mamun, M. Z. and Siddique, S. R. (2000). Practices of Teaching Methods, Aids and Students' Performance Evaluation Tools in Business Schools of Bangladesh: Selected Cases, Journal of Business Administration, Vol. 26, pp. 25-45.

Mamun, M. Z. and Das, S. (1999). Total Quality Management for Non-Government Universities of Bangladesh, Proceedings of Annual Convention of Bangladesh Society for Total




Quality Management in Association with Department of Industrial and Production Engineering, BUET, Dhaka, December 3-4, 1999, pp. 23-29.

Mamun, M. Z. and Das, S.(2000). Total quality Management of non-Government Universities of Bangladesh: A Case study, Journal of Business Administration, Volume-26, No.-1 & 2, pp.117-130

Matin M A, (2003). Observer Magazine, Friday May 30, Page-09

Monem M, Baniamin H. M.(2010). Higher Education in Bangladesh: Status, Issues and Prospects, Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 293-305

Mujaffar AT & Khan A H, (2004), Overcoming the Challenges in Higher Education in Bangladesh, Observer Magazine, Friday, August 20, Page-04

Nasiruddin M, Hamiduzzaman M and et. al. (2011), Promotion of Higher Education in Bangladesh: A Comparative Analysis Between Public and Private Universities, Journal of Research in International Business and Management, Volume 1(5),pp. 136-146.

Powers, Thomas (2001). Marketing educator, IBH Publishing, New Delhi, p.9

Quader, M. S., Shahidullah, M. and

Sultana R. A. (2005). The Private Universities of Bangladesh: An Assessment, University of Science and Technology Annual, 11, pp. 117-123.

Rahman N. (2000). Business Education In Bangladesh: Developing A Need Based Perspective'', Journal Of Business Administration, Vol. 26, pp 1-10

Rashid M H, (2004). Private Universities and the Proposed Act, Dhaka Courier, July 30, Page-14

Rashid M H, (2005). UGC growls at last, Dhaka Courier, August 26, Page-18 Shahjahan M. A.S. (2002): Quality Assurance in Private Universities of Bangladesh: Its Status, Problems and Strategic Plans, A paper presented at the International Seminar on Quality Assurance in Higher Education in Bangladesh, sponsored by University Grants Commissions of Bangladesh.

Hafiz G.A. Siddiqi (2002): Quality Assurance in Conventional Private Universities of Bangladesh: Its Status, Problems and Strategic Plans, A Paper Presented At The International Seminar on Quality Assurance In Higher Education in Bangladesh, sponsored by University Grants Commissions of Bangladesh.

Hafiz G.A. Siddiqi (2006): Private University in Global Perspective, Independent, March 21. Source:http://national.lttefaq.com/artman/ publish/printer\_9959.shtmls.

Strategic planning for higher education in Bangladesh: 2006-2026, The University Grants Commission of Bangladesh, Agargaon, Dhaka, A-VI

Sumon A. G. (2004): "Amendments to Streamline Private Universities", Independent, October 30.

UGC, Universities in Bangladesh, University Grant Commission in Bangladesh, 2013, available at: http://www.ugc.gov.bd/

Verghese, Thomas P (1992). Managment Education; Western Indian Scenario, In; Pramod Verma, Rao, Sreenivash & Pestonzee D. M. (ed. Oxford & IBH Publishing, New Delhi,Pg. 79)

Wole, Darge (1995) The learning process in; Matiru, Barbara; Mwangi, Anna and Ruth Schlette Ed., Teach Your Best: A Handbook For University Lecturers, Institute for Socio-Cultural Studies, University of Kassel, pp. 99-103.

Zahid, J. R., Chowdhury G. M. and Sogra . J. (2000). Present Status and Future Direction of Business Education in Bangladesh, Journal of Business Administration, Vol. 26, pp. 11-24





### Impact of Liberalization Process on Growth, Instability, Extent of Diversification and Total Factor Productivity Growth of the Agricultural Sector

### Abstract

India is perusing different policies of liberalization for different sectors of the economy including agricultural sector since 1991. Further, in 1993, the Uruguay round of the General Agreement on Tariff and Trade (GATT) extended global free trade to agricultural sector. The World Trade Organization (WTO) replaced GATT and started functioning on 1st January 1995, as an international organization, but the General Agreement remained under WTO umbrella.

It is well understood that trade liberalization provides many benefits such as increase in market size, knowledge of demand characteristics in foreign markets, information about new technologies, process and products and cost reduction and quality improvements. Also, the gains from trade can arise because of (a) movement of existing production possibility frontier (due to technical efficiency) (b) movement along the existing possibility frontier because of new trading opportunities and (c) upward shift of frontiers due to learning, application of improved technologies. The upward shift of the frontiers measures the magnitude of total factor productivity growth. We are interested in estimating the growth of production of food grains production, for 17 major States of India for the period 1971-72 to 2010-11.

### Madhumita Sen Gupta

#### **Keywords**

Impact of Liberalization, General Agreement on Tariff and Trade (GATT), Global Free Trade to Agricultural Sector, World Trade Organization (WTO), Extent of Diversification, Total Factor Productivity Growth

### Introduction

We are working with 17 major states of India, which can be classified into four regions.

I. Northern region: Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh

II. Southern region: Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala and Tamil Nadu

III. Eastern region: Assam, Bihar, Orissa, West Bengal

IV. Western region: Gujarat, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan

The particular states that we have considered are: Andhra Pradesh (AP), Assam (AS), Bihar (BI), Gujarat (GU), Haryana (HA), Himachal Pradesh (HP), Jammu and Kashmir (JK), Karnataka (KA), Kerala (KE), Madhya Pradesh





(MP), Maharashtra (MH), Orissa (OR), Punjab grains production and total agricultural (PU), Rajasthan (RA), Tamil Nadu (TN), Uttar production of each state and on regional Pradesh (UP) and West Bengal (WB).

### Literature Review

Kumar and Rosegrant (1994) used Divisia Tornqvist Index for measuring total factor productivity growth (TFPG) for rice production for four different regions of India: North, South, East and West and have explained the determinants of total factor productivity growth.

Desai (1997) used Tornqvist Theil Index of total factor productivity derived from translog production function for Indian Agriculture for the period 1966-67 to 1989-90.

Murgai (2001) took the data on Punjab (India), used Tornqvist Theil Index, and illustrated the problem of estimation of total factor productivity growth (TFPG) by employing Growth Accounting Approach in the pressure of biased technical change.

Efficiency measurements have been attempted in the Indian agriculture since 1970s. Some of these studies are Lau and Yotopolus (1973), Sidhu (1974), Kalirajan (1981), Kubhakar and Bhattacharya (1992), Bhattacharya et.al. (1996), Bedassa and Krishnamurthy (1997), Mythili and Shanmugam (2000), Sengupta (2000) and Shanmugam (2002).

Bhattacharya et al (1996), by using farm level data on 105 jute growers from West Bengal and a stochastic frontier approach, estimated a generalized direct production function accommodating allocative and technical efficiency.

### Objectives of the Study

To find out impact of liberalization on the growth, yield, degree of instability of food

grains production and total agricultural production of each state and on regional variation of these issues. We will also consider the impact of liberalization on the interstate and regional variation of total factor productivity growth of total agricultural production.

### Data Sources

All the data has been collected form the different issues of Statistical Abstract, published by Central Statistical Organization (CSO) of India. The other Data Sources are www.indianstat. com, CMIE, Agricultural Statistics at a Glance and Agriculture in Brief, published by the Central Statistical Organization.

### I. Measurement of the change in the growth of agricultural production in the post liberalisation era

In this section, we will try to find out whether there exists any shift in the growth path of the total agricultural production after 1991-92 for 17 major States of India.

### Methodology

In order to find out shift in the growth path of total agricultural production, we will use dummy variable model. We will decompose total agricultural production into two parts: total food grains production and total non food grains production and estimate the shift in growth path of these two components and of total agricultural production. The regression equation with intercept and slope dummy can be specified as follows:

### Log (Y<sub>1</sub>) = $A_{o} + A_{1}D + Bot + B_{1}tD + error term .....(1)$

As already discussed, we are interested in measuring the extent of shift in production after 1991-92. Therefore, D is the dummy variable with a value which is equal to zero for the period up





to 1991-92 and equal to one there after, i.e.

- D = 0 for the period 1971-72 to 1991-92
- = 1 for the period 1992-93 to 2010-11

The variable Y represents either food grains production or non food grains production or total agricultural production as and when required  $A_0$ represents the intercept term. The growth rate for the first period, i.e., 1971-72 to 1991-92 is Bo. The growth rate of the second period, i.e., for the period 1992-93 to 2010-11 is  $B_0 + B_1$  if  $B_1$  is statistically significant. If  $B_1$  is greater than zero and statistically significant, then we can conclude that growth rate for the second period is greater than the growth rate for the first period. If  $B_1$  is less than zero and statistically significant, then growth rate for the second period is less than the growth rate for the first period.

### The Results of Estimation

The result of estimation of the dummy variable model for testing the shift in the growth path as measured by **relation (1)** for food grains, non food grains and total agricultural production are presented in **Tables 1 to Tables 6** respectively.

The results of estimation of changes in the growth rate of food grains production due to the introduction of liberalisation using dummy variable model as presented in Table 1 can be summarized in Table 2. It suggests that:

► Growth rate of food grains production has increased in the post liberalisation period and such increase is statistically significant for the states Karnataka, Tamil Nadu.

► Growth rate of food grains production has increased in the post liberalisation period but such is not statistically significant for West Bengal.

► Growth rate of food grains production has declined and such decline is statistically significant for Bihar, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir,

Kerala, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh.

► Growth rate of food grains production has declined in the post liberalisation period but such decline is not statistically significant for Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh and Rajasthan.

The results of estimation of changes in the growth rate of non food grains production due to the introduction of liberalization policies using dummy variable model as presented in Table 3 can be summarized in Table 4.

#### It suggests that

► Growth rate of non food grains production has increased after liberalization policies and such increase is statistically significant for the states like Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Kerala and West Bengal.

► Growth rate of non food grains production has increased after liberalization policies but such increase is not statistically significant for the states like Andhra Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh and Tamil Nadu.

► Growth rate of non food grains production has declined in the post liberalization period and is statistically significant for the states Bihar, Orissa, Rajasthan, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh.

► Growth rate of non food grains production has declined in the post liberalization period but such decline is not statistically significant for the states Assam, Gujarat, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra.

The results of estimation of changes in the growth rate of total agricultural production due to the introduction of liberalization policies using dummy variable model as presented in Table 5 can be summarized in Table 6.

It suggests that:





► Growth rate of output of total agricultural production has increased after the introduction of liberalization policies and such increase is statistically significant for the states Karnataka, Kerala, Punjab and West Bengal.

► Growth rate of output of total agricultural production has increased after the introduction of liberalization policies but such increase is not statistically significant for the states Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu as a result of the introduction of liberalization policies.

► Growth rate of output of total agricultural production has declined in the post liberalization period and such decline is statistically significant for the states Bihar, Jammu and Kashmir, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh.

► Growth rate of output of total agricultural production has declined since the introduction of liberalization policies but such decline is not statistically significant for the states Assam, Gujarat, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh and Maharashtra.

### II. Measurement of the change in the growth rate of yield of agricultural production in the post liberalization era

In this section, we will try to find out whether there occurred any change in the growth rate of yield of agricultural production in the post liberalization era. We will measure the shift of the growth rate of yield of food grains production, non food grains production and of total agricultural production.

### Methodology

In order to measure the changes in the growth path of yield of agricultural production, we will use dummy variable model. The regression equation with intercept and slope dummy can be represented as

### log (YE) = $A_{20} + A_{21}D + B_{20}t + B_{21}Dt$ + error term ......(2)

Since we are interested in measuring the growth rate of yield of agricultural production after 1991 -92, D is a dummy variable with equal to zero upto 1991-92 and 1 thereafter

D = 0 for the period 1971-72 to 1991-92 D = 1 for the period 1992 -93 to 2000 -01

**The variable YE** represents the yield of either food grains production or non food grains production or total agricultural production as and when required.  $A_{20}$  represent the intercept term. The growth rate for the first period i.e. 1971 -72 to 1991 -92 is  $B_{20}$ . The growth rate of the second period i.e. for the period 1991-93 to 2010-11 is  $B_{20}$ +  $B_{21}$  if  $B_{21}$  is greater than zero and statistically significant, then we can conclude that growth rate for the second period is greater than the growth rate for the first period. If  $B_{21}$  is less than zero and statistically significant, then growth rate for the second period is less than the growth rate for the first period.

### The Results of Estimation

The result of estimation of the dummy variable model for the shift in the growth rate of yield as measured by the relation (2) for food grains, non food grains and total agricultural production are presented in Tables 7 to 10 respectively.

It suggests that the growth rate of yield of food grains production has decreased for all the states in the post liberalization period and such decline is statistically significant.

The results of estimation of changes in the growth rate of yield of non food grains production due to the introduction of liberalization policies using dummy variable model as presented in 9 can be summarized in Table 10.

It suggests that:





► The growth rate of yield of non food grains production has increased in the post liberalization period only for the states Himachal Pradesh. However, such increase is not statistically significant.

► For all the other states except Himachal Pradesh, the growth rate of yield of non food grains production has declined in the post liberalization period. However, the decline is not statistically significant for Jammu and Kashmir and Madhya Pradesh, but is significant for the remaining states: Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal.

#### The results of estimation of changes in the growth rate of yield of total agricultural production due to introduction of liberalization process using dummy variable model suggests that:

► The growth rate of yield of total agricultural production has increased in the post liberalization period only for the state Himachal Pradesh. However, such increase is not statistically significant.

► For all the other states except Himachal Pradesh, namely Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal, the growth rate of yield of total agricultural production has declined in the post liberalization period and is statistically significant.

### Conclusion

In this Paper, we have attempted to find out the impact of liberalization policies introduced after 1991, using dummy variable approach, on the growth, instability of production and total factor productivity growth of food grains production, non food grains production and of total agricultural production for seventeen major states of India for the period 1971-72 to 2010-11.

The results of estimation suggest that there exists large scale inter-state disparity regarding agricultural production on these issues.

The results of estimation of the changes in the growth rate of food grains production suggest that growth rate of food grains production has increased after the introduction of the liberalization policies for the states Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and West-Bengal. The increase in the growth rate of food grains production is statistically significant for Karnataka and Tamil Nadu but not for West Bengal. The growth rate of food grains production has declined for the state Bihar, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Kerala. Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh and Rajasthan. However, such decline is statistically significant for the state Bihar, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Kerala, Maharashtra, and Madhya Pradesh. Orissa, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh only and not for the other states. Thus, overall for most of the states there was decline in food grains production excepting the three states Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and West Bengal.

The results of estimation of changes in the growth rate of non food grains production using dummy variable model suggests that growth rate of non food grains production has increased after liberalization and such increase is statistically significant for the states like Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Kerala and West Bengal. Growth rate of non food grains production has increased after liberalization but such increase is not statistically significant for the states like Andhra Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh and Tamil Nadu. Growth rate of non food arains production has declined after liberalization and is statistically significant for the states Bihar, Orissa, Rajasthan, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh. Growth rate of non food grains production has declined after liberalization but such





decline is not statistically significant for the states Assam, Gujarat, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra.

The growth rate of total agricultural production has increased significantly for the period after the introduction of liberalization policies since 1991 for the states Karnataka, Kerala, Punjab and West-Bengal. Growth rate of output of total agricultural production has also increased for the States Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu. However, such increase is not statistically significant. Similarly, the growth rate of output of total agricultural production has declined significantly for the States Bihar, Jammu and Kashmir, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh. The growth rate of output of total agricultural production has also declined for the States Assam, Gujarat, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh and Maharashtra.

The comparison of the rate of growth of food grains production and total agricultural production reveals that there is an increase in food grains production as well as total agricultural production for the states Kerala and West-Bengal for the period after the introduction of liberalization policies since 1991 and such increase in statistically significant.

Similarly, the comparison of the growth rate of non food grains production and total agricultural production leads us to conclude that there in an increase in the growth rate of both food grains production and non food Grains production after the liberalisation process for the states Karnataka, Kerala and West Bengal and such increase is statistically significant.

The results of estimation of the changes in the growth rate of yield of food grains production suggests that the growth rate in yield of non food grains production has increased for only the state Himachal Pradesh. However, such increase is not statistically significant. For all the states, other than Himachal Pradesh, the growth rate of yield of non food grains production has declined in the post liberalization period. However, such decline is not statistically significant for Jammu and Kashmir and Madhya Pradesh, but is significant for the remaining states: Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal.

The results of estimates of changes in the growth rate of yield of total agricultural production in the pre liberalization period suggests that the growth rate of yield of total agricultural production has increased in the post liberalization period only for the state Himachal Pradesh. However, such increase is not statistically significant. For all other states except Himachal Pradesh, namely Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal, the growth rate of yield of total agricultural production has declined in the post liberalization period and is statistically significant.

Therefore, our results of analysis suggest that the impact of liberalization on growth of production, yield of production, degree of instability, total factor productivity growth, and the extent of diversification is not uniform across different states of India and there exists inter state disparity on these issues to a large extent.





Table 1: Estimation of dummy variable model to find out shift in growth path of food grains production for different states of India taking 1991-92 as the break point

| States | Constant term        | Coefficient<br>of Intercept<br>Dummy | Coefficient<br>of time           | Coefficient of time Dummy           | DW    | Adj. R <sup>2</sup> |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------------|
| AP     | 944<br>(204.235) ^   | -0.115<br>(-0.349) <sup>⊧</sup>      | 0.02442<br>(7.003) ^             | -0.0007361<br>(-0.057) <sup>⊧</sup> | 1.564 | 0.748               |
| AS     | 7.61<br>(244.239) ^  | 0.294<br>(1.253) <sup>⊧</sup>        | 0.0216<br>(703) ^                | -0.009318<br>(-1.01) <sup>E</sup>   | 1.482 | 0.9                 |
| BI     | 992<br>(297.285) ^   | 0.34<br>(1.497) <sup>⊳</sup>         | 0.01967<br>(166) ^               | -0.0137<br>(-1.529) <sup>D</sup>    | 1.339 | 0.857               |
| GU     | 217<br>(85.023) ^    | 0.306<br>(0.421) <sup>⊧</sup>        | 0.01223<br>(1.589) <sup>D</sup>  | -0.01596<br>(-0.558) <sup>⊧</sup>   | 1.38  | 0.01                |
| HA     | 212<br>(142.822) ^   | 2.298<br>(5.315) ^                   | 0.05011<br>(10.943) ^            | -0.08897<br>(-5.224) ^              | 1.554 | 0.92                |
| HP     | 6.772<br>(186.972) ^ | 0.361<br>(1.324) <sup>⊳</sup>        | 0.01753<br>(6.079) ^             | -0.01342<br>(-1.251) <sup>⊧</sup>   | 1.109 | 0.789               |
| JK     | 6.888<br>(215.239) ^ | 0.425<br>(1.766) <sup>c</sup>        | 0.0176<br>(6.907) ^              | -0.02237<br>(-2.359) <sup>в</sup>   | 1.101 | 0.673               |
| KA     | 792 (26472) ^        | -0.873<br>(-3.545) ^                 | 0.001107<br>(0.425) <sup>в</sup> | 0.04372<br>(4.507) ^                | 1.47  | 0.798               |
| KE     | 7.216<br>(161.697)^  | 0.844<br>(2.515) <sup>в</sup>        | -0.01052<br>(-2.959) ^           | -0.03889<br>(-2.942) ^              | 2.006 | 0.755               |
| МН     | 857<br>(120.249)^    | 0.738<br>(1.332) <sup>D</sup>        | 0.02513<br>(4.284) ^             | -0.03359<br>(-1.54) <sup>D</sup>    | 0.882 | 0.503               |
| MP     | 9.205<br>(142.882)^  | 1.506<br>(3.108) ^                   | 0.02579<br>(5.027) ^             | -0.0626<br>(-3.28) ^                | 1.732 | 0.624               |
| OR     | 451<br>(226.593) ^   | 1.237<br>(4.408) ^                   | 0.02173<br>(7.316) ^             | -0.05922<br>(-5.36) ^               | 2.521 | 0.686               |
| PU     | 884<br>(342.111)^    | 0.151<br>(0.771) <sup>⊑</sup>        | 0.0517<br>(25) ^                 | -0.01545<br>(-2.009) <sup>в</sup>   | 0.828 | 0.976               |
| RA     | 676<br>(142.037) ^   | 0.219<br>(0.476) <sup>⊧</sup>        | 0.02675<br>(5.499) ^             | -0.01072<br>(-0.592) ⁼              | 1.53  | 0.702               |
| TN     | 841<br>(171.626) ^   | -1.12<br>(-2.891) ^                  | 0.002337<br>(0.57) <sup>в</sup>  | 0.04471<br>(2.93) ^                 | 1.793 | 0.266               |





| States | Constant term                 | Coefficient<br>of Intercept<br>Dummy | Coefficient<br>of time | Coefficient of time Dummy        | DW    | Adj. R <sup>2</sup> |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|---------------------|
| UP     | 9.638<br>(412.789) ^          | 0.379<br>(2.16) <sup>в</sup>         | 0.04456<br>(23.965) ^  | -0.02217<br>(-3.205) ^           | 1.361 | 0.975               |
| WB     | 865<br>(202.437) <sup>^</sup> | -0.05436<br>(-0.165) <sup>c</sup>    | 0.02043<br>(5.856) ^   | 0.006203<br>(0.478) <sup>⊧</sup> | 0.996 | 0.839               |

- The estimated values of t-ratio are given in the parenthesis
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

### Table 2: Comparison of the Growth Rate of food grains production between thepre (first) and post liberalization (second) period

| States | Growth Rate of<br>food grains for<br>the first period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of Composite<br>Dummy<br>(B <sub>1</sub> ) | Growth Rate<br>of food grains<br>for the second<br>period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> +B <sub>1</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth<br>Rate of food grains<br>production for the second<br>period |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| AP     | 0.02442<br>(7.003) ^   | -0.0007361<br>(-0.057) <sup>E</sup>                       | 0.023684   | Growth Rate has decreased<br>but is not statistically<br>significant                 |
| AS     | 0.0216<br>(703) ^  | -0.009318<br>(-1.01) <sup>⊧</sup>                         | 0.012282   | Growth Rate has decreased<br>but is not statistically<br>significant                 |
| BI     | 0.01967<br>(166)^  | -0.0137<br>(-1.529) <sup>D</sup>                          | 0.00597  | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| GU     | 0.01223<br>(1.589) <sup>D</sup>  | -0.01596<br>(-0.558) <sup>⊧</sup>                         | -0.00373   | Growth Rate has decreased<br>but is not statistically<br>significant                 |
| НА     | 0.05011<br>(10.943) ^  | -0.08897<br>(-5.224) ^                                    | -0.03886   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| HP     | 0.01753<br>(6.079) ^   | -0.01342<br>(-1.251)Ĕ                                     | 0.00411  | Growth Rate has decreased<br>but not statistically<br>significant                    |





| States | Growth Rate of<br>food grains for<br>the first period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of Composite<br>Dummy<br>(B <sub>1</sub> ) | Growth Rate<br>of food grains<br>for the second<br>period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> +B <sub>1</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth<br>Rate of food grains<br>production for the second<br>period |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| JK     | 0.0176<br>(6.907) ^  | -0.02237<br>(-2.359) <sup>в</sup>                         | -0.00477   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| KA     | 0.001107<br>(0.425) <sup>⊧</sup>   | 0.04372<br>(4.507) ^                                      | 0.044827   | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant                           |
| KE     | -0.01052<br>(-2.959) ^   | -0.03889<br>(-2.942) ^                                    | -0.04941   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| мн     | 0.02513<br>(4.284) ^   | -0.03359<br>(-1.54) <sup>D</sup>                          | -0.00846   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| MP     | 0.02579<br>(5.027) ^   | -0.0626<br>(-3.28) ^                                      | -0.03681   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| OR     | 0.02173<br>(7.316) ^   | -0.05922<br>(-5.36) ^                                     | -0.03749   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| PU     | 0.0517<br>(25) ^   | -0.01545<br>(-2.009) <sup>в</sup>                         | 0.03625  | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| RA     | 0.02675<br>(5.499) ^   | -0.01072<br>(-0.592) <sup>⊨</sup>                         | 0.01603  | Growth Rate has decreased<br>but not statistically<br>significant                    |
| TN     | 0.002337<br>(0.57) <sup>⊧</sup>  | 0.04471<br>(2.93) ^                                       | 0.047047   | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant                           |
| UP     | 0.04456<br>(23.965) ^  | -0.02217<br>(-3.205) ^                                    | 0.02239  | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                           |
| WB     | 0.02043<br>(5.856) ^   | 0.006203<br>(0.478) <sup>E</sup>                          | 0.026633   | Growth Rate has increased<br>but is not statistically<br>significant                 |

- The estimated values of t-ratio are given in the parenthesis
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test





- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

| Table 3 : Estimation of dummy variable model to find out shift in growth path of non |
|--|
| food grains production for different states of India taking 1991-92 as the           |
| break point  |

| States | Constant<br>term     | Coefficient<br>of Intercept<br>dummy | Coefficient<br>of<br>time        | Coefficient<br>of time<br>Dummy    | DW       | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Adj. R²  |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| AP     | 9.496<br>(184.979) ^ | -0.208<br>(-0.539) <sup>⊧</sup>      | 0.008805<br>(2.154) <sup>в</sup> | 0.01762<br>(1.159) <sup>⊧</sup>    | 1.297296 | 26                       | 0.724779 |
| AS     | 117<br>(125.664) ^   | 0.404<br>(0.831) <sup>E</sup>        | 0.01254<br>(2.437) <sup>в</sup>  | -0.02232<br>(-1.167) <sup>E</sup>  | 1.386596 | 26                       | 0.101116 |
| BI     | 708<br>(137.73) ^    | 1.321<br>(2.778) ^                   | 0.01464<br>(2.907) ^             | -0.05255<br>(-2.806) ^             | 0.77945  | 26                       | 0.404403 |
| GU     | 572<br>(114.041) ^   | 0.439<br>(0.776) <sup>E</sup>        | 0.05082<br>(489) ^               | -0.01993<br>(-0.895) <sup>E</sup>  | 1.083044 | 26                       | 0.859669 |
| НА     | 765<br>(141.709) ^   | 0.565<br>(1.214) <sup>E</sup>        | 0.01187<br>(2.409) <sup>в</sup>  | -0.01748<br>(-0.954) <sup>E</sup>  | 0.854906 | 26                       | 0.516388 |
| HP     | 4.771<br>(31.855) ^  | 0.165<br>(0.146) <sup>⊨</sup>        | 0.00514<br>(0.431) <sup>E</sup>  | 0.01482<br>(0.334) <sup>E</sup>    | 0.686923 | 26                       | 0.408494 |
| JK     | 4.153<br>(44.939) ^  | -2.311<br>(-3.325) ^                 | 0.00564<br>(0.766) <sup>в</sup>  | 0.0795<br>(2.904) ^                | 1.039893 | 26                       | 0.290061 |
| KA     | 9.254<br>(270.089) ^ | -0.871<br>(-3.379) ^                 | 0.03439<br>(12.605) ^            | 0.04145<br>(4.085) ^               | 0.872397 | 26                       | 0.96461  |
| KE     | 795<br>(77.049) ^    | -4.176<br>(-4.863) ^                 | -0.06958<br>(-7.654) ^           | 0.199<br>(5.873) ^                 | 0.49179  | 26                       | 0.711678 |
| мн     | 9.697<br>(150.025) ^ | 0.568<br>(1.168) <sup>⊧</sup>        | 0.04361<br>(472) ^               | -0.02138<br>(-1.117) <sup>⊧</sup>  | 0.617791 | 26                       | 0.877261 |
| MP     | 7.892<br>(146.851) ^ | 0.189<br>(0.469) <sup>E</sup>        | 0.01762<br>(4.117) ^             | -0.005284<br>(-0.332) <sup>E</sup> | 1.011122 | 26                       | 0.6716   |
| OR     | 128<br>(195.324) ^   | 2.297<br>(7.34) <sup>^</sup>         | 0.02331<br>(7.035) ^             | -0.121<br>(-9.853) ^               | 1.56701  | 26                       | 0.914252 |
| PU     | 845 (167.7)<br>^     | 0.754<br>(1.9) <sup>c</sup>          | 0.00808<br>(1.924) <sup>D</sup>  | -0.02398<br>(-1.535) <sup>D</sup>  | 1.031427 | 26                       | 0.518001 |
| RA     | 7.745<br>(93.171) ^  | 1.69<br>(2.704) <sup>в</sup>         | 0.02004<br>(3.027) <sup>в</sup>  | -0.05735<br>(-2.329) <sup>в</sup>  | 1.152296 | 26                       | 0.655982 |





| States | Constant<br>term      | Coefficient<br>of Intercept<br>dummy | Coefficient<br>of<br>time       | Coefficient<br>of time<br>Dummy | DW       | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Adj. R <sup>2</sup> |
|--------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| TN     | 9.612<br>(216.148) ^  | 0.0308<br>(0.092) <sup>E</sup>       | 0.03214<br>(9.075) <sup>⊧</sup> | 0.00537<br>(0.408) <sup>⊧</sup> | 1.691283 | 26                       | 0.926552            |
| UP     | 10.924<br>(336.446) ^ | 0.8<br>(3.276) ^                     | 0.03234<br>(12.507) ^           | -0.03206<br>(-3.333) ^          | 1.430269 | 26                       | 0.932874            |
| WB     | 731<br>(59.878) ^     | -3.543<br>(-3.231) ^                 | 0.02979<br>(2.565) ^            | 0.161<br>(3.734) ^              | 1.448586 | 26                       | 0.770841            |

- The estimated values of t-ratio are given in the parenthesis
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

### Table 4 : Comparison of the Growth Rate of non food grains production betweenthe pre (first) and post (second) liberalization period

| States | Growth Rate<br>for the non<br>food grains<br>first period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of Composite<br>Dummy<br>(B <sub>1</sub> ) | Growth<br>Rate for non<br>food grains<br>the second<br>period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> +B <sub>1</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth Rate of<br>non food grains production for the<br>second period |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| AP     | 0.008805<br>(2.154) <sup>в</sup>   | 0.01762<br>(1.159) <sup>⊾</sup>                           | 0.026425   | Growth Rate has increased and is not statistically significant                        |
| AS     | 0.01254<br>(2.437) <sup>в</sup>  | -0.02232<br>(-1.167) <sup>⊨</sup>                         | -0.00978   | Growth Rate has decreased and is not statistically significant                        |
| BI     | 0.01464<br>(2.907) ^   | -0.05255<br>(-2.806) ^                                    | -0.03791   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                            |
| GU     | 0.05082<br>(489) ^   | -0.01993<br>(-0.895) <sup>⊧</sup>                         | 0.03089  | Growth Rate has decreased and is not statistically significant                        |
| НА     | 0.01187<br>(2.409) <sup>₿</sup>  | -0.01748<br>(-0.954) <sup>⊧</sup>                         | -0.00561   | Growth Rate has decreased and is not statistically significant                        |





| States | Growth Rate<br>for the non<br>food grains<br>first period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of Composite<br>Dummy<br>(B <sub>1</sub> ) | Growth<br>Rate for non<br>food grains<br>the second<br>period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> +B <sub>1</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth Rate of<br>non food grains production for the<br>second period |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| HP     | 0.00514<br>(0.431) <sup>⊧</sup>  | 0.01482<br>(0.334) <sup>⊧</sup>                           | 0.01996  | Growth Rate has increased but is not statistically significant                        |
| JK     | 0.00564<br>(0.766) <sup>⊧</sup>  | 0.0795<br>(2.904) ^                                       | 0.08514  | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant                            |
| KA     | 0.03439<br>(12.605) ^  | 0.04145<br>(4.085) ^                                      | 0.07584  | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant                            |
| KE     | -0.06958<br>(-7.654) ^   | 0.199<br>(5.873) ^  | 0.12942  | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant                            |
| мн     | 0.04361<br>(472) ^   | -0.02138<br>(-1.117) <sup>⊧</sup>                         | 0.02223  | Growth Rate has decreased and is not statistically significant                        |
| MP     | 0.01762<br>(4.117) ^   | -0.005284<br>(-0.332) <sup>⊧</sup>                        | 0.012336   | Growth Rate has decreased and is not statistically significant                        |
| OR     | 0.02331<br>(7.035) ^   | -0.121<br>(-9.853) ^                                      | -0.09769   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                            |
| PU     | 0.00808<br>(1.924) <sup>D</sup>  | -0.02398<br>(-1.535) <sup>D</sup>                         | -0.0159  | Growth Rate has decreased and is not statistically significant                        |
| RA     | 0.02004<br>(3.027) <sup>в</sup>  | -0.05735<br>(-2.329) <sup>в</sup>                         | -0.03731   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                            |
| TN     | 0.03214<br>(9.075) <sup>E</sup>  | 0.00537<br>(0.408) <sup>⊧</sup>                           | 0.03751  | Growth Rate has increased and is not statistically significant                        |
| UP     | 0.03234<br>(12.507) ^  | -0.03206<br>(-3.333) ^                                    | 0.00028  | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant                            |
| WB     | 0.02979<br>(2.565) ^   | 0.161<br>(3.734) ^  | 0.19079  | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant                            |

- The estimated values of t-ratio are given in the parenthesis
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance





- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

### Table 5 : Estimation of dummy variable model to find out shift in growth path of total agricultural production for different states of India taking 1991-92 as the break point

| States | Constant<br>term              | Coefficient<br>of<br>Intercept<br>dummy | Coefficient<br>of<br>time        | Coefficient<br>of time<br>Dummy    | DW       | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Adj. R2  |
|--------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| AP     | 9.955<br>(249.942)^           | -0.194<br>(-0.649) <sup>E</sup>         | 0.01477<br>(4.658) ^             | 0.01098<br>(0.931) <sup>⊧</sup>    | 1.168396 | 26                       | 0.780961 |
| AS     | 591<br>(217.116) ^            | 0.263<br>(0.885) <sup>⊧</sup>           | 0.01618<br>(5.134) ^             | -0.01294<br>(-1.104) <sup>E</sup>  | 1.40838  | 26                       | 0.619132 |
| BI     | 9.556<br>(293.121) ^          | 0.756<br>(3.085) ^                      | 0.01762<br>(6.788) <sup>A</sup>  | -0.0306<br>(-3.169) ^              | 0.743082 | 26                       | 0.786177 |
| GU     | 9.09<br>(119.634) ^           | 0.375<br>(0.657) <sup>⊧</sup>           | 0.03883<br>(6.416) A             | -0.01658<br>(-0.737) <sup>E</sup>  | 1.133216 | 26                       | 0.777249 |
| НА     | 9.222<br>(24428) ^            | 0.235<br>(0.843) <sup>⊧</sup>           | 0.0281<br>(9.506) A              | -0.009152<br>(-0.832) <sup>E</sup> | 0.727206 | 26                       | 0.897816 |
| HP     | 6.896<br>(173.528) ^          | 0.412<br>(1.378) <sup>D</sup>           | 0.01681<br>(5.31) A              | -0.01329<br>(-1.129) <sup>E</sup>  | 0.749851 | 26                       | 0.788507 |
| JK     | 6.945<br>(223.517) ^          | 1.166<br>(4.992) ^                      | 0.01789<br>(7.231) A             | -0.04766<br>(-5.178) ^             | 0.951567 | 26                       | 0.793991 |
| KA     | 9.516<br>(163.338) ^          | -0.702<br>(-1.602) <sup>D</sup>         | 0.03863<br>(326) A               | 0.03025<br>(1.753) <sup>c</sup>    | 1.459034 | 26                       | 0.896444 |
| KE     | 969<br>(103.709) ^            | -3.1<br>(-4.767) ^                      | -0.05289<br>(-7.68) A            | 0.145<br>(5.642) ^                 | 0.546367 | 26                       | 0.715596 |
| мн     | 10.053<br>(156.01) ^          | 0.596<br>(1.23) <sup>⊧</sup>            | 0.03885<br>(7.57) A              | -0.02345<br>(-1.229) <sup>E</sup>  | 0.55238  | 26                       | 0.842897 |
| MP     | 9.439<br>(89.98) <sup>A</sup> | 1.283<br>(1.626) <sup>D</sup>           | 0.02052<br>(2.457) A             | -0.04862<br>(-1.565) <sup>D</sup>  | 2.160977 | 26                       | 0.361688 |
| OR     | 995<br>(360.692) ^            | 1.877<br>(10.006) ^                     | 0.02261<br>(11.384) <sup>в</sup> | -0.0892<br>(-12.075) ^             | 1.656381 | 26                       | 0.890681 |
| PU     | 9.544<br>(429.83) ^           | 0.503<br>(3.011) ^                      | 0.03517<br>(19.888) ^            | -0.02245<br>(-3.413) ^             | 1.091101 | 26                       | 0.969401 |





| States | Constant<br>term     | Coefficient<br>of<br>Intercept<br>dummy | Coefficient<br>of<br>time | Coefficient<br>of time<br>Dummy   | DW       | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Adj. R2  |
|--------|----------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| RA     | 9.013<br>(167.084) ^ | 0.649<br>(1.6) <sup>D</sup>             | 0.02475<br>(5.762) ^      | -0.02458<br>(-1.538) <sup>D</sup> | 1.031613 | 26                       | 0.765435 |
| TN     | 9.985<br>(230.377) ^ | -0.02549<br>(-0.078) <sup>E</sup>       | 0.02472<br>(7.161) ^      | 0.008145<br>(0.634) <sup>⊧</sup>  | 1.79951  | 26                       | 0.9025   |
| UP     | 11.169<br>(486.41) ^ | 0.701<br>(4.062) ^                      | 0.0352<br>(19.248) ^      | -0.02978<br>(-4.378) ^            | 1.449712 | 26                       | 0.967927 |
| WB     | 9.492<br>(91.93) ^   | -2.343<br>(-3.018) ^                    | 0.02524<br>(3.069) ^      | 0.109<br>(3.558) ^                | 1.461413 | 26                       | 0.802083 |

The estimated values of t-ratio are given in the parenthesis

- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

# Table 6 : Comparison of the Growth Rate of total agricultural production betweenthe pre (first) and post (second) liberalization period

| States | Growth Rate for<br>total agricultural<br>production for<br>the first period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of<br>Composite<br>Dummy (B <sub>1</sub> ) | Growth Rate for<br>total agricultural<br>production the<br>second period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> +B <sub>1</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth Rate<br>of yield of total agricultural<br>production for the second<br>period |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| AP     | 0.01477<br>(4.658) ^   | 0.01098<br>(0.931) <sup>E</sup>                           | 0.02575   | Growth Rate has increased but is not statistically significant                                       |
| AS     | 0.01618<br>(5.134) ^   | -0.01294<br>(-1.104) <sup>E</sup>                         | 0.00324   | Growth Rate has decreased<br>and is not statistically<br>significant                                 |
| BI     | 0.01762<br>(6.788) ^   | -0.0306<br>(-3.169) ^                                     | -0.01298  | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant   |
| GU     | 0.03883<br>(6.416) ^   | -0.01658<br>(-0.737) <sup>⊧</sup>                         | 0.02225   | Growth Rate has decreased<br>and is not statistically<br>significant                                 |





| States | Growth Rate for<br>total agricultural<br>production for<br>the first period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of<br>Composite<br>Dummy (B <sub>1</sub> ) | Growth Rate for<br>total agricultural<br>production the<br>second period<br>(B <sub>o</sub> +B <sub>1</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth Rate<br>of yield of total agricultural<br>production for the second<br>period |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| НА     | 0.0281<br>(9.506) ^  | -0.009152<br>(-0.832) <sup>E</sup>                        | 0.018948  | Growth Rate has decreased<br>and is not statistically<br>significant                                 |
| HP     | 0.01681<br>(5.31) ^  | -0.01329<br>(-1.129) <sup>⊨</sup>                         | 0.00352   | Growth Rate has decreased<br>and is not statistically<br>significant                                 |
| JK     | 0.01789<br>(7.231) ^   | -0.04766<br>(-5.178) ^                                    | -0.02977  | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant   |
| KA     | 0.03863<br>(326) ^   | 0.03025<br>(1.753) <sup>c</sup>                           | 0.06888   | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant   |
| KE     | -0.05289<br>(-7.68) ^  | 0.145<br>(5.642) ^  | 0.09211   | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant   |
| мн     | 0.03885<br>(7.57) ^  | -0.02345<br>(-1.229) <sup>⊧</sup>                         | 0.0154  | Growth Rate has decreased<br>and is not statistically<br>significant                                 |
| MP     | 0.02052<br>(2.457) ^   | -0.04862<br>(-1.565) <sup>D</sup>                         | -0.0281   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant   |
| OR     | 0.02261<br>(11.384) <sup>в</sup>   | -0.0892<br>(-12.075) ^                                    | -0.06659  | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant   |
| PU     | 0.03517<br>(19.888) ^  | -0.02245<br>(-3.413) ^                                    | 0.01272   | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant   |
| RA     | 0.02475<br>(5.762) ^   | -0.02458<br>(-1.538) <sup>D</sup>                         | 0.00017   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant   |
| TN     | 0.02472<br>(7.161) ^   | 0.008145<br>(0.634) <sup>⊧</sup>                          | 0.032865  | Growth Rate has increased<br>and is not statistically<br>significant                                 |
| UP     | 0.0352<br>(19.248) ^   | -0.02978<br>(-4.378) ^                                    | 0.00542   | Growth Rate has decreased and is statistically significant   |
| WB     | 0.02524<br>(3.069) ^   | 0.109<br>(3.558) ^  | 0.13424   | Growth Rate has increased and is statistically significant   |





- The estimated values of t-ratio are given in the parenthesis
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

#### Table 7 : Estimation of dummy variable model to find out the shift in growth path of yield of food grains production for different states of India taking 1991-92 as the break point

| States | Constant<br>term             | Coefficient<br>of Intercept<br>dummy | Coefficient<br>of time             | Coefficient<br>of<br>time<br>Dummy | DW          | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Adj. R <sup>2</sup> |
|--------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| AP     | 736<br>(7172)^               | 4.885<br>(5.812) ^                   | 0.01983<br>(2.228) <sup>в</sup>    | -0.237<br>(-7.146) ^               | 1.290258938 | 26                       | 0.833277016         |
| AS     | 7.77<br>(106.416)<br>^       | 1.502<br>(2.735) ^                   | 0.03401<br>(5.849) ^               | -0.109<br>(-5.035) ^               | 1.463278194 | 26                       | 0.876839967         |
| BI     | 602<br>(71.035) ^            | 5.818<br>(6.388) ^                   | 0.03257<br>(3.378) ^               | -0.284<br>(-7.92) ^                | 1.307785401 | 26                       | 0.849643326         |
| GU     | 972<br>(70.342) ^            | 4.144<br>(4.32) ^                    | 0.008851<br>(0.871) <sup>E</sup>   | -0.22<br>(-5.811) ^                | 1.505542015 | 26                       | 0.869789043         |
| HA     | 865<br>(73.608) ^            | 5.29<br>(5.84) ^                     | 0.03007<br>(3.135) ^               | -0.251<br>(-7.023) ^               | 1.255836026 | 26                       | 0.777255798         |
| HP     | 697<br>(69.232) ^            | 4.657<br>(4.928) ^                   | 0.003966<br>(0.396) ^              | -0.215<br>(-5.782) ^               | 0.988317274 | 26                       | 0.765964031         |
| JK     | 472<br>(99.908) <sup>^</sup> | 4.194<br>(6.576) <sup>^</sup>        | 0.01798<br>(2.663) <sup>⊨</sup>    | -0.206<br>(-204) ^                 | 1.099330596 | 26                       | 0.877597843         |
| KA     | 9.213<br>(7719) ^            | 4.742<br>(5.387) <sup>^</sup>        | -0.006505<br>(-0.698) <sup>в</sup> | -0.235<br>(-6.785) ^               | 1.154668371 | 26                       | 0.897477087         |
| KE     | 7.242<br>(6407) <sup>^</sup> | 4.277<br>(5.372) <sup>^</sup>        | 0.05681<br>(6.738) <sup>⊧</sup>    | -0.199<br>(-6.345) ^               | 0.606155502 | 26                       | 0.690747101         |
| мн     | 694<br>(61.024) ^            | 6.559<br>(6.121) ^                   | 0.01914<br>(1.686) <sup>D</sup>    | -0.32<br>(-7.587) ^                | 1.35931993  | 26                       | 0.869831713         |





| States | Constant<br>term               | Coefficient<br>of Intercept<br>dummy | Coefficient<br>of time          | Coefficient<br>of<br>time<br>Dummy | DW          | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Adj. R <sup>2</sup> |
|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| MP     | 699<br>(62.7) ^                | 6.288<br>(6.026) <sup>^</sup>        | 0.02026<br>(1.834) <sup>c</sup> | -0.304<br>(-7.409) ^               | 1.215385839 | 26                       | 0.853823807         |
| OR     | 722<br>(70.578)^               | 5.612<br>(6.038) ^                   | 0.01893<br>(1.924) <sup>c</sup> | -0.279<br>(-7.626) ^               | 1.154609852 | 26                       | 0.87960214          |
| PU     | 9.12<br>(109.571)<br>^         | 3.618<br>(5.781)^                    | 0.02513<br>(3.792) ^            | -0.185<br>(-7.513) ^               | 1.259013105 | 26                       | 0.86258105          |
| RA     | 678<br>(55.41) ^               | 6.548<br>(5.559) ^                   | 0.01947<br>(1.561) <sup>D</sup> | -0.315<br>(-6.798) ^               | 1.318011387 | 26                       | 0.828812            |
| TN     | 737<br>(7422) ^                | 4.603<br>(5.493) <sup>^</sup>        | 0.02675<br>(3.014) ^            | -0.228<br>(-6.913) ^               | 1.372730002 | 26                       | 0.823785961         |
| UP     | 9.026<br>(65.061) <sup>^</sup> | 5.409<br>(5.184) <sup>^</sup>        | 0.02977<br>(2.694) <sup>в</sup> | -0.271<br>(-6.604) ^               | 1.273108761 | 26                       | 0.826446884         |
| WB     | 858<br>(91.448) ^              | 3.696<br>(5.074) <sup>^</sup>        | 0.01441<br>(1.868) <sup>c</sup> | -0.187<br>(-6.531) ^               | 1.19172591  | 26                       | 0.851420128         |

- Estimated values of the't' ratios are given in the parenthesis.
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

# Table 8 : Comparison of the growth rate of yield of food grains production betweenthe pre (first) and post (second) liberalization period

| States | Growth Rate of<br>yield of food<br>grains for the<br>first period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of<br>Composite<br>Dummy<br>(B <sub>21</sub> ) | Growth Rate of<br>yield of food<br>grains for the<br>second period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> +B <sub>21</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth Rate<br>yield of food grains production for<br>the second period |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| AP     | 0.01983<br>(2.228) <sup>в</sup>   | -0.237<br>(-7.146) ^  | -0.21717  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |





| States | Growth Rate of<br>yield of food<br>grains for the<br>first period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of<br>Composite<br>Dummy<br>(B <sub>21</sub> ) | Growth Rate of<br>yield of food<br>grains for the<br>second period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> +B <sub>21</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth Rate<br>yield of food grains production for<br>the second period |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| AS     | 0.03401<br>(5.849) ^  | -0.109<br>(-5.035) ^  | -0.07499  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| BI     | 0.03257<br>(3.378) ^  | -0.284<br>(-7.92) ^   | -0.25143  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| GU     | 0.008851<br>(0.871) <sup>E</sup>  | -0.22 (-5.811)<br>^   | -0.21115  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| НА     | 0.03007 (3.135)<br>^  | -0.251<br>(-7.023) ^  | -0.22093  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| HP     | 0.003966<br>(0.396) ^   | -0.215<br>(-5.782) ^  | -0.21103  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| JK     | 0.01798 (2.663)<br>E  | -0.206 (-204) ^   | -0.18802  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| KA     | -0.00650 (-0.698<br>) <sup>B</sup>  | -0.235<br>(-6.785) ^  | -0.24151  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| KE     | 0.05681 (6.738)<br>E  | -0.199<br>(-6.345) ^  | -0.14219  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| мн     | 0.01914 (1.686)<br>D  | -0.32 (-7.587)<br>^   | -0.30086  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| MP     | 0.02026 (1.834)<br>c  | -0.304<br>(-7.409) ^  | -0.28374  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| OR     | 0.01893 (1.924)<br>c  | -0.279<br>(-7.626) ^  | -0.26007  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |





| States | Growth Rate of<br>yield of food<br>grains for the<br>first period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of<br>Composite<br>Dummy<br>(B <sub>21</sub> ) | Growth Rate of<br>yield of food<br>grains for the<br>second period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> +B <sub>21</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth Rate<br>yield of food grains production for<br>the second period |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| PU     | 0.02513 (3.792)   | -0.185<br>(-7.513) ^  | -0.15987  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| RA     | 0.01947 (1.561)<br>D  | -0.315<br>(-6.798) ^  | -0.29553  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| TN     | 0.02675 (3.014)<br>^  | -0.228<br>(-6.913) ^  | -0.20125  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| UP     | 0.02977 (2.694)<br><sup>B</sup>   | -0.271<br>(-6.604) ^  | -0.24123  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |
| WB     | 0.01441 (1.868)<br>c  | -0.187<br>(-6.531) ^  | -0.17259  | Growth rate of yield has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant               |

- The estimated values of t-ratio are given in the parenthesis
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

# Table 9 : Estimation of dummy variable model to find out the shift in growth path ofyield of non food grains production for different states of India taking 1991-92 asthe break point

| States | Constant<br>term     | Coefficient<br>of Intercept<br>dummy | Coefficient<br>of time | Coefficient<br>of time<br>Dummy   | DW          | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Adj. R <sup>2</sup> |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| AP     | 10.155<br>(111.452)^ | 0.881<br>(1.285) <sup>⊧</sup>        | 0.07332<br>(10.105) ^  | -0.05084<br>(-1.884) <sup>c</sup> | 0.474835076 | 26                       | 0.852264251         |
| AS     | 10.023<br>(126.101)^ | 1.046<br>(1.749) <sup>c</sup>        | 0.06354<br>(10.038) ^  | -0.05883<br>(-2.499) <sup>в</sup> | 0.522953194 | 26                       | 0.831123038         |





| States | Constant<br>term              | Coefficient<br>of Intercept<br>dummy | Coefficient<br>of time        | Coefficient<br>of time<br>Dummy   | DW          | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Adj. R <sup>2</sup> |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| BI     | 9.88<br>(142.505) ^           | 1.41<br>(2.704) <sup>в</sup>         | 0.06486<br>(11.747) ^         | -0.06832<br>(-3.327) ^            | 0.374173255 | 26                       | 0.889675857         |
| GU     | 10.792<br>(141.938) ^         | 1.17<br>(2.046) <sup>c</sup>         | 0.06953<br>(11.482) ^         | -0.06517<br>(-2.894) ^            | 0.731519136 | 26                       | 0.866865216         |
| HA     | 9.635<br>(7854) ^             | 1.512<br>(1.646) <sup>⊳</sup>        | 0.08228<br>(455) ^            | -0.08093<br>(-2.236) <sup>в</sup> | 1.453850224 | 26                       | 0.782165166         |
| HP     | 9.203<br>(146.66) ^           | -0.02215<br>(-0.047) <sup>⊧</sup>    | 0.03522<br>(7.048) ^          | 0.02287<br>(1.231) <sup>E</sup>   | 0.729337115 | 26                       | 0.939985734         |
| JK     | 9.859<br>(169.251)^           | 0.666<br>(1.519) <sup>D</sup>        | 0.01773<br>(3.823) ^          | -0.01964<br>(-1.138) <sup>E</sup> | 0.875222142 | 26                       | 0.731653676         |
| KA     | 9.975<br>(96.918) ^           | 1.387<br>(1.792) <sup>.</sup>        | 0.09191<br>(11.213) ^         | -0.07268<br>(-2.384) <sup>в</sup> | 0.455865258 | 26                       | 0.881957974         |
| KE     | 9.692<br>(7505) ^             | 1.302<br>(1.402) <sup>⊳</sup>        | 0.08985<br>(9.139) ^          | -0.0687<br>(-1.879) <sup>c</sup>  | 1.0827005   | 26                       | 0.832358381         |
| мн     | 10.366<br>(124.27) ^          | 1.132<br>(1.804) <sup>.</sup>        | 0.07476<br>(11.253) ^         | -0.06374<br>(-2.58) <sup>в</sup>  | 0.527391656 | 26                       | 0.867407443         |
| MP     | 10.178<br>(133.636) ^         | 0.454<br>(0.793) <sup>E</sup>        | 0.05342<br>(808) <sup>A</sup> | -0.02788<br>(-1.236) <sup>E</sup> | 1.160442109 | 26                       | 0.826875693         |
| OR     | 9.963<br>(90.96) <sup>^</sup> | 1.51<br>(1.833) <sup>.c</sup>        | 0.08249<br>(9.457) ^          | -0.08545<br>(-2.634) <sup>в</sup> | 0.479733566 | 26                       | 0.800253629         |
| PU     | 9.745<br>(101.145)^           | 1.728<br>(2.385) <sup>в</sup>        | 0.08541<br>(11.131)^          | -0.0911<br>(-3.192) ^             | 0.597892888 | 26                       | 0.859852583         |
| RA     | 9.558<br>(95.813) ^           | 2.157<br>(2.875) ^                   | 0.08567<br>(10.784) ^         | -0.104<br>(-3.525) ^              | 0.486674175 | 26                       | 0.863613574         |
| TN     | 10.511<br>(10289) ^           | 1.642<br>(2.249) <sup>в</sup>        | 0.08223<br>(10.638) ^         | -0.08277<br>(-2.879) ^            | 0.479686828 | 26                       | 0.86244915          |
| UP     | 9.943<br>(142.109) ^          | 1.485<br>(2.821) ^                   | 0.08726<br>(15.659) ^         | -0.07643<br>(-3.688) <sup>A</sup> | 0.503223043 | 26                       | 0.934509438         |
| WB     | 9.967<br>(117.287)^           | 1.791<br>(2.803) ^                   | 0.08463<br>(12.506) ^         | -0.09047<br>(-3.594) ^            | 0.438825669 | 26                       | 0.893415577         |

- Estimated values of the't' ratios are given in the parenthesis.
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant





# Table 10 : Comparison of the growth rate of yield of non food grains productionbetween the pre (first) and post (second) liberalization period

| States | Growth Rate of yield<br>of non food grains<br>for the first period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of Composite<br>Dummy (B <sub>21</sub> ) | Growth Rate<br>of yield of non<br>food grains for<br>the second<br>period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> +B <sub>21</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth<br>Rate of yield of non food<br>grains production for the<br>second period           |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| AP     | 0.07332<br>(10.105) ^  | -0.05084<br>(-1.884) <sup>c</sup>                       | 0.02248  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>declined and is statistically<br>significant   |
| AS     | 0.06354<br>(10.038) ^  | -0.05883<br>(-2.499) <sup>в</sup>                       | 0.00471  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>declined and is statistically<br>significant   |
| BI     | 0.06486<br>(11.747) ^  | -0.06832<br>(-3.327) ^                                  | -0.00346   | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>declined and is statistically<br>significant   |
| GU     | 0.06953<br>(11.482) ^  | -0.06517<br>(-2.894) ^                                  | 0.00436  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>declined and is statistically<br>significant   |
| HA     | 0.08228<br>(455) ^   | -0.08093<br>(-2.236) <sup>в</sup>                       | 0.00135  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>declined and is statistically<br>significant   |
| HP     | 0.03522<br>(7.048) ^   | 0.02287<br>(1.231) <sup>⊨</sup>                         | 0.05809  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>increased but not statistically<br>significant |
| JK     | 0.01773<br>(3.823) ^   | -0.01964<br>(-1.138) <sup>⊧</sup>                       | -0.00191   | Growth Rate of yield of<br>non food grains production<br>has decreased but not<br>statistically significant |





| States | Growth Rate of yield<br>of non food grains<br>for the first period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of Composite<br>Dummy (B <sub>21</sub> ) | Growth Rate<br>of yield of non<br>food grains for<br>the second<br>period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> +B <sub>21</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth<br>Rate of yield of non food<br>grains production for the<br>second period           |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| KA     | 0.09191<br>(11.213) ^  | -0.07268<br>(-2.384) <sup>в</sup>                       | 0.01923  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant  |
| KE     | 0.08985<br>(9.139) ^   | -0.0687<br>(-1.879) <sup>c</sup>                        | 0.02115  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant  |
| мн     | 0.07476<br>(11.253) ^  | -0.06374<br>(-2.58) <sup>в</sup>                        | 0.01102  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant  |
| MP     | 0.05342<br>(808) ^   | -0.02788<br>(-1.236) <sup>⊧</sup>                       | 0.02554  | Growth Rate of yield of<br>non food grains production<br>has decreased but not<br>statistically significant |
| OR     | 0.08249<br>(9.457) ^   | -0.08545<br>(-2.634) <sup>в</sup>                       | -0.00296   | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant  |
| PU     | 0.08541<br>(11.131) ^  | -0.0911<br>(-3.192) ^                                   | -0.00569   | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant  |
| RA     | 0.08567<br>(10.784) ^  | -0.104<br>(-3.525) ^                                    | -0.01833   | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant  |





| States | Growth Rate of yield<br>of non food grains<br>for the first period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> ) | Coefficient<br>of Composite<br>Dummy (B <sub>21</sub> ) | Growth Rate<br>of yield of non<br>food grains for<br>the second<br>period<br>(B <sub>20</sub> +B <sub>21</sub> ) | Comments on the Growth<br>Rate of yield of non food<br>grains production for the<br>second period          |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| TN     | 0.08223<br>(10.638) ^  | -0.08277<br>(-2.879) ^                                  | -0.00054   | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant |
| UP     | 0.08726<br>(15.659) ^  | -0.07643<br>(-3.688) ^                                  | 0.01083  | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant |
| WB     | 0.08463<br>(12.506) ^  | -0.09047<br>(-3.594) ^                                  | -0.00584   | Growth Rate of yield of non<br>food grains production has<br>decreased and is statistically<br>significant |

- The estimated values of t-ratio are given in the parenthesis
- A: Significant at 1% level of significance
- B: Significant at 5% level of significance
- C: Significant at 10% level
- D: Significant at 10% level, one tailed test
- E: Not Significant

#### References

Dhar Arpita and Pal Dipyaman (2006): "Inter District disparity of food grains Production in West Bengal: Growth, Instability and Determinations of Yield," Artha Beekashan, Vol. 14, No. 3 & 4, 293 – 312.

Ghose, Arpita and Pal Dipyaman (2007): "Growth of food grains Production: An Inter District Comparison of West Bengal", Artha Beekashan, Vol. 15, No. 4 March 2007, 216 – 233.

Ray, S.C. (2004): Data Envelopment Analysis: Theory and Technique for Economics and Operation Research, Cambridge University Press





### India's Standing in Global Life Insurance Market : A Brief Analysis

### Abstract

Life insurance is vital for a country's risk management system, financial security and influences the growth of economy. The potential development of sector heavily depends on the efficiency of life insurers that how they are fulfilling the needs and expectations of customers. It helps to change the insights and opinion of the Indian people and to make them aware about insurable risks & benefits of insurance. There was a remarkable improvement in the life insurance industry in post insurance reform period which was featured by entry of private insurers in collaboration foreign giants. Due to this large number of unreached population facilitated with open reach to life insurance policies with limited restrictions. But still, life insurance coverage in Indian economy is guite untapped. Consequently, this paper attempts to examine the status of Indian Life Insurance Industry at world market in terms of premium underwritten, market shares captured.

### Keywords

Steady Growth, Slow Market Saturation, Regulatory Controls, Innovative Product Portfolio.

### Pooja Choudhary

#### Introduction

Insurance sector is a major contributor to the financial savings of the household sector in the country, which can be channelized for various investment opportunity and purposes. Efforts at increasing consumer awareness and putting the regulatory framework for protection of policyholder's interest have been made both the industry and authoritarian level. Life insurance sector have ample growth possibilities. It is the only financial service which provides return in addition to the life risk exposures. The prospects for expansion and spread of life insurance in India is high due to large population and no pension system among larger work aroups which lead to no old age income the insurance sector provides for the long term contractual savings for the investors. Life insurance can accumulate huge amounts of capital over time which can be invested productively in the economy.

### Objectives

The main objectives of the paper are

► To examine the status of Indian Life Insurance Industry at world market in terms of premium underwritten, market shares captured; and

► To discover the ways to strengthen the performances of Indian life Insurance sector.



#### **Research Methodology**

This paper is descriptive in nature stating facts about Indian life Insurance Industry at world level. For this study, a sample size of top 20 countries has been selected on the basis of ranking in world life insurance market during 2013-14. Data of 10 years from 2005 to 2014 have been taken into consideration. In order to measure the status of Indian life insurance sector at world level, premium income and market shares have been taken into consideration as determinants. World Annual reports from Swiss Re Sigma have been used to get required data. To statistical analysis of the data one way analysis of variances (One Way ANOVA) are used.

One Way ANOVA is used to find out the significant relation between more than two groups of variables. The process of ANOVA consists of deviations of two different variables of population variance from the data. Then the statistics is calculated from the ratio of two estimates. One is the measure of the effect of independent variables combined with error variance itself called as between group variance. The other estimate is the within group variances. In ANOVA, F ratio is the ratio of between and within group variances<sup>1</sup>.

### A Brief Look on Life Insurance Industry in India

### A. Life Insurance Industry in India in Pre-Insurance Sector Reforms

During pre insurance sector reform periods, Indian insurance industry was fully under the

control of government, as insurance companies were bound to work within India, not worldwide. Life Insurance came to India in the year 1818 from England. Oriental Life Insurance Company started in Calcutta was the first life insurance company in India. In 1829, the Madras Equitable had begun transacting life insurance business in the Madras Presidency. Indian Life Assurance Companies Act, 1912 was the first statutory measure to regulate life business. In 1928, the Indian Insurance Companies Act was passed to facilitate the Government to collect statistical information about life and non-life business transacted in India by Indian and foreign insurers including provident insurance societies. In 1938, with a view to protecting the interest of the Insurance public, the earlier legislation was consolidated and amended by the Insurance Act, 1938 with comprehensive provisions for effective control over the activities of insurers  $^{2}$ .

The demand for nationalisation of life insurance industry was made repeatedly in the past but it gathered momentum in January 19, 1956, when life insurance business in India was nationalised. In nationalisation 154 Indian insurance companies, 16 non-Indian companies and 75 provident societies were merged. The Parliament of India passed the Life Insurance Corporation Act on June 19, 1956, and the Life Insurance Corporation of India was created on 1st September, 1956.<sup>3</sup> The Finance Minister C. D. Deshmukh at the time of piloting the bill for nationalisation states the objective of LIC- to conduct the business with utmost economy with the spirit of trusteeship; to charge premium no higher than warranted by strict actuarial considerations; to invest the

<sup>3</sup> Brief History of Insurance and Life Insurance; from the Website of Life Insurance Corporation of India, retrieved from https://www.licindia.in/history.htm on September 16, 2014.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gupta S. L. and Gupta Hitesh (2013): SPSS 17.0 for Researchers; International Book House Publication Limited, New Delhi, p. 94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> History of insurance in India; Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA), retrieved from https://www.irda.gov.in/ADMINCMS/cms/LayoutPages\_Print.aspx?page=PageNo4 on September 16, 2014.





funds for obtaining maximum yield for the policy holders consistent with safety of capital; to render prompt and efficient service to policy holders thereby making insurance widely popular.<sup>4</sup> In the pre globalisation era, there was monopoly of LIC in life insurance business in India.

### B. Indian Life Insurance Industry in Post-Insurance Sector Reforms

In Indian life insurance the major changes occur in the form of Insurance Sector Reforms. Viewing competition in the market, The Indian Government appoints the Malhotra Committee in 1994 This committee was headed by former Finance Secretary and RBI Governor, R. N. Malhotra. The committee was aimed at creating a more efficient and competitive financial system suitable for the requirement of the economy keeping in mind the structural changes currently underway and recognising that insurance is an important part of overall financial system where it was necessary to address the need for similar reforms. In 1994 committee submit its report giving various recommendations in the form of structure, competition, investments and customer services related to insurance business in India. It was decided that competition allowed in a limited way by stipulating the minimum requirement of capital of Rs. 1 billion. The amount decided was not very high for private and foreign investors. Foreign equity participation was restricted to 40%.

Keeping in view the Malhotra Committee recommendations to set up independent regulatory body to regulate insurance business, IRDA bill was drafted, thus the government

ruled out the privatisation of public companies like LIC. It provides a regulatory body having 9 members with statutory powers. When the bill was introduced in parliament for first time, there were mix reactions about the problems, but in the year 1999, bill was finally passed and IRDA was established to promote, regulate, manage and control the insurance business in India as there was need arises because of moving the Indian insurance business to global market.

The opening of a new era of insurance progress has seen by the entry of global insurers, the explosion of pioneering products and distribution means and the increasing managerial standards.<sup>5</sup> As on June 30, 2014, apart from Life Insurance Corporation the public sector life insurer, there are 23 other private sector life insurance companies operating in India, most of them joint ventures between Indian private companies and global insurance partners.

### Global Life Insurance Market during 2013-14 : A Quick Look

The analysis and growth experience of the life insurance industry in the majority of countries shows that the intensifying and broadening of the life insurance services are positively connected to the extent of competition and the number of life insurers in the market. In a closed and controlled market situation, the growth of life insurance slows down. The countries which have followed the open and liberalised policy have informed quantum soar in the life insurance services.

Another trend is that the growth is higher in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Gupta P. K. (2011): Insurance and Risk Management; Himalaya Publishing House, Mumbai, 2011, p.189.
<sup>5</sup> Jain Yogesh (Jan. - Feb. 2013): Economic Reforms and World Economic Crisis: Changing Indian Life Insurance market place; IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM), Volume 8, Issue 1 (Jan. - Feb. 2013), pp.106-115; retrieved from www.iosrjournals.org on September 14, 2014.





those countries where relatively less controls i were enforced on life insurance industries.<sup>6</sup> From the annual reports of Swiss Re, Siama it has been seen that the total world life insurance premium volume decreased at USD 2608091 million during 2013 compared to 2012 which was USD 2630274 million. Meaning is that the total life insurance premium volume declined by 0.8 percent over previous year's premium underwritten. Similarly the life insurance density of total world life insurance also reduced to USD 366.00 during 2013-14 compared to USD 372.6 in 2012-13. Penetration of total world life insurance reduced during 2013-14 to 3.5 percent of total GDP compared to life insurance penetration at 3.69 percent of total GDP during 2012-13.

### India's Position at Global Life Insurance Market: A Comparative Analysis

Taking the case of particular paper, among top 20 countries of world in alobal life insurance market during 2013-14, India ranked 11th as per data published by Swiss Re during 2013-14, the estimated life insurance premium in India decreased by 1.1% as compared to previous year. The market share of Indian life insurance sector in global market was 2.00 % during 2013-14 as against 2.03% in 2012-13. The insurance density of life insurance sector had downfall from USD 42.7 in 2013 to USD 41.00 in 2014. Similarly, insurance penetration had also declined from 3.17 percent in 2013 to 3.10 percent in 2013-14. For the analysis purpose, two determinants used i.e. life insurance premium volume in US Dollar and market shares captured in percent as a share of world life insurance market.

### 1. Life Insurance Premium Volume

Premium income is the major source of income of growth. life insurance industry. Table 1 shows the total life

insurance premium underwritten by the different countries' life insurance industry from 2004-05 to 2013-14. Accordingly mean value is found out and ranks given on the basis of corresponding mean value. table indicates that the premium volume of advanced market countries such as USA, China, Germany, Italy, South Korea, Japan etc. are quite high compared to developing market countries. Taking particular study of Indian life insurance industry, it has found that the income of industry declined slightly during 2013-14 compared to previous year's performances.

It is observed from table 1 and its analysis through One Way ANOVA, viewing the Test of Homogeneity of Variances table, the p-value of Levene's statistic is less than 0.05 i.e. 0.000. It means the variances of group are not homogeneous and therefore differ significantly. In such a situation, result may not be valid for this variable. Thus, Brown-Forsythe and Welch test are performed for analysis purpose. Then analysis of the ANO-VA table, it has observed that the value of F with 19 and 180 degree of freedom is 285.367, results in a probability of 0.000. The critical value of F at 19 and 180 degree of freedom is 1.6446, which is very much low than the calculated value. Again according to the rule of homogeneity of variance, the variable will not be judged by F statistics in ANOVA. Using the Welch and Brown-Forsythe statistic, we find that F statistic (19, 66.097) is 9.037; p is 0.000 less than the significance level at 0.05. So it can be concluded that there is difference between the premium incomes underwritten by the life insurance industries of different countries. Particularly, analysing the performance of Indian life insurance industry, it has observed that industry make a steady and continuous growth till 2011 but then it started declining which is not a good sign for economic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Dash Swadesh Kumar and Pany Tushar Kanti (2013): Insurance Industry in India – Prospects and Challenges; Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research Vol.2 Issue 4, April 2013, pp. 48-51.

Table 1: Global Life Insurance Premium Underwritten (in USD millions)

|      | UK               | 193196    | 199612                | 311691    | 3497    |
|------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
|      | France           | 133456    | 154058                | 177902    | 1869    |
|      | PR China         | 34449     | 39552                 | 45092     | 5867    |
|      | Italy            | 82083     | 91740                 | 89576     | 8821    |
|      | Germany          | 84697     | 90225                 | 94911     | 1024    |
|      | South<br>Korea   | 48485     | 58848                 | 72298     | 8129    |
|      | Taiwan           | 33279     | 38808                 | 41245     | 4981    |
|      | Canada           | 29013     | 34456                 | 39212     | 4559    |
|      | India            | 17500     | 20175                 | 37220     | 4713    |
|      | Brazil           | 8199      | 10556                 | 13699     | 1828    |
| Vc   | Ireland          | 19068     | 20010                 | 37331     | 4993    |
| lur  | Australia        | 25719     | 27602                 | 28287     | 3472    |
| ne : | South<br>Africa  | 23497     | 25930                 | 33106     | 3492    |
| 40 I | Switzer-<br>land | 24313     | 22747                 | 23363     | 2398    |
| De   | Spain            | 23592     | 25518                 | 28285     | 3116    |
| ecer | Hong<br>Kona     | 12636     | 15340                 | 17482     | 2184    |
| nb   | Sweden           | 18866     | 15850                 | 20032     | 2396    |
| er 2 | Nether-<br>lands | 31061     | 31914                 | 33907     | 359     |
| 014  | Source: Com      | piled anc | l comput <sub>i</sub> | ed from V | Vorld I |
|      |                  |           |                       |           |         |



| Rank<br>on<br>the<br>basis<br>of<br>Mean<br>Value | 1         | 2         | e         | 4         | 7        | 9        | 5         | 8              | 6        | 11       | 10       | 18       | 12       | 13        | 14              | 17               | 15       | 20           | 19       | 16               |             |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|----------|--------------|----------|------------------|-------------|
| Mean<br>Value                                     | 534635.00 | 413915.30 | 246738.80 | 170431.50 | 95364.40 | 98793.00 | 104480.20 | 70519.80       | 54368.30 | 44764.30 | 46172.70 | 26643.20 | 36773.00 | 36406.00  | 35482.10        | 27979.60         | 33143.70 | 21711.70     | 24903.60 | 31719.20         |             |
| 2013-<br>14                                       | 532858    | 423733    | 222893    | 160156    | 152121   | 117978   | 114349    | 91204          | 75013    | 52334    | 52174    | 49417    | 46929    | 45641     | 44556           | 34227            | 33862    | 32059        | 30865    | 26005            | 2014        |
| 2012-<br>13                                       | 567756    | 524372    | 205918    | 149346    | 141208   | 93273    | 106411    | 78920          | 72522    | 51783    | 53300    | 44784    | 42625    | 43689     | 44787           | 33771            | 33762    | 28979        | 27275    | 29338            | 1 2005 to   |
| 2011-<br>12                                       | 537570    | 524668    | 210067    | 174753    | 134539   | 105089   | 113869    | 79161          | 64133    | 52167    | 60442    | 41046    | 43038    | 45187     | 41534           | 35083            | 39257    | 24556        | 31961    | 31210            | iama fron   |
| 2010-<br>11                                       | 506228    | 440950    | 213831    | 192428    | 142999   | 122063   | 114868    | 71131          | 63920    | 51574    | 67810    | 33246    | 39296    | 38045     | 43186           | 28809            | 34676    | 22624        | 29411    | 25102            | Wiss Ro S   |
| 2009-<br>10                                       | 492345    | 399100    | 217681    | 194077    | 109175   | 115290   | 111775    | 57436          | 52202    | 43656    | 57114    | 24781    | 35445    | 32468     | 28773           | 26379            | 42186    | 20269        | 24904    | 33758            | ort from S  |
| 2008-<br>09                                       | 578211    | 367112    | 342759    | 181146    | 95831    | 82623    | 111278    | 66417          | 52748    | 47855    | 48860    | 22419    | 34055    | 42697     | 34525           | 27122            | 39133    | 21324        | 25903    | 38899            | UNCA RAD    |
| 2007-<br>08                                       | 578357    | 330651    | 349740    | 186993    | 58678    | 88215    | 102419    | 81298          | 49813    | 45593    | 47132    | 18285    | 49933    | 34725     | 34927           | 23982            | 31166    | 21848        | 23969    | 35998            |             |
| 2006-   | 533649    | 362766    | 311691    | 177902    | 45092    | 89576    | 94911     | 72298          | 41245    | 39212    | 37220    | 13699    | 37331    | 28287     | 33106           | 23363            | 28285    | 17482        | 20032    | 33907            | ad from W   |
| 2005-<br>06                                       | 517074    | 375958    | 199612    | 154058    | 39552    | 91740    | 90225     | 58848          | 38808    | 34456    | 20175    | 10556    | 20010    | 27602     | 25930           | 22747            | 25518    | 15340        | 15850    | 31914            | a compute   |
| 2004-<br>05                                       | 502302    | 389843    | 193196    | 133456    | 34449    | 82083    | 84697     | 48485          | 33279    | 29013    | 17500    | 8199     | 19068    | 25719     | 23497           | 24313            | 23592    | 12636        | 18866    | 31061            | nied and    |
| Countries   | USA       | Japan     | UK        | France    | PR China | Italy    | Germany   | South<br>Korea | Taiwan   | Canada   | India    | Brazil   | Ireland  | Australia | South<br>Africa | Switzer-<br>land | Spain    | Hong<br>Kona | Sweden   | Nether-<br>lands | JUILCO. COM |







#### **Result and Interpretation**

| Te<br>Life i     | st of Homogeneit<br>nsurance premiu | y of Variances<br>m volume in USI | D    |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| Levene Statistic | df1                                 | df2                               | Sig. |
| 13.941           | 19                                  | 180                               | .000 |

|               |               | ANOVA     |                 |         |      |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|------|
|               | Life insuranc | e premiun | n volume in USI | D       |      |
|               | Sum of        | df        | Moan Sauaro     | E       | Sig  |
|               | Squares       | u u       | Mean square     | 1       | sig. |
| Between       | 0 / 5 / 5 1 0 | 10        | 1 000511        | 0050/7  | 000  |
| Groups        | 3.654E12      | 19        | 1.923ETT        | 285.367 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 1.213E11      | 180       | 6.738E8         |         |      |
| Total         | 3.775E12      | 199       |                 |         |      |

|                         | Robust Tests o<br>Prem | of Equality of A<br>ium income | Neans  |      |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------|
|                         | Statistic <sup>a</sup> | df1                            | df2    | Sig. |
| Welch                   | 187.618                | 19                             | 66.097 | .000 |
|                         | 285.367                | 19                             | 40.634 | .000 |
| a. Asymptotically F dis | stributed.             |                                |        |      |

#### Graph 1: Life Insurance Premium Volume in USD at World level



### 2. Life Insurance Market Shares

Market shares show the percent of life insurance market captured by each country's life insurance industry. Table 2 presents the global life insurance market shares in terms of total premium earned by life insurance sector of different countries selected for present study. Data has been taken from 2004-05 to 2013-14 from the annual reports of Swiss Re, Sigma. And on the basis of total values mean values are found out and ranks are given according to the mean value. Then for analysis purpose ANOVA is applied to find out the required results as given below. Table 2: Global Life Insurance Market Shares (in % of Total Premium Underwritten)

| Countries    | 2004-<br>05 | 2005-<br>06 | 2006-<br>07 | 2007-<br>08 | 2008-<br>09 | 2009-<br>10 | 2010-<br>11 | 2011-<br>12 | 2012-<br>13 | 2013-<br>14 | Mean    | Rank<br>on the<br>Basis<br>of<br>Mean<br>Value |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--|
| USA          | 26.77       | 26.20       | 24.15       | 24.17       | 23.22       | 21.12       | 20.09       | 20.46       | 21.66       | 20.43       | 22.8270 | 1  |
| Japan        | 20.93       | 19.05       | 16.42       | 13.82       | 14.74       | 17.12       | 17.50       | 19.97       | 20.01       | 16.21       | 17.5770 | 2  |
| UK           | 10.26       | 10.11       | 14.11       | 14.61       | 13.76       | 9.34        | 8.49        | 8.00        | 7.86        | 8.55        | 10.5090 | 3  |
| France       | 6.97        | 7.81        | 8.05        | 7.81        | 7.27        | 8.32        | 7.64        | 6.65        | 5.70        | 6.14        | 7.2360  | 4  |
| PR China     | 1.92        | 2.01        | 2.04        | 2.45        | 3.85        | 4.68        | 5.67        | 5.12        | 5.39        | 5.83        | 3.8960  | 7  |
| Italy        | 4.44        | 4.65        | 4.05        | 3.69        | 3.32        | 4.94        | 4.84        | 4.00        | 3.56        | 4.52        | 4.2010  | 9  |
| Germany      | 4.40        | 4.57        | 4.30        | 4.28        | 4.47        | 4.79        | 4.56        | 4.33        | 4.06        | 4.38        | 4.4140  | 5  |
| South Korea  | 2.63        | 2.98        | 3.27        | 3.40        | 2.67        | 2.46        | 2.82        | 3.01        | 3.01        | 3.50        | 2.9750  | 8  |
| Taiwan       | 1.83        | 1.97        | 1.87        | 2.08        | 2.12        | 2.24        | 2.54        | 2.44        | 2.77        | 2.88        | 2.2740  | 6  |
| Canada       | 1.60        | 1.75        | 1.77        | 1.91        | 1.92        | 1.87        | 2.05        | 1.99        | 1.98        | 2.01        | 1.8850  | 11   |
| India        | 0.92        | 1.02        | 1.68        | 1.97        | 1.96        | 2.45        | 2.69        | 2.30        | 2.03        | 2.00        | 1.9020  | 10   |
| Brazil       | 0.44        | 0.53        | 0.62        | 0.76        | 0.90        | 1.06        | 1.32        | 1.56        | 1.71        | 1.89        | 1.0790  | 18   |
| Ireland      | 1.03        | 1.01        | 1.69        | 2.09        | 1.37        | 1.52        | 1.56        | 1.64        | 1.63        | 1.80        | 1.5340  | 12   |
| Australia    | 1.39        | 1.40        | 1.28        | 1.45        | 1.71        | 1.39        | 1.51        | 1.72        | 1.67        | 1.75        | 1.5270  | 13   |
| South Africa | 1.32        | 1.31        | 1.50        | 1.46        | 1.39        | 1.23        | 1.71        | 1.58        | 1.71        | 1.71        | 1.4920  | 14   |
| Switzerland  | 1.30        | 1.15        | 1.06        | 1.00        | 1.09        | 1.13        | 1.14        | 1.34        | 1.27        | 1.31        | 1.1790  | 17   |
| Spain        | 1.28        | 1.29        | 1.28        | 1.30        | 1.57        | 1.68        | 1.38        | 1.49        | 1.29        | 1.30        | 1.3860  | 15   |
| Hong Kong    | 0.70        | 0.78        | 0.79        | 0.91        | 0.86        | 0.87        | 0.90        | 0.93        | 1.11        | 1.23        | .9080   | 20   |
| Sweden       | 0.85        | 0.96        | 0.91        | 1.00        | 1.04        | 1.07        | 1.17        | 1.22        | 1.04        | 1.18        | 1.0440  | 19   |
| Netherlands  | 1.70        | 1.62        | 1.53        | 1.50        | 1.56        | 1.45        | 1.00        | 1.19        | 1.12        | 1.00        | 1.3670  | 16   |





Source: Compiled and computed from World Insurance Report of Swiss Re, Sigma from 2005 to 2014





#### **Result and Interpretation**

| T<br>Life ir     | est of Homogenei<br>nsurance market s | ity of Variances<br>hares at world le | vel  |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| Levene Statistic | df1                                   | df2                                   | Sig. |
| 18.729           | 19                                    | 180                                   | .000 |

|                   | Life insurance    | ANOVA<br>market sho | ares at world le | vel     |      |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------|------|
|                   | Sum of<br>Squares | df                  | Mean Square      | F       | Sig. |
| Between<br>Groups | 6655.126          | 19                  | 350.270          | 297.131 | .000 |
| Within Groups     | 212.191           | 180                 | 1.179            |         |      |
| Total             | 6867.318          | 199                 |                  |         |      |

|                              | Robust Tests of | FEquality of N | 1eans  |      |  |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|------|--|--|
|                              | Statistica      | df1            | df2    | Sia. |  |  |
| Welch 187.618 19 66.097 .000 |                 |                |        |      |  |  |
| Brown-Forsythe               | 285.367         | 19             | 40.634 | .000 |  |  |

a. Asymptotically F distributed.

### Graph 2 Life Insurance Market Shares at World Level



It is examined from table 2 and its analysis, in the Test of Homogeneity of Variances table, the p-value of Levene's statistic is less than 0.05 i.e. 0.000. It means the variances of group differ significantly. Then analysis of the ANOVA table, it has observed that the value of F with 19 and 180 degree of freedom is 297.131, results in a probal

bility of 0.000. The critical value of F at 19 and 180 degree of freedom is 1.6446, which is very low than the calculated value. Again according to the rule of homogeneity of variance, the variable will not be judged by F statistics in ANOVA. Using the Welch and Brown-Forsythe statistic, we find that F statistic (19, 66.097) is 9.037; probability is 0.000





less 0.05. So it can be concluded that there is difference between the market shares retained by the life insurance industries of different countries. Specifically, examining the performance of India in life insurance industry, it has detected that the industry grows till 2010-2011 with capturing shares 2.69 percent of total world life insurance market. But then started failing during 2013-14 with 2.00 percent shares of total market, which is not a fine signal for industry's as well country's financial growth.

### Impact of Global Economic Crisis of Indian Life Insurance Market

The world economy faces the most terrible economic crisis in the year 2008. The global economic crisis that started from mortgage home loan left no industry untouched by its effects. Banks, insurance industry, manufacturing industry every industry was affected by the financial crisis directly or indirectly. Global economic crisis was the greatest financial challenges to the world economy which was originated in USA. The global crisis has affected the Indian economy through financial markets, trade flows, and exchange rates. The economy has shown substantial flexibility to the global economic crisis by maintaining one of the highest growth rates in the world economy. Indian financial sector considered safe, sound and not expected to have a large impact of the great economic crisis. The services sector including banking and insurance sectors accounted for around 88% of the growth rate in real gross domestic product. The global economic crisis is a result of deep economic depression generally refers to business cycle contraction over an extended period of

time. It is a position when economic indicators like gross domestic product, employment, household incomes and profit go down and bankruptcies rates are go up. The financial crisis may be a banking crisis and the solvency of the insurance sector as a whole does not appear to be endangered. India has one of the largest insurance markets in the world. <sup>7</sup>

"According to the IMF, banks have suffered higher credit losses than the insurers. The impact of this financial crisis on the alobal life insurance industry was mixed. American International Group (AIG) and FORTIS, offers not only insurance but also banking services. Majority of the losses made by AIG and Fortis were considerably from financial products other than insurance such as derivatives and banking activities. Though the impacts of the crisis in the financial market was limited due to the widespread diversification of investments by the insurers, India cannot afford to remain insulated from this due to the exposure of the financial institutions in the global financial market. AIG's financial crisis has some substantial bluster on businesses in Indian market as AIG has a joint venture in life insurance sector with the Tata Group. On the other hand Fortis has a joint venture with IDBI Bank in India. The collapse of these life insurance players have harsh social penalty due to the fact that millions of middle and lower middle class people in India buy the life insurance products not just to take-care of themselves but the benefits for their family members. As a result, the social costs of such failure have more economic cost and the end sufferers will be the common policy holders"<sup>8</sup> . That time assurance was given by IRDA to policyholders their money is safe after AIG get the access

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ashraf S. H. and Sharma Dhanraj (2011): Global Financial Crisis and Its Impact on Indian Insurance Industry; International Journal of Research in Commerce, Economics and Management, volume no. 1, no. 4, August 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ghosh Amlan: Impact of Global Financial Crisis in the Life Insurance Sector in India; retrieved form http:// papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=1639122 on December 12, 2014





domestic insurance companies do not have any disclosure overseas due to the controlled investment regulations of IRDA, which restricts insurers from investing funds abroad.

### **Reasons for Slow Saturation of Life** Insurance Market in India

From the result is very much clear that Indian life insurance sector is neither in very comfortable position nor in worst position. For life insurance companies operating in Indian economy, it is not very easy and automatic process to capture the market or to increase the demand for life insurance policies. There are always some reasons exists for success and failure of any business, industry or corporate body. Life insurance sector is not exception to it, and consist of some hurdles in the slow saturation of market and growth of sector at alobal level. The world 2008 financial crisis and European debt crisis in 2011 has much affected the insurance sector in the country. The crash of this financial crisis on Indian life insurance sector is also affected significantly, as this sector is not disconnected with the global macroeconomic behaviours. Because of instability in financial market Unit Link Plans have not been capable to give adequate profits even after period of five years. There has been pouring in the give up of Unit Link Plans with policyholders sad with their returns even after the confine period of payment.

Similarly the levels of insurance literacy are very stumpy in Indian case, as a result people do not realise what life insurance is, what actually it covers etc. Therefore life insurance is given smallest priority in the financial saving and safety of the people not only in illiterates even among the

of Federal Reserve's borrowing pane. In India, i literate people. Same applies in case of agents also.<sup>9</sup> In current market scenario India continues to be a developing nation and large segments of the population do not have sufficient money to make their needs to meet, thus life insurance remains as their last concern. Along with these prompt reasons some of the issues for slow market growth involve lack of effective communication and promotion measures, low awareness of life insurance in rural areas, improper distribution modes and organisational conflicts etc.

### Suggestions to Improve the Position of Indian Life Insurance Industry at Global Level

I. Increasing the literacy levels is one way of curbing the slow market penetration. If the agents are proficiently qualified, they can sell large number of policies on need based to the customers. The qualified and knowledgeable persons can only provide the answers on need based as per the customer's own budget, because the customers must know the exact reason for buying the insurance policy.

**II.** Most of the people in Indian economy, lives in rural areas or semi-urban areas and they do not have awareness or can say do not have any idea to access life insurance market or about life insurance policies. So it is very much essential for companies to tap the rural segment.

III. There should be a proper control and regulatory mechanism that may prevent the conflicts in the organisation among employees and officials for their personal interest and benefits.

**IV.** For better market capture, life insurers should develop such dynamic and unique pro-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Ganesh S.S.S.Durga (2014): Growth of Insurance Industry In India After Privatization - Life Insurance Sector Is At An Inflection Point; Researchjournali's Journal of Commerce, Vol. 2, No. 2, March 2014, retrieved from http://www.researchjournali.com/pdf/402.pdf on September, 23 2014.




motional campaign, so that all types of earning people can understand the benefits of investing money for financial security for personal and family's well beings.

V. In India most of the population belongs to the lower-middle income groups. Thus, one of the main criteria for capturing Indian market is to develop innovative and price conscious policies to attract lower and middle income segment of market.

These are the few suggestions that can be useful for life insurance companies operating in Indian environment that can be useful at organisational/managerial level to curb relative hurdles in the way of successful implementation of business transactions as well as to improve market standings at global level.

# **Concluding Remarks**

The observed results indicate that there is difference between the countries in regards to life insurance premium underwritten and market shares captured accordingly. Particularly based on the analysis of study it can be said that the status of Indian life insurance sector is neither very satisfying nor very unsatisfactory. Because comparing to USA, China, Germany, South Korea, Japan, France, Italy, United Kingdom the premium income underwritten and market shares compared total business of India is quite low, while comparing to other nations like Brazil, Switzerland, Australia, South Africa, Spin, Hong-Kong, Netherlands the India's status is very much acceptable and satisfactory.

There are always some reasons exists for success and failure of any business, industry or corporate body. The world 2008 financial crisis and European debt crisis in 2011 has much affected the insurance sector in the country. The crash of this financial crisis on Indian life insurance sector is also affected significantly, as this sector is not disconnected with the global macroeconomic behaviours. There are some other reasons that hamper the fast market growth i.e. the lack of effective communication and promotion measures, low awareness of life insurance in rural areas, improper distribution modes and organisational conflicts, world financial crisis, uneven macroeconomic trends etc. these hurdles can be curb by taking initiatives to move industry to growth path through prevention of conflicts through regulatory measures, effective and attractive promotion strategy, increasing insurance literacy, tapping rural market and through to developing innovative and price conscious policies to attract lower and middle income people in India.

### References

Article- Indian Insurance Sector Innovate Now or Stagnate; from Deloitte, retrieved from http://www.deloitte.com on August 29, 2014

Evolution of Life Insurance Industry in India; retrieved from http://www.dnb.co.in on September 12, 2014.

Ganesh S.S.S.Durga (2014): Growth of Insurance Industry In India After Privatization - Life Insurance Sector Is At An Inflection Point; Researchjournali's Journal of Commerce, Vol. 2, No. 2, March 2014, retrieved from http://www.researchjournali.com/pdf/402.pdf on September, 23 2014.

Hymavathi Kumari (2013): Performance Evaluation of Indian Life Insurance Industry in Post Liberalization; International Journal of Social Sciences Arts and Humanities, Vol.1., No. 1, 2013; retrieved from http://crdeep.com, pp. 7-14.

Krishnamurthy Rajagopalan (2011): Life Insurance in India- Strategic Shifts in a Dynamic Industry; retrieved from https://www.towerswatson.com/~/media/Pdf/.../1101-India-FIN.pdf on





September 23, 2014.

Kaur Satvinder (2013): Unit – VI Life Insurance; retrieved from http://www.studymode. com on September 12, 2014

Life Insurance Marketing in India: The Changing Distribution Norms. Retrieved from www.icmrindia.org on September 13, 2014.

Lunawat M. L.: Insurance in India- Changing Policies and Emerging Practices; Response Books, New Delhi.

Mathew M. J.: Insurance- Theory and Practices, RBSA Publishers, Jaipur, 1998.

Nirmal Ghorawat (2013): Perspective on Life Insurance Industry in India, retrieved from http://canirmalg.wordpress.com on September 13, 2014.

Report on Global view of the life insurance market-How it is being shaped by the lingering; retrieved from About Ernst & Young; retrieved from http://www.ey.com on September 29, 2014.

Srivastava Arnika, Tripathi Sarika and Kumar Amit (2012): Life Insurance Industry- The Challenging Trends; retrieved from http://www. researchersworld.com on September 29, 2014

Thakur Shilpa: Competition in Life Insurance Sector of India; retrieved from http://cci.gov.in

World Insurance Reports Swiss Re, Sigma from 2003-04 to 2012-13.





# **Inflation Trends in BRICS Nations**

# Abstract

One of the biggest and more persisting issues at global level has been inflation. Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa are no exception to this notion. Keeping in mind, the significance, impact and consequences of inflation on general masses in Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa; the present paper tries to discuss the emerging trends and issues arising out of the inflation. The paper further suggests a strategy for minimizing the impact of inflation on common man's purchasing power.

# Keywords

Inflation, Consumer Price Index, BRICS

# Badar Alam Iqbal Luciana Aparecida Bastos Nayyar Rahman

# Introduction

Inflation has been a global phenomenon. Every nation has been facing it. There is only a difference in terms of degree i.e. one digit, two digit or three digit inflation. Emerging economies especially BRICS nations have also been facing inflation especially food inflation .Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa have been facing inflation that has affected the purchasing power of common or middle income people in a big way. Table 1 and Table 2 will highlight the overall trend of inflation in BRICS nations while analyzing its probable impact on purchasing power of the people.

| Country         | Subject Descriptor                 | Units             | 2008   | 2009   | 2010   | 2011  | 2012   |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| Brazil          | Inflation, average consumer prices | Percent<br>change | 5.678  | 4.888  | 5.039  | 6.636 | 5.404  |
| China           | Inflation, average consumer prices | Percent<br>change | 5.900  | -0.683 | 3.325  | 5.417 | 2.650  |
| India           | Inflation, average consumer prices | Percent<br>change | 8.879  | 13.048 | 10.532 | 9.553 | 10.209 |
| Russia          | Inflation, average consumer prices | Percent<br>change | 14.108 | 11.654 | 6.854  | 8.443 | 5.068  |
| South<br>Africa | Inflation, average consumer prices | Percent<br>change | 11.536 | 7.125  | 4.271  | 5.000 | 5.654  |

# Table 1 : Annual Inflation (Average Consumer Prices)

Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2014, http://www.imf.org





between BRICS nations. In 2008 Russia had the highest inflation rate and Brazil the lowest while in the subsequent year, that is, in 2009 India had highest inflation rate while China had a deflation instead of inflation. In 2010and 2011, India has remained the country with highest inflation rate while China witnessed lowest inflation rate. In the year 2012, the trend continued and India had the highest inflation rate and China the lowest inflation rate. South Africa has shown a consistent fall in its inflation rate starting from 11.5% in 2008

Table 1 presents data for cross-comparison 1 to around 5% in 2011 and a little rise of additional 0.6% in 2012. It is to be noted that the data in Table 1 gives the average annual inflation that shows the impact on purchasing power of the people on an average rather than on time end basis. An analysis of Table 1 makes it crystal clear that the residents of Russia and India have lost their purchasing power to a greater extent in comparison to Brazil, South Africa and China. China has least eroded the purchasing power of the people. Chart 1 below shows the inflation trend in BRICS nations.



Source: Self-Prepared on the basis of data provided in Table 1

It would also be interesting to note the inflation rate based on consumer prices but calculated at the year-end so as to understand inflation trend from another angle. Table 2 highlights the data with the same objective.

| Country | Subject Descriptor                             | Units             | 2008  | 2009  | 2010  | 2011  | 2012  |
|---------|--|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Brazil  | Inflation, end of<br>period consumer<br>prices | Percent<br>change | 5.902 | 4.312 | 5.909 | 6.503 | 5.839 |
| China   | Inflation, end of period consumer prices       | Percent<br>change | 1.200 | 1.900 | 4.600 | 4.100 | 2.500 |

### Table 2 : Rate of Inflation (End of Consumer Prices)





| Country         | Subject Descriptor                             | Units             | 2008   | 2009   | 2010   | 2011  | 2012   |
|-----------------|--|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| India           | Inflation, end of<br>period consumer<br>prices | Percent<br>change | 9.091  | 14.286 | 10.000 | 9.375 | 10.390 |
| Russia          | Inflation, end of<br>period consumer<br>prices | Percent<br>change | 13.300 | 8.810  | 8.780  | 6.100 | 6.570  |
| South<br>Africa | Inflation, end of<br>period consumer<br>prices | Percent<br>change | 10.066 | 6.289  | 3.480  | 6.054 | 5.708  |

Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2014 http://www.imf.org

Table 2 highlights the rate of inflation at the year end. It is different from table 1 on the basis that the rate of inflation in table 1 is calculated on year-on-year basis. On the other hand the data given in table 2 is calculated at the end of the year. Thus, the data of table 2 relates to the actual inflation at the end of a year. With this background, in 2008 the country with highest inflation was Russia and with lowest was China. In 2009, India had highest inflation in terms of consumer price index and again China had lowest inflation rate. In 2010, 2011 and 2012 India had highest inflation with the figures of 10, 9.375

and 10.39, respectively. In same years (2010, 2011 and 2012), China had the lowest inflation with the figures of 4.6, 4.1 and 2.5 percent, respectively with the exception of year 2010 when South Africa had the lowest rate of inflation. This shows the vulnerability of Russia and India with respect to erosion of purchasing power due to high rate of inflation. Brazil encounters the same problem of high inflation but not to the extent of Russia. China and South Africa on the other hand has taken a leap in controlling inflation in the BRICS nations. Chart 2 shows the trends of year end inflation rate for BRICS nations.



Source: Self-Prepared on the basis of data provided in Table 2





### **Review of Literature**

A review of past studies shows that inflation trends were specifically discussed under emerging economies title. These studies focused on countries that also included BRICS nations. With respect to China, it was identified that inflation rate in China had been moderate over the past decades (Lin and Wang, 2013). In the same study conducted by Lin and Wang(2013) issue of inflation was given paramount importance not just due to monetary policy impact, consumption and investment but due to its spillover effects in the global economy. It was concluded that high inflation is not only threat to China but also to highly trade dependent countries such as South Korea. In most of the studies inflation targeting was accepted as a measure to control inflation (Samarina, Terpstra and De Haan, 2014). The pros and cons of inflation targeting has been exclusively discussed by various authors (e.g., Roger, 2009; Walsh, 2009; De Carvalho Filho, 2010). Goncalves and Salles (2008) and de Mendona and de Souza (2012) has identified that inflation targeting as a policy measure of central bank reduces and control inflation rates in emerging and developing economies. Thus, the conclusion of Salles & Souza applies to BRICS nations. However, it was identified by Samarina and De Haan (2013) that the factors leading to adoption of inflation targeting adoption differ significantly between OECD and non-OECD countries.

Past studies have identified two primary causes of inflation in China i.e. reform inflation and excess demand inflation. Other BRICS nations also witness the same tendency (Yunqi, 1989). A centrally planned economy like China and Russia witness high inflation due to excess demand and to control it orders state enterprises to reduce investment spending and control consumption. In a market economy like Brazil, South Africa and India, the central bank use monetary policy to influence business spending by adjusting the cost and availability of finance.

With respect to South Africa it has been identified that double digit inflation rate has been a major concern but success was achieved in 1990's through an informal inflation targeting regime as it lowered the inflation rates (Amusa, Gupta, Karolia & Simo-Kengne, 2013).Formally, South African Reserve Bank used inflation targeting framework in Feb 2000 within a target of 3-6% (Mboweni, 2003; van der Merwe, 2004). Arestis, Ferrari-Filho and De Paula (2011), clearly stated that Brazil adhere religiously to the theoretical principles of the inflation targeting framework. Brazil has witnessed low economic growth with relatively high inflation. It was also identified that the macroeconomic literature has not conducted a great deal of research on this important topic because of data limitations (Mazumder, 2014). After the currency crises of 1990s in Brazil, it adopted inflation targeting as a measure to control rising inflation. During 1994-1998 the Brazilian government used high domestic interest rates with the objective of reducing inflation. Inflation targeting managed to reduce inflation in Brazil after its 1999and 2002 currency crises (Barbosa-Filho, 2008). Several authors have argued that for transition economies undergoing financial liberalization and integration in world financial markets inflation targeting is an attractive monetary policy framework (Jha, 2008).

### Inflation Trends In Brazil

Brazil has faced a long inflationary period of 21 years from 1974 to 1994. During the 1980s and early 90s, Brazil witnessed the most critical period where inflation grew alarmingly. In order to look into the serious issue seven different stabilization plans were originated to contain rising prices, namely: The Cruzado Plan I, The Cruzado Plan II, The Bresser Plan, Policy Beans with Rice and Summer-Plan in the Government of President José Sarney, Collor I and Collor II Plan under President Fernando Collor de Mello.Table 3 presents the





figures for annual inflation in terms of average consumer prices with base of 100 and expressed as percent change. It shows that beginning from 1993, Brazil witnessed high inflation rates but later on due to the several plans initiated to curtail inflation, the consumer price index came down to a single figure in recent years ending to 2012. This shows that policies adopted by the country have attained the objective of curtailing inflation to single digits.

# Table 3 : Annual Inflation (Average<br/>Consumer Prices Index)

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent<br>change |
|------|---|
| 1993 | 1,927.38  |
| 1994 | 2,075.83  |
| 1995 | 66.008  |
| 1996 | 15.757  |
| 1997 | 6.926   |
| 1998 | 3.196   |
| 1999 | 4.859   |
| 2000 | 7.044   |

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent<br>change |
|------|---|
| 2001 | 6.84  |
| 2002 | 8.45  |
| 2003 | 14.714  |
| 2004 | 6.598   |
| 2005 | 6.87  |
| 2006 | 4.184   |
| 2007 | 3.641   |
| 2008 | 5.678   |
| 2009 | 4.888   |
| 2010 | 5.039   |
| 2011 | 6.636   |
| 2012 | 5.404   |

Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2014

#### http://www.imf.org

On the other hand, Chart 3 shows the trend of inflation in Brazil for the period starting from 1993 till 2012. It clearly shows that inflation was in double digit during early 1990s but eventually reached to single figure in 2012.

Chart 3



Source: Self-Prepared on the basis of data provided in Table 3





The table below shows the variation of the CPIE i rate stability, drastic reduction of debt, external (Consumer Price Index Expanded) during the 1980s and early 90s. This index is used by Brazil to correct the balance sheets and quarterly financial statements of public companies and is also the main index (official rate) used by the Central Bank of Brazil to measure inflation in the country.

#### Table 4 : Annual Variation of CPIE: 1980-1993

| Year | Consumer Price Index<br>Expanded (%) |
|------|--------------------------------------|
| 1980 | 99.27                                |
| 1981 | 95.65                                |
| 1982 | 104.80                               |
| 1983 | 163.99                               |
| 1984 | 215.27                               |
| 1985 | 242.24                               |
| 1986 | 79.65                                |
| 1987 | 363.41                               |
| 1988 | 980.22                               |
| 1989 | 1972.91                              |
| 1990 | 1620.96                              |
| 1991 | 472.69                               |
| 1992 | 1119.09                              |
| 1993 | 2477.15                              |

Source: Brazilian Institute of Geography and **Statistics** http://www.ibge.gov.br

Data expressed in Table 4 shows the evolution of inflation in the country, which peaked in 1993, when the CPIE stood at 2477.15%, demonstrating complete failure of all stabilization plans adopted so far, as well as defeat of Brazilian monetary policy to stabilize prices.

However, from the government of President Fernando Henrique Cardoso (1994), with the creation, implementation and success of the Real Plan, Brazil began to live a moment of economic success with price stability, exchange

input of large volumes of foreign capital in the country and economic growth.With regard to price stability, Table 5 makes clear the change that occurred in Brazil after the Real Plan.

### Table 5 : Annual variation of the CPIE: 1995-2011

| Year | Consumer Price Index<br>Expanded (%) |
|------|--------------------------------------|
| 1995 | 22.41                                |
| 1996 | 9.56                                 |
| 1997 | 5.22                                 |
| 1998 | 1.66                                 |
| 1999 | 8.94                                 |
| 2000 | 5.97                                 |
| 2001 | 7.67                                 |
| 2002 | 12.53                                |
| 2003 | 9.30                                 |
| 2004 | 7.60                                 |
| 2005 | 5.69                                 |
| 2006 | 3.14                                 |
| 2007 | 4.45                                 |
| 2008 | 5.90                                 |
| 2009 | 4.31                                 |
| 2010 | 5.90                                 |
| 2011 | 4.42                                 |

Source: Brazilian Institute of Geography and **Statistics** 

http://www.ibge.gov.br

Observe the drastic reduction of CPIE after the Real Plan, introduced in 1994. Although the 1990s were marked by financial crises in the international arena, namely the Mexican crisis in 1994, the Asian crisis in 1997 and the Russian crisis in 1998, the consequences of these crises did not pass dramatically in Brazil, to the point of compromising economic stability and economic growth on the rise.

Table 6 : Essential Products- average prices in August 2012

Average prices in August 2012 (in R\$)

|           | 4.15   | 4.84   | 4.27   | 3.72   | 4.99   | 3.93   | 3.53   | 3.38   | 3.66   | 4.80   | 5.71   | 4.36   | 4.90   | 5.01   | 4.84   | 5.14   |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|           | 2.01   | 1.93   | 1.98   | 2.62   | 2.09   | 1.79   | 1.85   | 2.15   | 1.88   | 1.93   | 1.65   | 2.00   | 1.91   | 2.00   | 1.87   | 2.28   |
|           | 2.09   | 1.90   | 2.20   | 2.25   | 2.05   | 1.81   | 1.46   | 1.78   | 1.58   | 2.31   | 3.25   | 2.32   | 2.48   | 2.83   | 2.76   | 2.87   |
|           | 1.68   | 1.55   | 1.82   | 2.00   | 2.18   | 2.06   | 1.43   | 2.04   | 1.48   |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 0         | 5.07   | 4.34   | 5.34   | 6.32   | 5.49   | 5.23   | 5.24   | 4.71   | 6.20   | 2.47   | 3.95   | 3.66   | 2.48   | 5.64   | 2.75   | 3.05   |
|           | 6.61   | 7.68   | 7.01   | 7.64   | 7.54   | 9.65   | 6.21   | 7.07   | 6.26   | 4.68   | 6.45   | 6.17   | 6.53   | 5.99   | 5.99   | 6.18   |
|           | 15.33  | 14.56  | 13.17  | 14.44  | 13.60  | 12.17  | 13.39  | 18.15  | 13.73  | 8.34   | 15.43  | 12.43  | 12.55  | 13.58  | 12.67  | 13.42  |
| σ         | 2.39   | 1.89   | 2.51   | 3.19   | 2.77   | 2.18   | 3.01   | 1.86   | 3.46   | 2.46   | 3.79   | 2.24   | 2.07   | 3.26   | 2.66   | 2.72   |
|           | 2.90   | 1.62   | 1.69   | 2.47   | 2.18   | 1.74   | 2.10   | 2.28   | 2.07   | 2.32   | 2.77   | 2.04   | 1.98   | 1.85   | 2.09   | 2.12   |
|           | 3.11   | 2.78   | 3.41   | 3.89   | 3.27   | 3.50   | 3.29   | 3.37   | 3.07   | 3.26   | 3.55   | 3.51   | 3.73   | 3.30   | 3.63   | 3.77   |
|           | 17.13  | 17.13  | 19.47  | 20.49  | 18.90  | 18.25  | 14.57  | 21.55  | 18.30  | 15.05  | 18.47  | 20.46  | 17.95  | 20.37  | 20.49  | 20.04  |
| l√<br>ing | 284.50 | 263.90 | 286.35 | 302.52 | 306.02 | 298.60 | 280.57 | 295.48 | 308.27 | 212.99 | 262.33 | 245.75 | 233.36 | 280.81 | 241.14 | 240.79 |
| D         | 100h   | 93h    | 101h   | 107h   | 108h   | 105h   | 99h    | 104h   | 109h   | 75h    | 92h    | 86h    | 82h    | 99h    | 85h    | 85h    |
|           | 38m    | 20m    | 17m    | 00m    | 14m    | 37m    | 14m    | 31m    | 02m    | 20m    | 47m    | 55m    | 32m    | 19m    | 17m    | 10m    |
|           |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |







16°

14°

13°

40m

79h

225.23

40.79

16.53

11.67

5.47

2.61

3.66

2.00

3.31



14.06

13.89

15.61 2.69

2.08 4.19

2.51

2.34

1.89

Salvador

Recife

Natal



### Food Inflationin Brazil: 2012

Table 6 shows the essential products for the for the Brazilian consumer, their monthly spending with the purchase of these products and the necessary labor time so that they can be bought and the most expensive cities tofeed. The tables are divided by region and include the prices of essential food for consumption in the major capitals of the country.

Tables 6 show a list of 13 essential products that make up the basic food basket in Brazil by region, with emphasis on the average prices of these products in August 2012. In addition, the table shows the work hours to buy these foods. as well as the ranking of themost expensive citiesto feed. Note thatin August 2012, the most expensive city for the Brazilian feed, with respect to products essential basic food basket was Porto Alegre, in the southern region, followed by SãoPaulo and Rio de Janeiroin the Region south east. The cheapest cities to feed during this period were Salvador, Recife and João Pessoa, respectively, all located in the North/ Northeast. In August 2012, a worker residing in Porto Alegre, the most expensive city to feed in the period lacked 109 h 2 min work to acquire the products listed in the table. In relation to São Paulo, observe that in August 2012, people need to work 108 h 14 min to acquire the basic food basket, while in August 2013, has to work 103 h 43 min. Although São Pauloin 2013 has become the most expensive city to feed on the products of the basic basket of essential food of Brazilians. it is observed that food inflation has declined, as the number of hours that you have to work for the Brazilian buys these products in August 2012, declined in August 2013.

**Reelection of President Dilma and Brazilian Economy :** President Dilma Rousseff's narrow victory has created two biggest challenges namely- restoration of confidence in Brazilian sluggish economy and poor services. The other major challenge is to control inflation and keep check in deficit in budget. There is a need to design economic policy to meet the challenges. The changeover of the present Finance Minister may help in facing the challenges especially inflation and budget deficit. The coming years will show how far the new economic policy could help in checking the existing rate of inflation which is a persisting problem has to be tackled so that middle class could get relief which has given a second term to president Dilma Rousseff. The year 2015 would be the most crucial year for the Brazilian economy wherein the trends in regard to inflation may be available and may give insight to the challenge.

#### Inflation Trends In Russia

Russia has witnessed the highest inflation rates in the BRIC nations due to domestic as well as reasons related to its international trade. The monetary policy is to be blamed on one hand and inappropriate measures on the other hand. Table 7 presents the consumer price index from 1993 to 2012 to diagnose the issue.

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent<br>change |
|------|---|
| 1993 | 874.622   |
| 1994 | 307.634   |
| 1995 | 197.471   |
| 1996 | 47.742  |
| 1997 | 14.767  |
| 1998 | 27.675  |
| 1999 | 85.742  |
| 2000 | 20.776  |
| 2001 | 21.461  |
| 2002 | 15.783  |
| 2003 | 13.666  |

### Table 7 : Annual Inflation (Average Consumer Prices Index)







| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent<br>change |
|------|---|
| 2004 | 10.887  |
| 2005 | 12.683  |
| 2006 | 9.679   |
| 2007 | 9.007   |
| 2008 | 14.108  |
| 2009 | 11.654  |
| 2010 | 6.854   |
| 2011 | 8.443   |
| 2012 | 5.068   |

Source: International Monetary Fund, World

Economic Outlook Database, April 2014 http://www.imf.org

Table 7 clearly shows that in the initial years (1993 & 1994), Russia witnessed triple digit inflation rates but gradually the inflation rates has reached to double digits. In recent years, from 2008 to 2012, though the inflation rates has declined with the exemption of 2011 when it rose to 8.443. The inflation rate was highest in the year 1993 when it stood at 874.622 and was lowest in the year 2012 when it stood at 5.068. This shows that recent years have witnessed monetary policies that have benefited the economy by controlling and curtailing the inflation rates. Chart 4 below will highlight the trend in inflation rate.



Chart 4

Source: Self-Prepared on the basis of data provided in Table 7

### Inflation Trends In India

India as a developing country has witnessed the highest fluctuation in the inflation rates since 1993 owing to the fact that monetary policies has changed frequently. The instability of the political environment in the country has added to the problem. Still, India has tried to curtail inflation rate in single figure in the recent years. Table 8 shows the inflation rates related to consumer price index since 1993 for a holistic overview.

# Table 8 : Annual Inflation (AverageConsumer Prices Index)

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent change |
|------|--|
| 1993 | 7.283  |
| 1994 | 10.273   |





| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent change |
|------|--|
| 1995 | 9.962  |
| 1996 | 9.432  |
| 1997 | 6.842  |
| 1998 | 13.127   |
| 1999 | 3.425  |
| 2000 | 3.818  |
| 2001 | 4.315  |
| 2002 | 3.975  |
| 2003 | 3.857  |
| 2004 | 3.831  |
| 2005 | 4.411  |
| 2006 | 7.268  |
| 2007 | 6.125  |
| 2008 | 8.879  |
| 2009 | 13.048   |
| 2010 | 10.532   |
| 2011 | 9.553  |

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent change |  |
|------|--|--|
| 2012 | 10.209   |  |

Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2014

#### http://www.imf.org

The table shows that in the years 1998 and 2009, inflation rate was highest in India which was in double figures staying at 13.127 and 13.048, respectively. Subsequently, the inflation rate has declined to 10.532 in 2010 and to 9.553 in 2011 but eventually it has climbed to 10.209 in the year 2012. This reflects the policy paralysis in India with respect to curtailment of inflation rates that is continuously eroding the purchasing power of the common people. Chart 5 shows the trend of inflation rate with respect to India for the period 1993 to 2012.

# Chart 5



Source: Self-Prepared on the basis of data provided in Table 8

The trend in Chart 5 supports the events related i falling trend on higher food prices like vegetato India's inflation rate. India's wholesale price index (WPI) based inflation, India's wide price movements, inched up to 4.86 per cent in June 2013 from 4.7 in May 2013, reversing a four-month

bles that have become costlier. A sub-5 per cent WPI inflation is still well within the Central Bank of the Country (Reserve Bank of India) comfort zone, but with high retail inflation especially food



items, that looks good to hit double digits in July 2013, experts are of the view that the Central Bank is unlikely to reduce lending costs in coming months. In addition to above, the sharp decline in Indian rupee, which has fallen by a margin of 13 per cent since May 2013, with food inflation in particular and in general pushing imported goods more costly. With weakening of the rupee, inflation in imported goods may be a matter of great concern in coming months.

Consumer price index (CPI) based inflation, which is always considered as a more realistic index because it measures shop-end prices, which have witnessed an increase of 9.87 per cent in June 2013 from 9.31 per cent in May 2013 on costlier vegetables and food items. As long as CPI inflation remains close to double digits and the balance of payment is at risk, the Reserve Bank of India is to remain on hold.

The rupee, which has fallen almost 13 per cent in the current financial year, is the world's most undervalued currency (Table 9). At \$ 1.50 or Rs. 90, the "Maharaja Mac", the Indian version of the Big Mac, is the cheapest among major countries, keeping the Indian Rupee at the Bottom (Table 10).

| Table | 9 | : | Rupee | still | Undervalued |
|-------|---|---|-------|-------|-------------|
|-------|---|---|-------|-------|-------------|

| Country  | Big Mac Index |
|----------|---------------|
| India    | (-67.1 %)*    |
| China    | (-42.8 %)     |
| Thailand | (-37.9 %)     |
| Pakistan | (-34.2 %)     |



| Country       | Big Mac Index |
|---------------|---------------|
| Euro Zone     | (+ 2.3 %)     |
| United States | (0 %)         |
| Canada        | (15.4 %)      |
| Brazil        | (+16.1 %)     |
| Norway        | (+67.8 %)     |

Source: Hindustan Business; New Delhi; July 16, 2013. P. 11

\*Figures in parenthesis indicate currency undervaluation/over-valuation.

### Table 10 : Trends in Big Mac Price

| Country       | Big Mac Price<br>in \$ |
|---------------|------------------------|
| India         | 1.50                   |
| China         | 2.61                   |
| Thailand      | 2.81                   |
| Pakistan      | 3.00                   |
| Euro Zone     | 4.66                   |
| United States | 4.56                   |
| Canada        | 5.26                   |
| Brazil        | 5.28                   |
| Norway        | 7.51                   |

Source: Hindustan Business; New Delhi; July 16, 2013. P. 11

### **Decline in Consumption Expenditure**

The most important impact of inflation is that expenditure in both urban and rural areas on food has decreased (Table 11) as households have started spending more on non-food items namely- durables, clothing and footwear.

### Table 11 : Trends in Consumption Expenditure (Figures in %)

| Item           | Rural 2009-<br>10 | Rural 2011-<br>12 | Urban 2009-<br>10 | Urban<br>2011-12 |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Cereals        | 15.6              | 12.0              | 9.1               | 7.3              |
| Pulses & goods | 3.7               | 3.1               | 2.7               | 2.1              |





| Item             | Rural 2009-<br>10 | Rural 2011-<br>12 | Urban 2009-<br>10 | Urban<br>2011-12 |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Milk & goods     | 8.6               | 9.1               | 7.8               | 7.8              |
| Edible Oil       | 3.7               | 3.8               | 2.6               | 2.7              |
| Egg. fish & meat | 3.5               | 3.6               | 2.7               | 2.8              |
| Vegetables       | 6.2               | 4.8               | 4.4               | 4.4              |
| Beverages        | 5.6               | 5.8               | 6.3               | 7.1              |
| Food Total       | 53.6              | 48.6              | 40.7              | 38.5             |
| Fuel & light     | 9.5               | 9.2               | 8.0               | 7.6              |
| Cloths & Bedding | 4.9               | 6.3               | 4.7               | 5.3              |
| Durable goods    | 4.8               | 6.1               | 6.7               | 6.3              |
| Non Food Total   | 46.4              | 51.4              | 59.3              | 61.5             |

Source: Indian Express (Business); New Delhi; June 21, 2013; P. 17

Table 11 gives some very astonishing trendsfelt heat and pinch. Similarly, onion inflation haswhich are as under:adversely hit households' budget in 2013. High

**a.** Shift from food items to non-food items.

**b.** High degree of disparity between rural and urban in terms of food and non-food items.

**C.** The margin of difference between food and non-food items in terms of rural and urban households is more noticeable in 2009-10 as compared to 2011-12.

**d.** Some vital food items show increase both in 2009-10 and 2011-12.

**e.** In case of non-food items increase in durable goods in rural areas is much more than increase in urban areas.

**f.** In regard to vegetables the scenario is different. In case of rural the decline is considerable while in case of urban the expenditure remained same.

# Food Inflation

In urban India, consumer has to shell 40 per cent more for vegetables in 2013 what they paid in 2012. With vegetables prices soaring despite a good monsoon, households across the country felt heat and pinch. Similarly, onion inflation has adversely hit households' budget in 2013. High vegetable prices, which put pressure on inflation, affect not only farmers and consumers but even policy makers as these have a spillover effect on the other sectors as well.

The Government, hit by economic woes has bumped into a small window of political opportunities. A 10 per cent rise in food prices proportionately hits household welfare in developing nations and form the larger chunk of monthly expenditure of average Indians and affect, the poor most. (Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, 2013). As high prices essentially shrink monthly income, inflation alone will be perceived as a failure of the policy makers and the Government. The most pertinent to mention here is that this the first time since November 2009 that WPI inflation has decelerated below 5 per cent.

Falling global prices have helped India, a key importer; good domestic output of perishable foods; cheaper cereals, egg, fish meat point to falling consumption. On the other hand, good monsoon, as has been predicted, is critical; widening current account deficit i.e. more imports than exports because of brisk gold buying poses





inflation risks.

### CCI and Food Inflation

To help thousands of people who have been left with a burning hole in their respective incomes, fair trade regulator, the Competition Commission of India (CCI) has decided to look into the operations of the agricultural markets to ensure that there is no cartelization or unfair play in the determination of food prices in general and vegetable prices in particular. The CCI is collecting all details and data to analyze the pricing pattern and the role of traders to see if there are any discrepancies. Generally, if there are early and good rains, supply and prices should ease out. In case of agricultural goods, the role of traders is of extreme significance, which needs to be scrutinized. Agricultural markets should function effectively and the aim should be to ensure that both farmers and consumers are getting fair deal and return and are not being short -changed. According to the anti-trust body, recent price hikes in some cases did not match the fundamentals of demand and supply, fuelling the need for regulator to study agricultural market structure across the country. In 2011, the CCI had decided to look into the pricing pattern in the onion markets, after onion prices touched all time high figure of Rs. 80 per kg.

# Decline in Spending on Food Items

Expenditure across the country has increased by a third from 2010-11 to 2012-13, but growth in rural spending outclassed that in urban areas. The consumption basket of households across the country also saw a sharp change during the period under review. So even as food prices rose between 2009 and 2012-13, the average monthly expenditure on food dipped in cities and villages though it made up for nearly half the monthly spending.Cereal and pulses no longer seem to dominate the grocery bills of most households, with spending on proteins like meat and eggs as well as dairy products on the rise. Further, discretionary spending seems to be on the increase with households spending more on the durable goods, clothing, footwear, as well as education and medical facilities.

Expenses on fuel and light remained the second biggest contributor, amounting to 8 per cent of the total budget in villages and 6.7 per cent in cities. Medical bills in villages (6.7 per cent as against 5.5 per cent in cities and education in cities, (6.9 per cent versus 3.5 per cent in villages) are the third largest spending items. Meanwhile, durables took up about 4.5 per cent and 5.3 per cent of the total spending in villages and cities respectively. According to the National Sample Survey (68<sup>th</sup> Round) the rural-urban divide has narrowed down with city dwellers spend 84 per cent more on consumption activities than those residing in villages. While the average monthly per capita expenditure (MPCE) rose by 37.5 per cent in rural areas to Rs. 1429.96 in 2012-13 from Rs. 2053.04 in 2009-10, the average urban MPCE jumped up by a margin of 32.5 per cent to Rs.2629.65 as against Rs.1984.46 during the same period. In 2009-10, urban areas on an average spent 88 per cent more per month on consumption than that of rural areas.

Analysts are of the opinion that developmental scheme have had an impact on rural incomes and purchasing power. The NSS data shows that programs for rural areas are working and the benefits of rural intervention schemes are reaching the targeted population. The Survey further opines that nearly 10 per cent of rural and urban households live below poverty lines. The poorest five per cent in rural areas survives on a mere Rs. 521.44 per month, while in urban areas, the monthly spending by the poorest 5 per cent is on an average Rs. 700.50. This in effect means that the poorest of poor subsist on just 17.38 rupees per day in villages and a mere 23.35 rupee a day in cities.





# Inflation Trends In China

China is a country in the BRICS nations that has consistently managed its inflation rate to remain in single figure after 1995. Even in some years such as 1998, 1999, 2002 and 2008 it has witnessed deflation instead of inflation. What needs to be remembered is that China remains a communist regime though gradually inculcating few capitalistic policy measures. The export of China has also added to the low inflation rates. Table 12 presents the data of inflation rate of China from 1993 till 2012.

# Table 12 : Annual Inflation (AverageConsumer Prices Index)

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent change |
|------|--|
| 1993 | 14.7   |
| 1994 | 24.1   |
| 1995 | 17.1   |
| 1996 | 8.3  |
| 1997 | 2.8  |
| 1998 | -0.8   |
| 1999 | -1.4   |
| 2000 | 0.4  |
| 2001 | 0.725  |
| 2002 | -0.767   |

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent change |
|------|--|
| 2003 | 1.167  |
| 2004 | 3.9  |
| 2005 | 1.817  |
| 2006 | 1.467  |
| 2007 | 4.767  |
| 2008 | 5.9  |
| 2009 | -0.683   |
| 2010 | 3.325  |
| 2011 | 5.417  |
| 2012 | 2.65   |

Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2014 http://www.imf.org/

Table 12 highlight that double digit inflation was in the years 1993, 1994 and 1995. After that the inflation rate has remained in single figures exception being years 1998, 1999, 2002 and 2008 when it was deflation 0.8, 1.4, 0.767 and 0.683, respectively. IT shoes that the monetary policies of China has bolstered the economy and has given it a shield against rising inflation. From the inflation rate of 5.9 in 2008 the rate has come down to 2.65 in 2012. Chart 6 below shows the trend in inflation rate with respect to China.





Source: Self-Prepared on the basis of data provided in Table 12





Inflation has been a major problem for an emerging economy like South Africa. Before, 1990's South Africa witnessed double digit inflation frequently such that the monetary authorities lost their credibility in South Africa. It was in the latter years of 1990's that South African Reserve Bank took strong policy initiatives to control inflation by putting on a target of 3-6%. In order to achieve this target Inflation targeting was used as a measure leaving behind exchange rate pegging. Table 13 presents the annual inflation rate of consumer prices index for the period 1993 to 2012.

#### Table 13 : Annual Inflation (Average Consumer Prices Index)

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent<br>change |
|------|---|
| 1993 | 9.874   |
| 1994 | 9.824   |
| 1995 | 8.709   |
| 1996 | 7.320   |
| 1997 | 8.623   |
| 1998 | 6.872   |
| 1999 | 5.211   |

| Year | CPI (Average Consumer<br>Price Index) percent<br>change |
|------|---|
| 2000 | 5.374   |
| 2001 | 5.700   |
| 2002 | 9.177   |
| 2003 | 5.806   |
| 2004 | 1.392   |
| 2005 | 3.393   |
| 2006 | 4.688   |
| 2007 | 7.090   |
| 2008 | 11.536  |
| 2009 | 7.125   |
| 2010 | 4.271   |
| 2011 | 5.000   |
| 2012 | 5.654   |

SEARCH BULLETIN

Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2014 http://www.imf.

Table 13 highlights that South Africa witnessed high inflation beginning from 1993 but gradually the policies of Reserve Bank controlled inflation with some fluctuations in between. 2008 in particular witnessed highest inflation with a rate of 11.536%. Chart 7 highlights the trends of inflation in South Africa.

# Chart 7



Source: Self-Prepared on the basis of data provided in Table 13





# Strategies For Controlling Inflation In Brics Nations

The foremost strategy to be adopted for minimizing the impact of inflation on purchasing power remains price stability. Price stability in the long run not only safeguard the erosion of purchasing power but promotes a higher level of output and rapid economic growth. Apart from this the confidence of the investors in the economy remains stable. All the BRIC nations in one or the other way, generally with the policies of Central Bank, have focused on price stability to curtail inflation. However, Brazil, Russia and India has failed to curtail inflation and thus has switched to other strategies too. Policies of Central Bank creates expectations of inflation and future policy which changes the nature of variable and thus it has been argued by several economists that macro econometric models fails to present quantified decisions Thus, countries must dispassionately include the expectations of the economic units with respect to future expectations. Another important strategy to curtail inflation is to index the tax system for inflation. Generally, tax systems in BRIC nations are not indexed for inflation which pose several bottlenecks in controlling inflation. Therefore, tax systems should be indexed for inflation. Exchange rate pegging as a strategy to control inflation has several advantages which makes it a hit among policymakers. South Africa has in particular used formal inflation targeting since 2000 which had benefitted the economy and achieved the target of controlling inflation. Under exchange rate pegging, the domestic currency is pegged with the currency of an anchor country in order to control domestic inflation. This strategy has been used by BRIC nations but in a restricted manner with less discretionary powers to the Central Banks. Exchange rate pegging must be used frequently to control inflation by the BRICS nations except South Africa. Other strategies include monetary targeting and inflation targeting to be used in order to control inflation. The political environment of the BRICS nations substantially impacts the economic decisions. It would be justified to say that political environment in BRICS nations shrinks the sphere of economic decision making. Thus, inflation targeting has been widely used wherein target inflation rate is announced by the Central Bank and it uses all the necessary tools to control inflation as per the target.

# Conclusion

Inflation is a global phenomenon. But there is always a difference of degree. The two important countries of BRICS (Russia and India) have been facing inflation in general and food inflation in particular. Middle class people are worst affected. But inflation is more prominent in India as compared to Brazil but the governments of both countries are taking steps in controlling it. But it is a long term phenomenon. Inflation targeting has been identified as the most widely used measure to control inflation by the monetary authorities of BRICS nations.

# References

Amusa, Kafayat., Gupta, Rangan., Karolia, Shaakira., & Simo-Kengne, D. Beatrice. (2013). The long-run impact of inflation in South Africa. Journal of Policy Modelling, 35, 798-812. doi: dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2011.05.001.

Arestis, Philip., Ferrari Filho, Fernando., & de Paula, Luiz Fernando. (2011). Inflation targeting in Brazil. International Review of Applied Economics, 25:2, 127-148. doi: 10.1080/02692171.2010.483465

Barbosa Filho, Nelson H. (2008). Inflation targeting in Brazil: 1999–2006. International Review of Applied Economics, 22:2, 187-200. doi: 10.1080/02692170701880684

De Carvalho Filho, I. E. (2010). Inflation targeting and the crisis: An empirical assessment. *IMF Working Paper No. 10/45.* 





De Mendonça, H. F., & de Guimarães e Souza, G. J. (2012). Is inflation targeting a good remedy to control inflation?, *Journal of Development Economics*, 98, 178–91.

Gonçalves, C. E., & Salles, J. M. (2008). Inflation targeting in emerging economies: what do the data say?, *Journal of Development Economics*, 85, 312–18.

Jha, Raghbendra. (2008). Inflation targeting in India: issues and prospects. *International Review of Applied Economics*, 22:2, 259-270. doi: 10.1080/02692170701880783

Lin, Ching-Yi., & Wang, Chun. (2013). Forecasting China's inflation in a data-rich environment. Applied Economics, 45:21, 3049-3057. doi: 10.1080/00036846.2012.697122

Mazumder, Sandeep. (2014). Inflation in China: Old Versus New Phillips Curves. Europe-Asia Studies, 66:5, 689-709. doi: 10.1080/09668136.2014.898431

Mboweni, T. T. (2003). Inflation targeting in South Africa. *BIS/South African Reserve Bank, management seminar dinner*. Pretoria, 2nd September.

Roger, S. (2009). Inflation targeting at 20: achievements and challenges. *IMF Working Paper No.* 09/236.

Samarina, A., & De Haan, J. (2013). Right on target: exploring the factors leading to inflation targeting adoption. *Contemporary Economic Policy*. doi:10.1111/coep.12017.

Samarina, Anna., Terpstra, Mirre., & De Haan, Jakob. (2014). Inflation targeting and inflation performance: a comparative analysis. Applied Economics, 46:1, 41-56. doi: 10.1080/00036846.2013.829205

van der Merwe, E. J. (2004). Inflation targeting in South Africa. South African Reserve Bank, Occasional paper No. 19.

Walsh, C. E. (2009). Inflation targeting:

De Mendonça, H. F., & de Guimarães e what have we learned?, International Finance, G. J. (2012). Is inflation targeting a good 12, 195–233

Yunqi, Li. (1989). China's Inflation: Causes, Effects, and Solutions. *Asian Survey, Vol. 29,* No. 7, pp. 655-668





# Long Term Price Momentum, Early, and Late Strategies in Indian Market Stock Return

# Abstract

In recent days price momentum has gained considerable attention among the financial market researchers, as it deals with simple trading strategies offering abnormal returns based on historical market informations. However there is scarcity of works in this line of research in emerging markets. This paper investigates the long horizon relationship between historical tradina volume and momentum return in Indian stock market. which is one of the most promising emerging markets. We also investigate the profitability of early-stage and late-stage strategies in Indian context. Our sample contains the blue-chip stocks represented in BSE-100 index over a period of eight years starting from August 2004 to July 2012. The study created double-sorted portfolios for measuring the volume based price momentum returns by applying Lee and Swaminathan (2000) methodology, which necessitated measuring the differences in the momentum return of low and high trading volume stocks. Our results suggest that there is no role for historical trading volume in boosting the magnitude of momentum profits over long horizon portfolios. Our findings also shows that neither long-term price momentum nor longterm price reversal strategy are pronounced in early-stage and late-stage respectively.

# Martin Bernard Malabika Deo

### **Keywords**

Price Momentum Strategies, Trading Volume, Abnormal Return, Early and Late Stage Strategies, Indian Stock Market

### 1. Introduction

In recent days price momentum has gained considerable attention among the financial market researchers, as it deals with simple trading strategies offering abnormal returns based on historical market informations. Price momentum asserts medium term return continuation of past security price pattern. In a seminal paper, Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) were the first to indicate intermediate horizon price continuation. Momentum phenomenon implies a trading strategy that buys past good performing stocks and sells their counterparts, which results in earning abnormal returns for a period of three to twelve months horizon (medium-term horizon) after the execution of the strategy.

The momentum effect have been reported to be present across different time periods and across different equity markets including both developed and emerging equity markets all over the world through various research papers. In order to get complete knowledge about momentum phenomenon we have to go all the





researchers widely believed on "Efficient Market Hypothesis" i.e. security market follows random walk implying that the information about future returns does not exist in the historical series of return. The pivotal study of De Bondt and Thaler (1985) from US equity market reported the return reversal (contrarian effect) over long horizon holding portfolios. Contrarian strategy implies that past poor performing stocks (losers) significantly outperform past high performing stocks (winners) over long horizon holding period. In order to justify their results they documented investor overreaction hypothesis i.e. overreaction of investors to new piece of information and their subsequent correction in normal level over long horizon. In a subsequent work De Bondt and Thaler (1987) found supportive evidences to their earlier findings, that the past loser firms significantly outperform past winners firm in their performance in the market and this result was supportive of the investor overreaction hypothesis. Following these evidences, Jegadeesh (1990) and Lo and Mackinlay (1990) evidenced successful contrarian strategies (retune reversal) for very short horizon portfolios i.e. a week to three months period. Following these path breaking evidences, Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) analysed US market data and documented clear presentation of 'Momentum' and 'Contrarian' investment strategy. Explicitly, for medium term holding period (3 to 12 months) 'momentum' strategy gives abnormal return and for long horizon holding portfolios (1 to 3 years) 'contrarian' strategy provides more return to investors.

Many empirical studies have reported that both momentum and contrarian investment strategy provide abnormal return to investors. Followed by Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) early US study, Cornard and Kaul (1998) also examined the NYSE and AMEX stocks and found medium term price continuation. Recent US study by Wang and Wu (2011) reported significant momentum profit over a medium-term horizon, supporting

way back to 1985. Until 1985 financial market i the earlier findings. The reported evidences of momentum profit have not been bounded in US stock market. Rouwenhorst (1998), Doukas and McKnight (2005) examined number of European markets and documented similar findings. Griffin et al. (2003), Hameed and Kusandi (2002) analysed Asian stock markets, Richards (1997), Chan et al. (2000), Balvers and Wu (2006) examined wide range of global markets and all found significant momentum and contrarian profit over the medium to long term horizon. Later Demir et al. (2004), Naughton (2008) found strong evidences of momentum return from Australian and Chinese markets respectively. Moreover, in Indian context Sehgal and Balakrishnan (2002, 2008), Rastogi et al. (2009), Joshipura (2011), Shegal et al. (2011), Ansari et al. (2012) Balakrishnan (2012) have investigated momentum phenomenon and reported presence of strong momentum return in Indian markets.

> It's clear from above reported studies that momentum phenomenon is present in stock markets. But the extant finance literature has failed to bring out a conclusive explanation regarding the predictability of stock return recognised by these pricing anomalies noticed. Specifically there are two competing categories of explanations with regard to momentum phenomenon: i.e. risk-based and behavioural based. The advocates of risk-based view argue that abnormal profit of momentum strategies is a reward for investing in higher risk securities. Cornard and Kaul (1998) documented that risk associated with securities in momentum portfolios is major determinant of profitability of momentum strategy. However, Jegadeesh and Titman (2001, 2002) provided evidences contradicting Cornard and Kaul (1998) hypothesis and documented the robustness of various price momentum strategies. Fama and French (1993, 1996, 1998) documented a three factor model in order to capture momentum profit, but the model failed to capture medium-term continuation of price. This inadequacy of risk- based models





to explain momentum profit led researchers to search for an alternative model, which is nothing but the behavioural model. Barberis et al. (1998), Daniel et al. (1998), Hong and Stein (1999) developed the behavioural model of momentum phenomenon. The advocates of behavioural models documented that investors under-react to new piece of firm specific information and belatedly over-react to firm specific information causing momentum profit. Later, Lee and Swaminathan (2000), Jegadeesh and Titman (2001), Griffin et al. (2003) emphasised the significance of behavioural models in momentum phenomenon.

Another interesting area among financial market researchers happened to be the investigation on how momentum and contrarian strategies relate to other fundamental factors of a security. Earlier Blume et al. (1994) documented that investors can derive valuable informations about security returns by analysing the historical trading volume and historical security prices. Datar et al. (1998) shows that low (high) volume firms earn higher (lower) future returns. Lee and Swaminathan (2000) reported an asymmetric relation between past returns and trading volume; that is, extreme winners have a higher trading volume than extreme losers. They found that historical trading volume can reconcile medium-term 'underreaction' and long-term 'overreaction' effects and also reported that past trading volume can predict the magnitude and persistence of price momentum. Chui et al. (2000), Hameed and Kusnadi (2002), Connolly and Stivers (2003) reported supporting evidences from different markets confirming the findings of Lee and Swaminathan (2000). However, studies from Japanese stock market by Lihara et al. (2003) found results contradicting Lee and Swaminathan (2000) findings and documented that trading volume is weakly related to momentum and reversal effect. The results from the Chinese stock market by Nauahton et al. (2008) do not suggest volume as an important factor, because they find no strong link between past volume and momentum return.

These findings from different equity markets presented trading volume not only as a simple proxy for liquidity, but also exhibiting information received by the market regarding the security, contradicting the literature in market efficiency. According to Lee and Swaminathan (2000), the magnitude and persistence of price momentum is a function of historical trading volume. They had pointed out that long term price momentum is more pronounced among low volume winners and high volume losers. Similarly long term price reversal was more pronounced among low volume losers and high volume winners. These strategies were named as early-stage momentum strategy and late-stage reversal strategy respectively.

With the back ground of all the above studies, in the present study, we attempted to investigate momentum trading in India further, by examining the link between momentum profits and trading volume. Our primary objective is to enquire on the long-term relationship between momentum return and past trading along with the behaviour of low and high trading volume stocks in Indian context. Also we attempted to analyse the profitability of early-stage and late-stage strategies for Indian equities. This analysis is aligned with the methodology adopted by Lee and Swaminathan (2000) and Naughton et al. (2008) in US and Chinese equities market respectively. It is exciting to investigate long-term relationship of momentum return and trading volume and validate early-stage and late-stage strategies for Indian equities as among the emerging markets, Indian stock market is considered to be one of the most promising markets attracting a large number of foreign and domestic investors. In addition, to our knowledge, there are no previous studies in Indian context that has examined long-horizon link between momentum and trading volume by using methodology suggested by Lee and Swaminathan (2000).





The reminder of the paper is structured as fol- i on the basis of historical abnormal return. The lows. Section 2 deals with data and research methodology employed. Section 3 presents the empirical analysis results and section 4 concludes this paper.

# 2. Data & Methodology

# 2.1 Sample Data

The sample of the present study is collected from the universe of companies listed on the Bombay Stock exchange (BSE). The study collected monthly data of companies included in BSE-100 index comprising adjusted closing prices, trading volume and number of shares outstanding over a period of eight years starting from August 2004 ending on July 2012. The study has used return on BSE Sensex as proxy for return on market portfolio. All constituent stocks with non-missing data of entire study period were included in the analysis. After avoiding companies with missing data the final sample size was 80 companies. The data were obtained from CMIE- Prowess (Centre for Monitoring of India Economy) and BSE database.

# 2.2 Methodology

The study adopted approaches of Lee and Swaminathan (2000) to construct volume based price momentum portfolios. This approach is widely accepted for analysing the relationship between past trading volume and momentum return for medium and long horizons. Naughton et al. (2008) followed the same model for investigating the link between historical trading volume and momentum profits in Chinese equity market. Both the studies adopted the methodology of volume based momentum portfolios using JxK strategy of Jegdeesh and Timan (1993), where in double sorted portfolios were considered for formation (J) period because, in this model, individual securities were sorted out in two ways, first on the basis of past abnormal return, second important steps followed in the study for analysing the portfolios return in both formation and holding period is discussed under.

As the first step, the study converted the entire monthly individual security price in to monthly percentage return series. The monthly percentage return is calculated as

$$R_{i,t} = \ln\left[\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t-1}}\right]$$
(1)

Where,  $P_{it}$  = the price of stock *i* in the period *t*,

Similarly, the monthly return, for market index also were calculated

$$M_{m,t} = \ln\left[\frac{M_{i,t}}{M_{i,t-1}}\right]$$
(2)

Where  $M_{i,t}$  = closing value of market index in the period t.

After findings monthly percentage return, abnormal return of securities were calculated. Here market adjusted model was used for estimating abnormal return and the model is as follows,

$$AR_{j,t} = R_{i,t} - M_{m,t}$$
(3)

Where AR<sub>it</sub>= abnormal return of security 'i' in the period 't'.





Likewise trading volume was converted in to monthly turnover ratio

Turnover Ratio =  $\frac{trading \ volume \ of \ stock \ i}{no. of \ shares \ outstanding \ for \ stock \ i}$ 

After estimating abnormal return and turnover ratio, JxK strategy was followed (formation and holding period strategy) for testing the potential historical trading volume to explain momentum return in Indian equity market. In formation period (J) the performance of securities were analysed and the Winners (W) and Losers (L) portfolios were formed and were held for following holding (K) period. Likewise four trading strategies using various combinations of J and K months were attempted. These portfolios were created using nine month (J=9) formation period and considered following one to five years intervals (K=1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 years) are testing period.  $^{1}$  The various steps followed in formation and holding period are as follows.

### 2.3 Formation Period (J months)

In the formation period, study created double-sorted portfolios based on historical abnormal return and turnover ratio. The portfolios were formed on a monthly basis. And at the end of every month, all eligible securities were ranked independently on the basis of past excess return and turnover ratio. For ranking the securities Cumulative Abnormal Return (CAR) and Cumulative Turnover Ratio (CTR) at the end of the each formation period were considered. In order to measure CAR and CTR the following formula were used;

$$CAR = \sum_{t=k}^{0} AR_{it}$$

(4)

Where,  $AR_{it}$  denotes the abnormal return of i<sup>th</sup> stock for the t<sup>th</sup> formation month.

$$CTR = \sum_{t=k}^{0} TR_{it}$$
(6)

Where,  $TR_{it}$  denotes turnover ratio of i<sup>th</sup> stock for the t<sup>th</sup> formation month.

After estimating CAR and CTR, the stocks were ranked in the ascending order and were allocated into one of the five (R1, R2, R3, R4 and R5) portfolios based on Cumulative Abnormal Return over the formation month (J=9 month) and one of three (V1, V2 and V3) portfolios based on cumulative turnover ratio over the same stretch of time respectively. This allocation of stocks in to different portfolios based on two independent ranking generated 15 price momentum-volume portfolios for one combination of JxK strategy. Another important point to be noted about JxK strategy which is followed in this study is that, it used the overlapping portfolios. Overlapping portfolios means portfolios that were constructed at the end of every month during the study period. For example, the present study uses 96 months data (from July 2004 to June (2012), for a 9x3 strategy study formulated eighty four winners/losers portfolios (iteration) over the entire period of study. The present study focussed the abnormal return of winners (R5) and losers (R1) over the next immediate horizon K months (K= 1, (5) 2, 3, 4 and 5 years).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here we considered nine month is formation period, because we analysed the same data set and found that for medium-term horizon 9x3 strategy is showing Highest MAAR value. The unreported results are available from the authors on request.





### 2.4 Holding or Testing Period (K months)

In post formation period (K) mainly the abnormal performance of four (out of 15) price momentum- volume portfolios were analysed. These portfolios were low volume losers (R1V1), high volume losers (R1V3), low volume winners (R5V1) and high volume winners (R5V3). In the first step of the testing period, study estimated the monthly Average Abnormal Returns (AARs) by taking the mean of monthly abnormal returns of portfolio stocks. This step was repeated for each iteration over the study period. In the next step the MAARs values were estimated; Mean Average Abnormal Return was calculated by averaging the Average Abnormal Return of 'm' iterations. AARs and MAARs for different portfolios were estimated by using the following formula,

$$AAR_{R1V1,t} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} AR_{it} \qquad AAR_{R1V3,t} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} AR_{it}$$

$$AAR_{R5V1,t} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} AR_{it} \qquad AAR_{R5V3,t} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} AR_{it} \qquad (7)$$

$$MAAR_{R1V1,t} = \frac{1}{m} \sum AAR_{R1v1,t} \qquad MAAR_{R1V3,t} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} AAR_{R1V3,t}$$

$$MAAR_{R5V1,t} = \frac{1}{m} \sum AAR_{R5V1,t} \qquad MAAR_{R5V3,t} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} AAR_{R5V3,t} \qquad (8)$$

Where n= no. of stocks in each portfolio t= holding periods, m= no. of iteration

MAAR test helps to identify the performance of portfolios over the entire study period as well as measures the independent contributions made by of winners and losers to momentum return. It helps to identify the return direction of momentum portfolios (that is continuation and reversal). In order to measure the momentum return the present study followed an arbitrage strategy (zero-cost strategy), that is simultaneous buying of winner stocks and selling of loser stocks. So price momentum return is equal to return of winner stocks minus return of loser stocks (symbolically MAAR<sub>R5-R1</sub>). In order to measure the return of zero-cost volume based price momentum strategy, the following formula was used;

 $(MAAR_{(R5V3-R5V1)}) - (MAAR_{(R1V3-R1V1)})$ 

(9)

According to Lee and Swaminathan (2000) hypothesis, if early-stage momentum strategy is

present, we can observe the outperformance of low volume winners over the high volume losers portfolio for a long term period. If late-stage reversal strategy is present, we can observe the outperformance of low volume losers over the high volume winners portfolio for long term period. In order to measure the profitability of early-stage and late-stage strategies we used 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> calculations respectively;

| $(MAAR_{(R5V1)}) - (MAAR_{(RIV3)})$ | (10) |
|-------------------------------------|------|
|                                     |      |

$$(MAAR_{(R5V3)}) - (MAAR_{(RIV1)})$$
(11)

After estimating the return of above mentioned portfolios, in order to check statistical significance simple t-test has been applied and the similar procedure has been followed for all four combinations of volume-based momentum portfolios.

### 3. Results And Analysis

In this section, the empirical results on the investigation on volume-based price momentum





strategies in the Indian stock market during the period of July 2004 to June 2012 have been analysed. Subsection 3.1, presents the long-term results of volume-based price momentum strategies for the sample firms and. Subsection 3.2, presents the empirical results of early-stage and late-stage strategies for Indian equities.

# 3.1 Long-Horizon Results

Primary objective of this study is to examine the long term relationship between historical trading volume and momentum return. To this effect double-sorted portfolios based on past return and trading volume were formulated and the monthly Mean Average Abnormal Return of volume-based price momentum portfolios was measured over the three year holding period. For this analysis, the study choose nine month portfolio formation period (j=9) and holding the portfolio for over the next three holding years (where, K= 1, 2 or 3 years). Double-sorted portfolios were formulated by using the same methodology as adopted by Lee and Swaminathan (2000) and Naughton et al. (2008) and were also used for the overlapping portfolios. Study formed five price momentum portfolios and three trading volume portfolios (15 portfolios). The monthly Mean Abnormal Average Return of volume-based price momentum portfolios (R5-R1) and volume based zero-cost portfolios (V3-V1) are presented in table 3.1. Where, R1 represents the extreme loser stocks portfolios and R5 represents the extreme winner stocks portfolios. The bottom row of the table presents the annual MAAR values of Volume-Based Price momentum strategies (R5-R1). V1 and V3 represent the low volume stocks and high volume stocks respectively. The last three columns of the table indicate the return of volume based zero-cost portfolios (V3-V1).

| Portfolio | io Year 1 Year 2 Ye |          | Year 3   | Year 1   | Year 2   | Year 3    |  |  |
|-----------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|--|--|
|           |                     | V1       |          | V2       |          |           |  |  |
|           | -0.23               | 0.41     | 0.55     | -0.01    | 0.42     | 0.28      |  |  |
| K I       | (-0.78)             | (2.05)*  | (4.13)*  | (-0.03)  | (2.34)*  | (1.94)*   |  |  |
| DE        | 1.36                | 1.15     | 0.91     | 0.41     | 0.11     | 0.29      |  |  |
| K0        | (6.46)*             | (7.02)*  | (5.35)*  | (1.17)   | (0.53)   | (1.98)*   |  |  |
|           | 1.59                | 0.75     | 0.36     | 0.41     | -0.31    | 0.00      |  |  |
| KJ-KI     | (3.51)*             | (2.28)*  | (1.48)** | (0.76)   | (-0.89)  | (0.01)    |  |  |
| Cont      |                     |          |          |          |          |           |  |  |
|           |                     | V3       |          | V3-V1    |          |           |  |  |
| D1        | -0.43               | 0.23     | 0.35     | -0.21    | -0.18    | -0.19     |  |  |
| KI        | (-2.20)*            | (2.04)*  | (3.13)*  | (-0.77)  | (-1.14)  | (-1.52)** |  |  |
| DE        | 0.26                | 0.35     | 0.43     | -1.10    | -0.80    | -0.48     |  |  |
| КЭ        | (0.71)              | (1.62)** | (2.83)*  | (-4.57)* | (-4.78)* | (-2.63)*  |  |  |
|           | 0.70                | 0.12     | 0.07     | -0.89    | -0.63    | -0.29     |  |  |
| K0-K1     | (1.31)**            | (0.41)   | (0.33)   | (-3.91)* | (-3.09)* | (-1.23)   |  |  |

# Table : 3.1 Long Term Returns for Portfolios Sorted on Past Returns and Volume

Figures in brackets are the simple t-statistics of volume based winners, losers and momentum portfolios \*\*significant at10%level, \*Significant at 5% level



Table 3.1 reports the annual returns for vol- i horizons in Indian equity market. ume-based price momentum portfolios using data on BSE-100 stocks from July 2004 to June 2012. This result show that low volume zero-cost momentum portfolios (R5V1-R1V1) performed better than high volume zero-cost momentum portfolios (R5V3-R1V3). The results confirm that price momentum is more pronounced among low trading volume securities for long term horizons in Indian equity market. Lee and Swaminathan (2000) opine that low volume losers tend to outperform high volume losers in the long term once controlled for price momentum. This study found that, when price momentum was controlled, low volume stocks outperformed high volume stocks irrespective of security return. For instance, the volume based zero cost portfolios for losers generate a MAAR of -0.21% per month in the year one while the volume based zero cost portfolios for winners generates a MAAR of -1.10 per month in same period. However, the outperformance of low trading volume stocks over and above the high trading volume stocks was more pronounced among winners portfolio in the long term horizon. Therefore the Volume-Based price momentum Portfolios continuously generated negative return. From the above observation inference can be drawn as to there is no positive link between price momentum and historical trading volume for Indian equities over the three years holding period.

In sum, the result reveal that in general there is no positive relationship between price momentum and historical trading volume in Indian equities market over long term horizon. However, once price momentum is controlled, low volume stocks are seen out performing high volume stocks, as this return pattern was more pronounced among winners stocks. In addition, the study found price momentum was more pronounced among low volume portfolios. Because of these two reasons the MAAR values of Volume-Based Price momentum portfolios were continuously found generating negative returns over the long-term

### 3.4. Early and Late Strategies

In this subsection two different trading volume based momentum strategies suggested by Lee and Swaminathan (2000) were formed to explore the momentum phenomenon based on historical trading volume in the long-horizons. An early-stage strategy takes long position in low volume winners (R5V1) and takes short position in high volume losers (R1V3) and holds the zerocost portfolio (R5V1-R1V3) for more than one year. It was stressed by Lee and Swaminathan (2000) that an early-stage strategy has potential to capture long term price momentum. On the other hand late-stage strategy- that is taking long position in high volume winners (R5V3) and short position in low volume losers (R1V1) and holding the zero cost portfolio (R5V3-R1V1) for more than one year, has potential to capture reversal of price momentum for more than one year. Study analysed these two strategies using monthly turnover and return on BSE-100 stocks from July 2004 to June 2012. For this purpose double-sorted portfolios were formulated by using the same methodology as followed earlier. The analysis was based on nine month formation period (J=9) and formed five price momentum portfolios and three trading volume portfolios (15 portfolios) and also created overlapping portfolios. The study created simple price momentum portfolios using the abnormal return of previous Nine-months (J=9) and analysed the monthly MAAR values of next K months (K= 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 years). Finally MAAR values of early and late stage strategies were compared with simple price momentum strategy. The MAAR values of these three zero-cost portfolios are presented in table 3.2 and graphically in fig.3.1





| Strategy                     | Year1     | Year2     | Year3    | Year4     | Year5     |  |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| R5-R1 (simple)               | 0.85      | 0.15      | 0.14     | 1.32      | -0.17     |  |
|                              | (1.73)*   | (0.52)    | (0.69)   | (6.72)*   | (-1.01)   |  |
| $P_{5}/(2 P_{1})/(1 (lata))$ | 0.49      | -0.06     | -0.12    | -0.38     | 0.02      |  |
|                              | (0.76)    | (-0.15)   | (-0.51)  | (-2.17)*  | (0.11)    |  |
| P5/(1 P1)/2 (corty)          | 1.80      | 0.92      | 0.55     | -0.38     | -0.42     |  |
|                              | (4.62)*   | (3.72)*   | (2.65)*  | (-1.46)** | (-1.64)** |  |
|                              | -0.36     | -0.21     | -0.26    | -1.71     | 0.19      |  |
| (K3V3-KIVI)-(K3-KI)          | (-1.38)** | (-1.33)** | (-1.91)* | (-5.20)*  | (0.62)    |  |
|                              | 0.94      | 0.77      | 0.42     | -1.71     | -0.25     |  |
|                              | (4.18)*   | (5.85)*   | (3.31)*  | (-4.11)*  | (-1.75)*  |  |

Table : 3.2 Returns Early and Late Stage Strategies

Figures in brackets are the simple t-statistics of volume based winners, losers and momentum portfolios, \*\*significant at10%level, \*Significant at 5% level

Table 3.2 summarises the monthly MAAR values from Early-stage momentum strategy (R5V1 – R1V3) and Late-stage momentum strategies (R5V3 - R1V1) and compares these strategies with the MAAR value of simple price momentum strategy (R5-R1) for the time period of 2004 to 2012. Where, R1 represents the extreme loser stocks portfolios and R5 represent the extreme winner stocks portfolios. V1represents lowest turnover stocks and V3 represents the highest turnover stocks. Year 1 to year 5 represents the monthly MAAR values in each of the holding (K) periods following the portfolio formation month.

The results presented in table 3.2 reveal that the simple price momentum strategy is generating positive abnormal return up to four years. The momentum was found very low in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year of the holding period and lacked statistical significance over these years. As expected, early strategies provided positive returns up to three years that is 1.80% in year 1, 0.92% in year 2 and 0.55% in year 3 with t-statistic of 4.62, 3.72 and 2.65 respectively, indicating significance at 5% level. However this early strategy started showing negative return after 3 years and also,

when early-strategy was compared with simple strategy the portfolios ((R5V1-R5V3)-(R5-R1)) showed positive return up to the three years which subsequently started yielding negative returns.

In addition, table also reported the monthly MAAR values of Late-stage momentum portfolios (R5V3-R1V1). This strategy earned positive return i.e. 0.49% in the year 1 thereafter, as expected, the late strategy started to show price momentum reversal tendency up to the fourth year. In the fifth year the return did not show the reversal tendency. Even though Late-Stage strategy was showing reversal tendency in 2 year, 3 year and 4 year holding periods, which generated MAAR value of -0.06, -0.12 and -0.38 respectively those abnormal return were low in magnitude and lacked statistical significance over time. Therefore the conclusion can be drawn that there is no significant long term price reversal among high volume winners and low volume losers in Indian equity during the period of 2004 to 2012. The performance of Simple, Late and Early Strategies over the long horizons are graphically presented.







Figure 3.1 Returns of Zero-Cost Portfolios of Simple, Late and Early Strategies

Figure 3.1, which depicts MAAR values of three zero-cost momentum strategies i.e. early-stage, late-stage and long term price momentum strategies. It is observed from figure that all the three strategies are showing highest return in their first year holding period followed by a steady decline throughout for rest of the higher order holding periods. But price momentum and late strategies are showing upward tendency in fourth and fifth year of holding period respectively.

# 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we investigated long- term relationship of momentum return and past trading volume for equities listed in BSE-100 index during the period 2004 to 2012. We also measured the profitability of early-stage and late-stage strategies compared with simple price momentum strategy over long-term period. Our primary analysis exhibited that, as far as Indian markets is concerned, there is no role for historical trading volume in boosting the magnitude of momentum profit over long-term period. In other words, study did not find a significantly positive relationship between historical trading volume and momentum profits over long-horizon holding periods in Indian equity market. As per Lee and Swaminathan (2000) hypothesis, the magnitude and persistence of price momentum is a function of historical trading volume. But from this study, we could not find strong evidence of any clear pattern in stock returns between high volume portfolios and low volume portfolios, controlling for momentum over long-horizon holding period. Similarly, in our sample period early and late strategies suggested by Lee and Swaminathan (2000) did not work better in compaining to simple price momentum strategy. Our findings shows that neither long-term price momentum nor long-term price reversal strategy are pronounced in early-stage and late-stage respectively. Our results are consistent with Naughton et al. (2008), Chinese evidence. Accordingly, it can be concluded that, historical trading volume does not help to predict the fu-





ture moments of stock returns in Indian scenario. § Security Market Under- and Overreactions",

### References

Ansari, V. A & Khan, S. (2012). Momentum Anomaly: Evidence from India, *Managerial Finance*, 38 (2), 206-223.

Barberis, N., Shliefer, A. & Vishny, R. (1998). A Model of Investor Sentiment, Journal of Financial Economics, 49 (3), 307-43.

Balakrishnan, A. (2012). Contrarian and Momentum Strategies: Further Evidence for Indian Stock Market, International Journal of Economics and Management Science, 2 (1), 116-121.

Balvers, R. & Wu, Y. (2006). Momentum and mean reversion across national equity markets, *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 13, 24–48.

Blume. Lawrence. David, Easley. & Maureen, O'Hara. (1994). Market Statistics and Technical Analysis: The Role of Volume, *Journal of Finance*, 49, 153–181.

Chen, H. L., Jegadeesh. N. & Wermers, R. (2000). The Value of Active Mutual Fund Management: an Examination of the Stockholdings and Trades of Fund Managers, *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 35 (3), 343–368.

Chui, A., Titman. S. & Wei, K. C. J. (2000). Momentum, Ownership Structure, and Financial Crises: An Analysis of Asian Stock Markets, *Working paper, University of Texas at Austin.* 

Conrad, J. S. & Kaul, G. (1998). An Anatomy of Trading Strategies, *Review of Financial Studies*, 11 (3), 489–519.

Connolly, R. & Stivers, C. (2003). Momentum and Reversals in Equity-Index Returns during Periods of Abnormal Turnover and Return Dispersion, *Journal of Finance*, 58, 1521–1555.

Daniel K, Hirshleifer D and Subrahmanyam A (1998), "Investor Psychology and Security Market Under- and Overreactions", Journal of Finance, Vol. 53, No. 6, pp. 1839–1885.

Datar. Vinay. Narayan, Naik. & Robert. Radcliffe. (1998). Liquidity and Asset Returns: An Alternative Test, *Journal of Financial Markets*, 1, 203–220.

De Bondt, W. & Thaler, R. (1985). Does the Stock Market Overact?, Journal of Finance, 40 (3), 793-808.

De Bondt, W. & Thaler, R. (1987). Further Evidence on Investor Overreaction and Stock Market Seasonality, *Journal of Finance*, 42 (3), 557–581.

Demir, I. Muthuswamy, J. & Walter, T. (2004). Momentum returns in Australian equities: the influence of size, risk, liquidity and return computation, *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 12, 143–158.

Doukas, J. & McKnight, P. (2005). European momentum strategies information diffusion, and investor conservatism, *European Financial Management*, 11, 313–338.

Fama, E. F. & French, K. R. (1993). Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds, Journal of Financial Economics, 33, 3–56.

Fama, E. F. & French, K. R. (1996). Multifactor Explanations of Asset Pricing Anomalies, *The Journal of Finance*, 50 (1), 131–155.

Fama, E. F. & French, K. R. (1998). Value versus Growth: The International Evidence, *Journal of Finance*, 53, 1975–2000.

Griffin, J. M., Ji, S. & Martin, J. S. (2003). Momentum Investing and Business Cycle Risk: Evidence from Pole to Pole, *Journal of Finance* 58 (6), 2515–2547.

Hameed, A. & Kusnadi, Y. (2002). Momentum Strategies: Evidence from Pacific Basin Stock Markets, Journal of Financial Research, 25, 383-397.

Hong, H. & Stein, J. C. (1999). A Unified



A Commenter of the second seco

Theory of Underreaction, Momentum Trading, and Overreaction in Asset Markets, *Journal of Finance*, 54 (6), 2143-2184.

Jegadeesh, N. (1990). Evidence of Predictable Behavior of Security Returns, *Journal of Finance*, 45 (3), 881-898.

Jegadeesh, N. & Titman, S. (1993). Returns to Buying Winners and Selling Losers: Implications for Stock Market Efficiency, *Journal of Finance*, 48 (1), 65–91.

Jegadeesh, N. & Titman, S. (2001). Profitability of Momentum Strategies: An Evaluation of Alternative Explanations, *Journal of Finance*, 56 (2), 699-720.

Joshipura, M. (2011), Test of Momentum Investment Strategy: Evidence from Indian Stock Market, Journal of International Finance and Economics, 11 (2), 105-114.

Jegadeesh, N. & Titman, S. (2002). Cross-Sectional and Time Serious Determinants of Momentum Returns, *Review of Financial Studies*, 15 (1), 143-157.

Lee, C. M. C. & Swaminathan, B. (2000). Price Momentum and Trading Volume, *The Journal of Finance*, 55 (5) 2017–2069.

Lihara, Y., Kato, K. K. & Tokunaga, T. (2004). The winner-loser effect in Japanese stock market, Japan and the World Economy, 16, 471-485.

Lo, A. & MacKinlay, C. (1990). When are Contrarian Profits Due to Stock Market Overreaction?, Review of Financial Studies, 3 (2), 175–250.

Naughton, T., Truong, C. & Veeraraghavan, M. (2008) Momentum strategies and stock returns: Chinese evidence, *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 16, 476-492.

Rastogi, N., Chaturvedula, C. & Bang, N. P. (2009). Momentum and Overreaction in Indian Capital Markets, International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 32, 83-92. Richards, A. (1997). Winner–loser reversals in national stock market indices: can they be explained?, Journal *of Finance*, 52, 2129–2144.

Rouwenhorst, K. G. (1999). Local Return Factors and Turnover in Emerging Markets, *Journal of Finance, 53* (4), 267-284.

Shegal, S. & Balakrishnan, I. (2002), Contrarian and Momentum Strategies in the Indian Capital Market, Vikalpa, 27 (27) 13-15.

Sehgal, S. & Balakrishnan, I. (2008). Rational Sources of Momentum Profits: Evidence from the Indian Equity Market, *Icfai Journal of Applied Finance*, 14 (1), 5-40.

Sehgal, S. & Jain, S. (2011). Short-term Momentum Patterns in Stock and Sectoral Returns Evidence from India. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 8 (1) 99-122.

Wang, J. & Wu, Y. (2011). Risk adjustment and momentum sources. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 35, 1427–1435.





# Performance of Indian Mutual Funds : An Empirical Study of Select Equity Linked Saving Schemes

# Abstract

Main features of Equity Linked Saving Schemes (ELSS), which are just like diversified equity mutual funds, include tax-saving benefits under section 80C of the Income Tax Act, no implication of dividend distribution tax (DDT) and long-term capital gains (LTCG) tax, and lock-in-period of three years. The primary objective of the study is to examine the performance of the chosen schemes in the line of risk-return parameters. The study is based on secondary data. The period of study is from September, 2004 to September, 2014. Measures like Sharpe Ratio, Treynor Ratio, Jensen alpha, and Sharpe Differential Measure (SDM) have also been applied. The schemes have generated superior riskadjusted return, are defensive in nature, and are adequately diversified. Further, most fund managers have superior stock picking skills. Returns from "Systematic Investment Plan" (SIP) are very much satisfactory. Finally, overall performance of the schemes is satisfactory in comparison to the benchmark.

# **Keywords**

Benchmark, ELSS, Mutual Funds, Risk-Return, SIP

# Samyabrata Das

### I. Introduction

Tax saving mutual funds, popularly known as Equity Linked Saving Schemes(ELSS), are just like diversified equity schemes that invest a cross broad market segments. Features that differentiate ELSS froma diversified equity scheme are availability of tax-saving benefits under section 80C of the prevailing Income Tax Act and a mandatory lock-in-period of three years. But, it is an effective instrument for creating wealth over a period of time in the sense that equities tend to be volatile during the short-term but such volatility tends to get smoothened out over a longer time period. In addition to section80C benefits, an ELSS enjoys twin benefits: 1) there is no dividend distribution tax (DDT), and 2) there is no long term capital gains (LTCG) tax. Most of the instruments under section 80C are debt instruments. Equity-oriented instruments include ELSS, Unit Linked Insurance Plans (ULIPs), and New Pension Scheme (NPS). Out of these, ELSS is there for quite a while whereas NPS is a relatively new instrument which has not yet made any serious penetration. Investors are skeptical about ULIPs because of rampant mis-selling of ULIPs a few years back. Under the circumstances, ELSS can give investors the much needed equity flavour in their portfolio. Such schemes, if chosen properly, have the capability of delivering decent returns over a period of time. Moreover, investment in ELSS by way of "Systematic Investment Plan" (SIP) can be a stepping stone for an investor for





wealth creation.

The economic progress of a nation depends on the growth of its capital market. At the same time, savings habits of the citizens have great impact upon the growth of the capital market. In India, the savings have primarily been directed towards investment avenues like bank deposits, postal deposits, real estate, and gold. As a result, the growth of the capital market has been hampered. In such situation, there is enough scope for mutual funds to fill in the void. Mutual funds should be one of the preferred investment alternatives for retail investors. Generally, average investors do not have adeguate knowledge, skill, and expertise about the capital market. In addition, there are time and resource constraints on the part of the investors, especially the small investors. Here, mutual funds come to the rescue of the investors in the sense that professionally qualified managers employed by fund houses take decisions on behalf of the investors.

# II. Literature Review

A large number of studies on performance analysis of mutual funds have been carried out. But the financial literature in the field of ELSS is really lower in number. A brief discussion on some studies dealing with ELSS is incorporated here.

Tripathy (2005), in a study of ELSS from 1994-95 to 2001-2002, empirically analysed the market timing abilities of Indian fund managers in form of two models, namely, Treynor and Mazuy and Henriksson and Merton. The results did not lend support to the hypothesis that Indian fund managers are able to time the market correctly. Tripathy (2008) studied 31 tax-saving (ELSS) schemes from December 1995 to January 2004. It was revealed that the fund managers were not able to generate returns in excess of the market, and in some cases, their market timing was in the wrong direction. Bondyopadhyay (2008) compared the compounded annual returns (CAGR) of equity-linked saving schemes and fixed income tax saving instruments over a tenure of five years, and found that ELSS generated much higher returns than any of the assured income schemes.

Chavali and Jain (2009) evaluated the performance of 16 ELSS and concluded that the fund chosen by the investor should match the risk appetite of the investor. Further, it was noticed that majority of the investors were aware of mutual funds, its risk and return proportion. Chandrakumarmangalam & Govindasamy (2011) analysed the performance of 5 ELSS from June, 2006 to May, 2007. The conclusion was that the selected ELSS performed better than the market. Franklin India Tax Shield was found to be the most risky scheme. Mani (2011) studied the performance of 10 tax saving schemes during the period between 2008 and 2011. The overall analysis found Fidelity Tax Advantage Fund the best performer and the private sector ELSS funds a better performer than public sector funds when compared against the risk-return models. Santhi & Gurunathan (2011), while studying investors' attitude towards tax-saving mutual funds in Tamil Nadu, found that the participation of investors in tax-saving mutual funds was comparatively less than other safer investment areas like Insurance, Postal Deposit Schemes and Fixed Deposits. Jawahar Babu & Vasu (2012), in their study on 5 tax saving funds of HDFC, Franklin India, Reliance, SBI and ICICI from 2007 to 2011, found that SBI Magnum Tax Gain and HDFC Tax Saver scheme performed better in terms of average return when compared to the benchmark return. But Reliance Tax Saving Fund has given less return in comparison to its benchmark but it exhibited a high risk (standard deviation).

Roy & Ghosh (2012) examined the performance of open-ended ELSS in India during the financial distress in 2008-2009. It was observed that the Sharpe and Treynor ratios of the selected schemes were negative during the recession.





Likewise, stock-selection and market-timing of in the line of risk-return parameters. the fund managers were statistically insignificant. Santhi and Gurunathan (2012) evaluated the performance of 32 growth-oriented open-ended ELSS of India from 2006-07 to 2011-12. All the schemes followed the same pattern in returns and moved along with the benchmark CNX Nifty. The average return of most of the schemes was higher and the average risk was lower than the benchmark CNX Nifty. Garg & Gupta (2014) studied selected tax- saving schemes from 2008 to 2013. It was observed that the chosen schemes outperformed the market in terms of absolute returns. However, these schemes could not vield adequate return to cover the inherent risk of the various schemes. Srivastava (2014) concluded that the chosen ELSS Funds were able to provide better returns than any return on risk-free securities but unable to outperform the benchmark portfolio in terms of average return.

### III. Research Questions

The present study endeavours to address the following research questions:

**a.** Do the schemes provide superior riskadjusted return?

**b.** Are the schemes aggressive or conservative with respect to the benchmark?

Are the schemes adequately diversified? С.

**d.** Do fund managers of the chosen schemes have superior stock picking skill?

e. Is the return of the schemes from "SIP" satisfactory?

**f.** Whether the overall performance of the chosen equity linked saving schemes is satisfactory?

# IV. Objective of the Study

The primary objective of the study is to examine the performance of the chosen schemes (ELSS)

# V. Data Source and Research Methodology

The study is based on secondary data which have been collected from dailies, magazines, reports, journals, and web materials. The period of study is from September, 2004 to September, 2014. The effect of brokerage, 'Entry Load' and 'Exit Load' has not been considered. S&P BSE Sensex has been used as the benchmark index. The average annualised risk-free rate is taken as 8.7% for the purpose of the analysis. It is the rate offered by Public Provident Fund (PPF) scheme for the financial year 2014-15. Only open-ended equity linked saving schemes are considered.

This study is based on 7 (seven) tax saving schemes from 6 (six) Asset Management Companies (AMCs), namely, Franklin Templeton, HDFC, ICICI Prudential, SBI, Sundaram, and UTI. Here, the criterion for selection was to select those schemes which are in existence for more than 10 (ten) years and have Assets under Management (AUM) of more than INR 500 crore as on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2014. Two schemes from HDFC and one scheme each from the remaining 5 (five) AMCs meet the norm. These schemes are Franklin India Tax Shield (FITS), HDFC Long Term Advantage (HDFCLTA), HDFC Tax Saver (HDFCTS), ICICI Prudential Tax Plan (ICICIPTP), SBI Magnum Tax Gain (SBIMTG), Sundaram Tax Saver (STS), and UTI Equity Tax Saving Plan (UTIETSP). SBIMTG has the largest AUM as on 30th September, 2014 (INR 4930 crore), followed by HDFCTS (INR 4681 crore), ICICIPTP (INR 2270 crore), FITS (INR 1413 crore), STS (INR 1196 crore), HDFCLTA (INR 1135 crore), and UTIETSP (INR 523 crore).

Two schemes, namely, Birla Sun Life Tax Relief 96 (BSLTR96) and Canara Robeco Equity Tax Saver (CRETS) meet the chosen norm of AUM and these schemes have existence in excess of 10 years.





But the 'growth' options of these two schemes i month (t-1). are less than 10 years old. That's why these two schemes are left out.

In order to analyse the consistency in performance, the entire period of study has been broken down into different time periods of 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, 7-year, and 10-year. The chosen time period is a mixture of several bull and bear phases witnessed by the Indian capital market. The month-end Net Asset Values (NAVs), under "Growth" option, and not under "Dividend" option, of each scheme have been obtained from the official websites of the AMCs and from Blue Chip (http://bluechipindia.co.in). The monthend closing values of the benchmark have been obtained from the official websites of Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE). The monthly returns of the schemes  $(R_{\rm p})$  and that of the benchmark  $(R_{\rm p})$ over the period of study have been computed as follows:

### $R_{n} = [(NAV_{+}NAV_{+1})/NAV_{+1}] *100$

### $R_{h} = [(Value_{+}Value_{+1})/Value_{+1}] *100$

Where, NAV, = Closing NAV of the fund for month t, NAV<sub>+1</sub> = Closing NAV of the fund for the preceding month (t-1), Value, = Closing Value of the Benchmark Index for month t,  $Value_{t-1} = Closing$ Value of the Benchmark Index for the preceding

Averages of  $R_p$  and  $R_b$  are taken and annualised. Similarly, annualised Standard Deviation of the schemes (SD<sub>n</sub>) and benchmark (SD<sub>h</sub>) have been computed to measure total risk. For the purpose of calculating returns from SIP, it is assumed that INR 1000 is invested at the end of each month at respective closing NAVs of the schemes.

Traditional measures like Sharpe Ratio, Treynor Ratio, Jensen alpha, and Sharpe Differential Measure (SDM) have been employed to understand the risk-return relationship of the chosen schemes. Further, measures like R-squared (R<sup>2</sup>) or coefficient of determination, beta, and Spearman's Rank Correlation have also been applied.

# VI. Analysis And Findings

#### 1. Average Annualised Return and Annualised Standard Deviation

Standard Deviation (SD) is used to measure the total risk. Higher SD of a fund indicates that the fund is more volatile and its returns are likely to fluctuate more. Average annualised return and annualised standard deviation of the scheme and those of the benchmark are shown in Table 1.

| SN | SCHEME   | Average Annualised<br>Return |       |       |       |       | Annualised Standard Deviation |       |       |       |       |
|----|----------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|    |          | 1Y                           | 3Y    | 5Y    | 7Y    | 10Y   | 1Y                            | 3Y    | 5Y    | 7Y    | 10Y   |
| 1  | FITS     | 48.18                        | 21.05 | 16.99 | 14.41 | 21.60 | 12.93                         | 14.93 | 14.94 | 23.42 | 22.75 |
| 2  | HDFCLTA  | 50.02                        | 21.58 | 16.25 | 13.50 | 20.27 | 13.93                         | 16.46 | 16.40 | 24.67 | 23.07 |
| 3  | HDFCTS   | 56.17                        | 20.98 | 15.63 | 14.06 | 23.41 | 19.38                         | 18.65 | 17.43 | 25.81 | 24.82 |
| 4  | ICICIPTP | 53.96                        | 24.30 | 18.33 | 16.82 | 23.88 | 15.62                         | 17.73 | 17.18 | 27.47 | 26.83 |
| 5  | SBIMTG   | 49.33                        | 22.23 | 13.93 | 11.78 | 16.87 | 12.95                         | 15.71 | 16.15 | 25.20 | 26.74 |
| 6  | STS      | 42.95                        | 19.07 | 10.74 | 12.18 | 19.23 | 15.41                         | 17.28 | 17.11 | 26.09 | 27.12 |
| 7  | UTIETSP  | 40.48                        | 17.84 | 11.96 | 9.30  | 15.56 | 14.16                         | 15.23 | 15.98 | 23.71 | 22.84 |

# Table 1: Average Annualised Return and Annualised Standard Deviation




| SN | SCHEME              |       | Avera | ge Annı<br>Return | Jalised |       | Anr   | nualised | Standa | rd Devia | tion  |
|----|---------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|---------|-------|-------|----------|--------|----------|-------|
|    |                     | 1Y    | 3Y    | 5Y                | 7Y      | 10Y   | 1Y    | 3Y       | 5Y     | 7Y       | 10Y   |
|    | Maximum             | 56.17 | 24.30 | 18.33             | 16.82   | 23.88 | 19.38 | 18.65    | 17.43  | 27.47    | 27.12 |
|    | Minimum             | 40.48 | 17.84 | 10.74             | 9.30    | 15.56 | 12.93 | 14.93    | 14.94  | 23.42    | 22.75 |
|    | Average             | 48.73 | 21.01 | 14.83             | 13.15   | 20.12 | 14.91 | 16.57    | 16.46  | 25.20    | 24.88 |
|    | Benchmark<br>Return | 32.96 | 17.35 | 10.30             | 9.52    | 18.75 | -     | -        | -      | -        | -     |
|    | Benchmark<br>SD     | -     | -     | -                 | -       | -     | 12.48 | 15.58    | 17.05  | 25.80    | 24.53 |

Source: Computed by Researcher

It appears that 5 schemes (FITS, HDFCLTA, ÷ HDFCTS, ICICIPTP, and STS) have outperformed the benchmark during the entire study period. SBIMTG has performed better than the benchmark in 4 out of 5 periods, the exception being 10-year period. UTIETSP has outperformed the benchmark in 3 out of 5 periods (1-year, 3-year, and 5-year). ICICIPTP has generated the best average annualised return in 4 out of 5 periods, the exception being 1-year period when HDFCTS has remained the best performing scheme in terms of average annualised return. UTIETSP has been the worst performing scheme in 4 out of 5 periods. Only in 5-year period, STS has remained the worst performer.

Table 1 reveals that none of the schemes has outperformed the benchmark throughout the entire study period in terms of total risk. FITS and UTIETSP are the two schemes which have outperformed the benchmark in 4 out of 5 periods barring 1-year period. HDFCLTA has performed better than the benchmark in 3 periods (5-year, 7-year, and 10-year). SBIMTG has outperformed the benchmark in 2 out of 5 periods (5-year and 7-year). HDFCTS, ICICIPTP, and STS have underperformed the benchmark during the entire period of study; which signifies that these three funds have taken more risks in comparison to the benchmark. FITS has taken the least risk during the entire period of study. HDFCTS has remained the most risky scheme in 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year period. ICICIPTP has taken the maximum risk in 7-year period; and STS has been the most risky scheme in 10-year period.

### 2. Risk adjusted Return

## 2.1. Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio

Sharpe Ratio (SR) indicates the scheme's additional return over and above the risk-free return and the total risk of the scheme, measured in terms of SD. It is expressed as:

 $SR_p = (R_p - R_f) / SD_p$ , where,  $SR_p = Sharpe Ratio of$ the scheme,  $R_p = Average$  annualised scheme return,  $R_f = Average$  annualised risk-free return,  $SD_p = Standard Deviation of the scheme.$ 

Similarly, the Sharpe Ratio of a benchmark ( $\rm SR_{\rm b})$  is expressed as:

 $SR_b = (R_b - R_f) / SD_b$ , where,  $SR_b = Sharpe Ratio of the benchmark, <math>R_b = Average$  annualised benchmark return,  $R_f = Average$  annualised risk-free return,  $SD_b = Standard$  Deviation of the benchmark.

Treynor Ratio (TR) of a scheme is expressed as:

 $TR_{_{D}}$ = (R\_{\_{D}} - R\_{\_{f}}) / Beta, where,  $TR_{_{D}}$  = Treynor Ratio of





the scheme,  $R_{p}$  = Average annualised scheme  $\frac{1}{2}$  TR<sub>b</sub> = (R<sub>b</sub> - R<sub>f</sub>) / Beta, where, TR<sub>b</sub> = Treynor Ratio of return,  $R_{f}$  = Average annualised risk-free return, Beta= Systematic risk of the scheme.

Likewise, Treynor Ratio of a benchmark (TR<sub>b</sub>) is expressed as:

the benchmark,  $R_{h}$  = Average annualised benchmark return,  $R_{f}$  = Average annualised risk-free return, Beta= Systematic risk of the benchmark (=1). Table 2 exhibits SR<sub>p</sub>, SR<sub>b</sub>, TR<sub>p</sub> and TR<sub>b</sub>.

| <b>6</b> -2 | Seheme                     |      | Sho   | arpe Ra | lio  |      |       | Tre   | ynor Rat | io   |       |
|-------------|----------------------------|------|-------|---------|------|------|-------|-------|----------|------|-------|
| 311         | Scheme                     | 1Y   | 3Y    | 5Y      | 7Y   | 10Y  | 1Y    | 3Y    | 5Y       | 7Y   | 10Y   |
| 1           | FITS                       | 3.05 | 0.83  | 0.56    | 0.24 | 0.57 | 40.00 | 13.69 | 10.01    | 6.46 | 14.53 |
| 2           | HDFCLTA                    | 2.97 | 0.78  | 0.46    | 0.19 | 0.50 | 37.84 | 12.95 | 8.33     | 5.21 | 13.25 |
| 3           | HDFCTS                     | 2.45 | 0.66  | 0.40    | 0.21 | 0.59 | 33.78 | 11.44 | 7.57     | 5.63 | 15.67 |
| 4           | ICICIPTP                   | 2.90 | 0.88  | 0.56    | 0.30 | 0.57 | 41.67 | 15.15 | 10.56    | 8.15 | 15.48 |
| 5           | SBIMTG                     | 3.14 | 0.86  | 0.32    | 0.12 | 0.31 | 41.06 | 14.28 | 5.83     | 3.24 | 9.53  |
| 6           | STS                        | 2.22 | 0.600 | 0.12    | 0.13 | 0.39 | 29.54 | 9.98  | 2.18     | 3.63 | 10.82 |
| 7           | UTIETSP                    | 2.24 | 0.599 | 0.20    | 0.03 | 0.30 | 29.80 | 9.77  | 3.60     | 0.67 | 7.86  |
|             | MAXIMUM                    | 3.14 | 0.88  | 0.56    | 0.30 | 0.59 | 41.67 | 15.15 | 10.56    | 8.15 | 15.67 |
|             | MINIMUM                    | 2.22 | 0.60  | 0.12    | 0.03 | 0.30 | 29.54 | 9.77  | 2.18     | 0.67 | 7.86  |
|             | AVERAGE                    | 2.71 | 0.74  | 0.37    | 0.17 | 0.46 | 36.24 | 12.47 | 6.87     | 4.71 | 12.45 |
|             | Benchmark<br>Sharpe Ratio  | 1.94 | 0.56  | 0.09    | 0.03 | 0.41 | -     | -     | -        | -    | -     |
|             | Benchmark<br>Treynor Ratio | _    | _     | _       | -    | _    | 24.26 | 8.65  | 1.60     | 0.82 | 10.05 |

### Table 2 : Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio of the Scheme and the Benchmark

#### Source: Computed by Researcher

Table 2 shows that FITS, HDFCLTA, HDFCTS, and ICICIPTP have outperformed the benchmark during the entire period of study; which signifies that these four funds have generated more risk-adjusted return in comparison to the benchmark. SBIMTG and STS have outperformed the benchmark in 4 out of 5 periods, the exception being 10-year period. UTIETSP has performed better than the benchmark in 3 out of 5 periods (1-year, 3-year, and 5-year) in terms of risk-adjusted return. In 7-year period, UTIETSP has generated Sharpe Ratio figure of 0.0317734 which is slightly lower than the benchmark Sharpe Ratio figure

of 0.0317735. ICICIPTP has given the best risk-adjusted return in 3-year, 5-year, and 7-year period. In 1-year period, SBIMTG has generated the best risk-adjusted return; whereas HDFCTS has done the same in 10-year period. UTIETSP has remained the worst performer in 3-year, 7-year, and 10-year period; whereas STS has remained the worst performer in 1-year and 5-year period. Table 2shows that FITS, HDFCLTA, HDFCTS, ICIC-IPTP, and STS have outperformed the benchmark during the entire period of study. SBIMTG has outperformed the benchmark in 4 out of 5 periods, the exception being 10-year period. UTIETSP has





performed better than the benchmark in 3 out of 5 periods (1-year, 3-year, and 5-year). ICICIPTP has generated the best Treynor Ratio in 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 7-year period; whereas HD-FCTS has done the same in 10-year period. Worst performing schemes as per Treynor Ratio are identical as those of Sharpe Ratio.

## 2.2 Rank Correlation between Sharpe **Ratio and Trevnor Ratio**

Spearman's Rank correlation between Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio reveals the following results.

## Table 3 : Rank Correlation between Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio

|               |         | Sha | rpe Ra | tio |         |    | Tre | ynor l | Ratio |     |
|---------------|---------|-----|--------|-----|---------|----|-----|--------|-------|-----|
|               | 1Y      | 3Y  | 5Y     | 7Y  | 10Y     | 1Y | 3Y  | 5Y     | 7Y    | 10Y |
| SHARPE RATIO  | 1       | 1   | 1      | 1   | 1       | -  | -   | -      | -     | -   |
| TREYNOR RATIO | 0.78571 | 1   | 1      | 1   | 0.96429 | 1  | 1   | 1      | 1     | 1   |

Source: Computed by Researcher

Such a high positive rank correlation coefficient i value less than 1 signifies defensive portfolio. (1 or close to 1 and statistically significant too) Market/benchmark beta is 1 and beta of a riskbetween Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio signifies that the chosen funds are well diversified.

## 3. Beta and R-squared (Coefficient of **Determination**)

Beta measures the systematic risk or market risk associated with the scheme. Beta value greater than 1 indicates aggressive portfolio and beta free investment is 0.

The extent or degree of diversification which is used to reduce the degree of unique or unsystematic risk is measured by RSQ. The value of RSQ ranges between 0 and 1. RSQ value of 1 implies completely diversified portfolio having zero unique risk. On the other hand, a high RSQ value implies that the fund is well diversified. Table 4 shows beta and RSQ values.

## Table 4 : Beta and R-squared (Coefficient of Determination) of the Scheme

| Sm  | Schomo   |       |       | Beta  |       |       |        | R      | -Square | ed     |        |
|-----|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| 311 | scheme   | 1Y    | 3Y    | 5Y    | 7Y    | 10Y   | 1Y     | 3Y     | 5Y      | 7Y     | 10Y    |
| 1   | FITS     | 0.987 | 0.902 | 0.829 | 0.884 | 0.888 | 0.9065 | 0.8857 | 0.8938  | 0.9480 | 0.9154 |
| 2   | HDFCLTA  | 1.092 | 0.995 | 0.906 | 0.921 | 0.873 | 0.9564 | 0.8874 | 0.8867  | 0.9276 | 0.8627 |
| 3   | HDFCTS   | 1.405 | 1.073 | 0.915 | 0.952 | 0.939 | 0.8184 | 0.8032 | 0.8021  | 0.9052 | 0.8605 |
| 4   | ICICIPTP | 1.086 | 1.030 | 0.912 | 0.996 | 0.981 | 0.7528 | 0.8192 | 0.8193  | 0.8752 | 0.8038 |
| 5   | SBIMTG   | 0.990 | 0.948 | 0.896 | 0.952 | 0.858 | 0.9091 | 0.8830 | 0.8952  | 0.9499 | 0.6187 |
| 6   | STS      | 1.159 | 1.039 | 0.933 | 0.957 | 0.973 | 0.8809 | 0.8773 | 0.8646  | 0.8953 | 0.7742 |
| 7   | UTIETSP  | 1.067 | 0.935 | 0.905 | 0.896 | 0.873 | 0.8834 | 0.9144 | 0.9319  | 0.9503 | 0.8787 |
|     | Maximum  | 1.405 | 1.073 | 0.933 | 0.996 | 0.981 | 0.9564 | 0.9144 | 0.9319  | 0.9503 | 0.9154 |
|     | Minimum  | 0.987 | 0.902 | 0.829 | 0.884 | 0.858 | 0.7528 | 0.8032 | 0.8021  | 0.8752 | 0.6187 |





| Sm  | Schomo  |       |       | Beta  |       |       |        | R      | -Square | d      |        |
|-----|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| 311 | scheme  | 1Y    | 3Y    | 5Y    | 7Y    | 10Y   | 1Y     | 3Y     | 5Y      | 7Y     | 10Y    |
|     | Average | 1.112 | 0.989 | 0.899 | 0.937 | 0.912 | 0.8725 | 0.8672 | 0.8705  | 0.9216 | 0.8163 |
|     | >1      | 5     | 3     | 0     | 0     | 0     |        |        |         |        |        |
|     | <1      | 2     | 4     | 7     | 7     | 7     |        |        |         |        |        |
|     | >0.8    |       |       |       |       |       | 6      | 7      | 7       | 7      | 5      |
|     | >0.75   |       |       |       |       |       | 7      | 7      | 7       | 7      | 6      |

#### Source: Computed by Researcher

Beta values reveal that all the schemes are conservative or defensive in nature in 5-year, 7-year, and 10-year period since during these periods all the schemes have exhibited beta values less than 1.4 out of 7 schemes are defensive in 3-year period; while 5 out of 7 schemes are aggressive in 1-year period. FITS has remained the most conservative scheme in 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 7-year period; whereas in 10-year period SBIMTG has remained the most defensive scheme. HDFCTS is the most aggressive scheme in 1-year and 3-year period; whereas ICICIPTP is the most aggressive scheme in 7-year and 10-year period. STS has remained the most aggressive scheme in 5-year period. FITS and SBIMTG have remained conservative schemes throughout the entire study period.

Table 4 reveals that all the schemes have exhibited RSQ values in excess of 0.75 in 4 out of 5 periods (1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 7-year). Only in 10-year period barring SBIMTG all other schemes have generated RSQ values in excess of 0.75. Further, in 3-year, 5-year, and 7-year periods all the chosen schemes have recorded RSQ values in excess of 0.80. FITS, HDFCLTA, HD-FCTS, and UTIETSP are the 4 schemes which have recorded RSQ values in excess of 0.80 during the entire period of study; whereas the remaining 3 schemes have done the same in 4 out of 5 periods. As such, it can be said that the chosen schemes are adequately diversified since these schemes are able to reduce the unique or unsystematic risk to a great extent.

## 4. Stock Picking Skills of Fund Managers

## 4.1 Jensen Alpha and Sharpe Differential Measure (SDM)

Jensen Alpha is expressed as:

Alpha= Differential return earned by the scheme out of the ability of the fund manager in selecting correct stocks;

 $R_{p}$  = Average Annualised Scheme Return,

R<sub>f</sub> = Average Annualised Risk-free Return,

 $R_{b}$  = Average Annualised Benchmark Return, Beta= Systematic risk of the scheme.

A positive alpha value signifies positive stock selection ability on the part of the fund manager.

SDM is measured as follows:

Alpha= 
$$R_p - [R_f + (SD_p/SD_b)*(R_b - R_f)]$$

Where, Alpha = SDM which indicates the stock selection ability of the fund managers,

R<sub>n</sub> = Average Annualised Scheme Return,

R<sub>f</sub> = Average Annualised Risk-free Return,

 $R_{b}$  = Average Annualised Benchmark Return,

SD<sub>p</sub> = Annualised Scheme Standard Deviation,

 $SD_{b}$  = Annualised Benchmark Standard Deviation.

A high alpha value (SDM) implies superior stock selection by fund managers and vice versa. Table 5 exhibits alpha values and SDM of the schemes.





| Sn  | Schomo   |       |      | Alpha |       |       |       |      | SDM  |       |       |
|-----|----------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 311 | Scheme   | 1Y    | 3Y   | 5Y    | 7Y    | 10Y   | 1Y    | 3Y   | 5Y   | 7Y    | 10Y   |
| 1   | FITS     | 15.53 | 4.54 | 6.97  | 4.98  | 3.98  | 14.33 | 4.06 | 6.89 | 4.96  | 3.58  |
| 2   | HDFCLTA  | 14.83 | 4.27 | 6.10  | 4.04  | 2.80  | 14.23 | 3.74 | 6.01 | 4.01  | 2.12  |
| 3   | HDFCTS   | 13.38 | 2.99 | 5.46  | 4.58  | 5.28  | 9.79  | 1.92 | 5.29 | 4.54  | 4.54  |
| 4   | ICICIPTP | 18.91 | 6.69 | 8.17  | 7.30  | 5.33  | 14.89 | 5.75 | 8.02 | 7.24  | 4.19  |
| 5   | SBIMTG   | 16.62 | 5.33 | 3.79  | 2.30  | -0.45 | 15.45 | 4.80 | 3.71 | 2.28  | -2.78 |
| 6   | STS      | 6.12  | 1.38 | 0.54  | 2.69  | 0.76  | 4.28  | 0.77 | 0.43 | 2.65  | -0.58 |
| 7   | UTIETSP  | 5.90  | 1.05 | 1.81  | -0.13 | -1.91 | 4.25  | 0.67 | 1.75 | -0.15 | -2.49 |
|     | MAXIMUM  | 18.91 | 6.69 | 8.17  | 7.30  | 5.33  | 15.45 | 5.75 | 8.02 | 7.24  | 4.54  |
|     | MINIMUM  | 5.90  | 1.05 | 0.54  | -0.13 | -1.91 | 4.25  | 0.67 | 0.43 | -0.15 | -2.78 |
|     | AVERAGE  | 13.04 | 3.75 | 4.69  | 3.68  | 2.25  | 11.03 | 3.10 | 4.58 | 3.65  | 1.23  |
|     | >0       | 7     | 7    | 7     | 6     | 5     | -     | -    | -    | -     | -     |
|     | <0       | 0     | 0    | 0     | 1     | 2     | -     | -    | -    | -     | -     |

Table 5 : Alpha and SDM of the Scheme

Source: Computed by Researcher

A look at alpha figures reveals that all the schemes have generated positive alpha values in 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year period. 6 out of 7 schemes (barring UTIETSP) have registered positive alpha values in 7-year period; and 5 out of 7 schemes (barring SBIMTG and UTIETSP) have registered positive alpha values in 10-year period. ICICIPTP has remained the best performing scheme throughout the entire period. UTIETSP has remained the worst performing scheme in 4 out of 5 periods, the exception being 5-year period when STS is the worst performer. Hence, it can be safely concluded that fund managers have superior stock picking abilities.SDM figures show that ICICIPTP has remained the best per-

forming scheme in 3-year, 5-year, and 7-year period. SBIMTG is the best performer in 1-year period; and HDFCTS is the best performer in 10-year period. UTIETSP has remained the worst performing scheme in 1-year, 3-year, and 7-year period. STS is the worst performer in 5-year period; and SBIMTG is the worst performing scheme in 10-year period.

## 4.2 Rank Correlation between Jensen Alpha and SDM

The results of Spearman's Rank correlation between Jensen Alpha and SDM are incorporated in Table 6.

|              |         | Jense | en Alp | bha |         |    |    | SDM |    |     |
|--------------|---------|-------|--------|-----|---------|----|----|-----|----|-----|
|              | 1Y      | 3Y    | 5Y     | 7Y  | 10Y     | 1Y | 3Y | 5Y  | 7Y | 10Y |
| Jensen Alpha | 1       | 1     | 1      | 1   | 1       | -  | -  | -   | -  | -   |
| SDM          | 0.96429 | 1     | 1      | 1   | 0.92857 | 1  | 1  | 1   | 1  | 1   |

Table 6 : Rank Correlation between Jensen Alpha and SDM

Source: Computed by Researcher





Such a high positive rank correlation coefficient i entire study period signifies that most of the fund (1 or close to 1 and statistically significant too) managers have exhibited superior stock picking between Jensen Alpha and SDM throughout the skills.

### 5. Return of the Schemes from Systematic Investment Plan (SIP)

SIP returns of the schemes are incorporated in Table 7.

| 5  | Sahama   |       | 61    | SIP" Retur | n     |       |
|----|----------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|
| SU | Scheme   | 1Y    | 3Y    | 5Y         | 7Y    | 10Y   |
| 1  | FITS     | 64.37 | 31.10 | 20.93      | 19.44 | 17.82 |
| 2  | HDFCLTA  | 57.09 | 31.31 | 20.17      | 18.94 | 16.45 |
| 3  | HDFCTS   | 65.46 | 32.12 | 19.62      | 18.97 | 17.32 |
| 4  | ICICIPTP | 67.18 | 35.57 | 22.61      | 21.59 | 18.38 |
| 5  | SBIMTG   | 62.32 | 31.94 | 19.96      | 17.39 | 13.24 |
| 6  | STS      | 54.18 | 25.82 | 15.93      | 14.39 | 14.61 |
| 7  | UTIETSP  | 51.26 | 26.13 | 16.25      | 14.27 | 12.33 |
|    | MAXIMUM  | 67.18 | 35.57 | 22.61      | 21.59 | 18.38 |
|    | MINIMUM  | 51.26 | 25.82 | 15.93      | 14.27 | 12.33 |
|    | AVERAGE  | 60.26 | 30.57 | 19.35      | 17.86 | 15.74 |

#### Table 7: "SIP" Return of the Schemes

#### Source: Computed by Researcher

A look at SIP return figures depicts that SIP returns generated by the schemes are in double digits irrespective of the time period. It signifies that SIP is a very good instrument of wealth creation. Further, all the schemes have generated SIP returns in excess of the risk-free rate of return (8.7%) during the entire study period which is indeed a fabulous achievement. ICICIPTP has remained the best performing scheme throughout the entire period. UTIETSP has remained the worst performing scheme in 1-year, 7-year, and 10-year period. STS is the worst performer in 3-year and 5-year period.

#### VII. Conclusion

Based on research questions, the findings can be summed up as follows:

The schemes have generated superior

risk-adjusted return during the study period.

From the beta values (Table 4) it is evident that all the schemes are defensive in nature in comparison to the benchmarks in 5-year, 7-year, and 10-year period. In 3-year period also, most of the schemes are conservative with respect to the benchmark. Only in 1-one year period, the picture is different as more schemes have exhibited beta values greater than 1.

RSQ value measures the degree of diversifi-cation. From Table 4, it is noticed that RSQ values of most of the schemes are in excess of 0.75. It signifies that the schemes are adequately diversified. Spearman's rank correlation between Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio (Table 3) has also affirmed the fact that the chosen schemes are well diversified.

It is evident from Jensen alpha values





(Table 5) as well as from SDM values (Table 5) that most fund managers have superior stock picking skills in different time periods. Further, high positive rank correlation coefficient (Table 6) between Jensen Alpha and SDM throughout the entire study period signifies that most of the fund managers have exhibited superior stock picking abilities.

► SIP returns generated by the schemes (Table 7) are very much satisfactory.

► It is evident from the findings that overall performance of most of the schemes is satisfactory in comparison to the benchmark since the schemes have outperformed the benchmark in most times in respect of the chosen parameters.

## Limitations of the Study

Some of the limitations are mentioned below:

- ► Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) between the schemes and the same between the AMCs are not taken into consideration.
- ► The effect of change in fund managers is not considered.

► The impact of brokerages, entry load, exit load, taxes, and inflation are not taken into consideration.

## Scope for Further Research

Further research in the following areas could be considered as an extension of the present study:

► Research can be carried out on the investor's perception towards investment in tax-saving schemes.

► A detailed study can be made on the impact of expense ratio on the performance of tax-saving schemes.

► A similar or different study may be carried out to analyse the performance of the close-ended tax-saving schemes in India.

► A study can be undertaken to compare the performance of diversified equity funds with that of equity linked saving schemes in the Indian context.

## References

### Articles

**Bondyopadhyay, Srikumar. (December 8, 2008).** No Place ELSS. The Telegraph. Retrieved on 08/09/2014 fromhttp://www.telegraphindia. com/1081208/jsp/business/story\_10221313.jsp.

**Chandrakumarmangalam**, **S.**, **and Govindasamy**, **P. (2011).** Study on ELSS Fund & Its Performance Analysis with reference to Karvy Stock Broking Ltd. International Journal of Human Resource Management and Research, 1(2), 43-61.

**Chavali, Kavita and Jain, Shefali. (2009).** Investment performance of Equity-linked saving schemes – An empirical study. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 3(2), 15-18.

Garg, Meenakshi and Gupta, S.L. (2014). An Empirical Study of Performance Evaluation of Selected Equity Linked Saving Schemes (ELSS) Of India. International Journal of Research in IT & Management (IJRIM), 4(1), 32-48.

Jawahar Babu, K.V.S.N, and Vasu, M.S. (2012). Performance of Tax Saving Funds of Selected Asset Management Companies: A Comparative Analysis. International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM), 3(10), 60-70.

Mani, Govil. (2011). Risk-return evaluation of ELSS Funds during 2008–11: An empirical analysis. International Journal of Business Economics and Management Research, 2(12), 130-142.

Roy, Subrata and Ghosh, Shantanu





**Kumar. (2012).** Performance Evaluation of Open-Ended ELSS Mutual Fund Schemes In India during Recession. *KCA Journal of Business Management (KJBM),* 4(1).

Santhi, N.S. and Gurunathan, K. Balanaga. (2011). An Analysis of Investors' Attitude towards Tax Saving Mutual Funds in India. European Journal of Economics, Finance & Administrative Sciences, 41, p 54. Retrieved from http://connection.ebscohost.com/c/articles/67703512/ analysis-investors-attitude-towards-tax-saving-mutual-funds-india on 08/09/2014

-----. (2012). An Analysis of Risk-Adjusted Return on Tax-Saving Mutual Fund Schemes in India. The IUP Journal of Financial Risk Management, 9(3), 54-71. Retrieved from SSRN: http:// ssrn.com/abstract=2181210

**Srivastava, Namita. (2014).** Performance indicators of Equity linked saving schemes in India: An empirical Analysis. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology (IJRASET), 2(3), 244-250.

**Tripathy, Nalini Prava. (2005).** An Empirical Evaluation of Market Timing Abilities of Indian Fund Managers on Equity Linked Savings Scheme. *Delhi Business Review X*, 6(2).

-----. (2008). Market Timing Abilities and Mutual Fund Performance: An Empirical Investigation into Equity Linked Saving Schemes. Vilakshan, XIMB Journal of Management, June, 127-138.

#### Web Resources

www.amfiindia.com www.sebi.gov.in www.valueresearchonlin.com





## Performance of Manufacturing Industries In West Bengal During 1981 - 1998 : An Empirical Study

## Baisakhi Bardhan

## Abstract

In this paper, empirical analyses have been made to assess the performance of manufacturing industries in West Bengal based on 2-digit level ASI data (1987 classification) over a period from 1981 to 1998. Out of twenty seven manufacturing industries, twelve are found to be major industries. Out of twelve, five industry groups are 'good performing' and others are 'bad performing'. Compared to the industries in other major states in India, performance of industries in West Bengal is bad. Improvement in policy frame work of state government is thus required to turnaround industrial performance.

## Keywords

Factory Productivity, Capital Productivity, Material Productivity, Labour Productivity, Kendall's Coefficient of Concordance

## Introduction

Industrialisation plays an important role in growth trajectory of an economy. Following growth laws of Nicholas Kaldor (1958) which shows a strong positive relation between economic growth and growth in manufacturing sector, a planned development strategy was adopted in Indian economy since independence with the dual objective of accelerating economic growth on one hand and reducing regional disparities on the other. Despite such an economic planning, performance of manufacturing sector in West Bengal started showing downward trend after 70s. This was followed by decline in growth rate and productivity, and other industry related problems such as strikes, lockouts, closure of factories and unemployment. These problems continued to persist and even aggravated over time inspite of an uninterrupted political stability in the state. On the contrary, most of other states in India showed better performance that helped accelerate overall growth of the country to 6-7% by early 1990's. With this background, this paper aims to empirically analyse the performance of manufacturing industries of the state during the eighteen years period (1981 – 1998), a major phase comprising both pre (1981-1990) and post (1991-1998) liberalisation scenario. The performance of major industries is evaluated





on the basis of three widely accepted macro variables and four productivity measures. The study is limited to the evaluation of performance of manufacturing sectors in the state during the reference period. This research can be extended further to identify the causes that may help suggest possible amendments to the existing policies of the state. eratures that scrutinize the growth performance of Gujarat as compared to India (Nagaraj and Pandey, 2013; Dholakia, 2014; Nagaraj, 2014; Ghatak and Roy, 2014; Marjit, 2014. No empirical study have, however, been made exclusively for analysing performance of manufacturing industries in West Bengal. This paper makes an attempt to investigate this unaddressed issue

The paper has been structured as follows. The outcome of literature review, objectives, data base and methodology are discussed in section 1. Section 2 presents the major industries in the manufacturing sector in West Bengal and its basis of selection. In section 3, performance of major industries in West Bengal with respect to three macro variables and four productivity measures have been presented. In this section, consistency in the performance of major industries has also been discussed. In section 4, a comparative study has been made with a view to understand how the manufacturing industries in other major states in India performed during the reference period as compared to that of West Bengal. In section 5, we conclude.

## Section 1 : Review of Literature, Objectives, Data Base and Methodology

There are papers addressing performance of industry groups at all India level under varying trade regimes and during varying periods (Alhuwalia, 1985, 1991; Goldar, 1986; Balakrishnan and Suresh Babu, 2003, Siddharthan and Lal 2003; Das, 2004 and Others). Some others analysed the performance of northern states with reference to SDP (Joseph, 2004). The economy of West Bengal with respect to various parameters was also studied (Chattopadhyay, 2004 and Khasnabis, 2008). A study on interstate disparities in industrial performance in India with identification of 'good' and 'bad' performing states was made by Datta (2012). There are a number of litof Gujarat as compared to India (Nagaraj and Pandey, 2013; Dholakia, 2014; Nagaraj, 2014; Ghatak and Roy, 2014; Marjit, 2014. No empirical study have, however, been made exclusively for analysing performance of manufacturing industries in West Bengal. This paper makes an attempt to investigate this unaddressed issue by empirical analyses based on 2-digit level ASI data (1987 classification) on the factory sector. The study covers the entire period from 1981 to 1998. Rationale is to evaluate performance of major industries in West Bengal for a decade before and almost a decade after 1991 when economic reforms were introduced. We begin our study by selecting major industries in India. We then proceed to check performance of manufacturing industries in the state based on three widely accepted macro variables, namely, value of output (VO), invested capital (IC) and number of workers (NW) and four commonly used productivity measures, namely, factory productivity, FP (value of output per factory), capital productivity, CP (value of output per unit of invested capital), material productivity, MP (ex-factory value of products and by-products per unit of output) and labour productivity, LP (value of output per worker).

We develop our exercise based on the ASI data of the factory sector. Productivity measures have been derived from ASI-given categories, such as, value of output, value of input, invested capital, ex-factory value of products and by-products, number of factories and number of workers etc. All the variables except the number of workers and factories are deflated by WPI with 1981 as the base year. There are, however, certain limitations while directly using WPI as the deflator. As for classification of industries, ASI follows the NIC, which is based on nature of activities. These activities range from manufacturing to processing/repairing services, whereas WPI is constructed with a view to capturing price movements based on nature of commodities





and final demand. In a nutshell, while the ASI i tablishes positive relationship between political classification is based on activities, the WPI is based on nature of commodities. Identifying the nature of commodities grouped under the ASI, activity based classification is difficult, if not impossible. This problem is more severe at 3-digit level. At best, one can approximately classify commodifies, based on the nature of economic activities. This prompted us to use WPI only. This is one of the limitations of this study.

We submit that we have not addressed a few important issues in this paper. First, impact of the policy approach adopted by the state government on the performance of industries. Second, detailed organization of specific industries and their role in determining the nature of performance, Third, macroeconomic aspects of industrial behaviour and fourth, reasons for declining industrial performance despite political stability in the state as literature on this issue esstability and economic growth (Abeyasinghe, 2004). We have also not compared the performance of manufacturing industries in the state before and after 1991.

## Section 2 : The Major industries in the Manufacturing Sector in West Bengal: **Basis of Selection**

The industries for which the cumulative percentage share has been at least 90 per cent for each of the parameters, namely, value of output, invested capital and number of workers, are selected as major industries. Out of twenty seven manufacturing industries in NIC 1987 classification, twelve major industry groups have 91.70% share in the number of workers; 92.07% share in total output and 95.61% share in invested capital (Table 1).

Table 1 : Major Industries in West Bengal and Their Percentage Share in Value of **Output, Invested Capital and Number of Workers** 

| Industry<br>Code   | Percentage<br>Share in Value<br>of Output | Percentage Share<br>in Invested<br>Capital | Percentage<br>Share in Number<br>of Workers |
|--|---|--|---|
| 33 (Basic metal and alloys)                                    | 17.63                                     | 27.65                                      | 13.61                                       |
| 40 (Electricity)   | 13.77                                     | 33.59                                      | 5.22  |
| 35-36 (Machinery and equipment other than transport equipment) | 10.38                                     | 6.38                                       | 7.80  |
| 31 (Rubber, plastic, petroleum and coal products)              | 9.31                                      | 6.03                                       | 3.34  |
| 30 (Basic chemicals and chemical products)                     | 9.30                                      | 5.51                                       | 3.10  |
| 20-21 (Food products)  | 9.07                                      | 2.83                                       | 8.07  |
| 25 (Jute and other vegetable fibre textiles)                   | 8.04                                      | 4.25                                       | 26.76                                       |





| Industry<br>Code  | Percentage<br>Share in Value<br>of Output | Percentage Share<br>in Invested<br>Capital | Percentage<br>Share in Number<br>of Workers |
|---|---|--|---|
| 37 (Transport equipment and parts)                            | 5.47                                      | 3.48                                       | 10.25                                       |
| 34 (Metal products and parts, except machinery and equipment) | 2.86                                      | 1.49                                       | 2.53  |
| 28 (Paper and paper products)                                 | 2.34                                      | 1.66                                       | 2.90  |
| 23 (Cotton textiles)  | 2.30                                      | 1.69                                       | 5.68  |
| 32 (Non-metallic mineral products)                            | 1.59                                      | 1.04                                       | 2.43  |
| Total   | 92.07                                     | 95.61                                      | 91.70                                       |

NIC 33 contributed the highest percentage share i concordance (W) is used to find out the degree (17.63%) in the state's total value of output. NIC 44 has the highest share in the invested capital. NIC 25 has the highest percentage share in the number of workers. NIC 32 has the lowest share in all the three parameters.

## Section 3 : Performance of Major Industries in West Bengal

Performance has been assessed on the basis of widely accepted seven indicators as stated in section 1. Year wise value of each indicator for major industry groups was calculated. Ranks of individual industry group in terms of three macro variables, namely, VO, IC and NW were first found out. Similar exercise was then performed in terms of four productivity measures, namely, FP, CP, MP and LP. Ranks were also given in terms of composite productivity ratio. The best was assigned rank 1 and worst was assigned 12.

Results are given in Table 2 and Table 3. We then checked whether performance of major industries remained consistent throughout the selected time period. Kendall's coefficient of of consistency in the rankings of each industry group. Kendalls W is measured as  $W = 12S / [m^2(n^3)]$ - n)] where,  $S = \sum (R_i - R)^2$ ; m = number of rankers and n = number of objects to be ranked.  $R_i =$ Rank of the ith state. The average value  $R^{-} = \frac{1}{2}$ m (n+1).

Value of W is found to be 0.7662, 0.9473 and 0.9447 with respect to value of output, invested capital and number of workers respectively.

| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major Industries in West Bengal with Respect to Three | PC         |
|---|------------|
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major Industries in West Bengal with Respect to       | Three      |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major Industries in West Bengal with Resp             | ect to     |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major Industries in West Bengal wit                   | h Resp     |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major Industries in West Bengal                       | Witl       |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major Industries in West                              | Bengal     |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major Industries in                                   | West       |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major Industries                                      | Э.         |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of Major   | Industries |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank of   | Major I    |
| Table 2 : Year Wise Rank  | of         |
| Table 2 : Year Wise   | Rank       |
| Table 2 : Year  | Wise       |
| Table 2   | : Year     |
| Table   | N          |
|   | Table      |

| Table 2  | : Year V | Vise I | Rank | c of <b>A</b> | Aajoı | r Ind | ustrik | es in | Wes | st Be | ngal | with | Res | peci | t to 1 | hree | e Pai | ame    | sters |
|----------|----------|--------|------|---------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-----|-------|------|------|-----|------|--------|------|-------|--------|-------|
| Industry | Para-    | 81     | 82   | 83            | 84    | 85    | 86     | 87    | 88  | 89    | 06   | 91   | 60  | 93   | 94     | 9.5  | 96    | 97     | 98    |
| code     | meters   | 5      | 3    | 3             | 5     | 3     | 3      | ;     | 3   | 5     | 2    | : •  | !   | 2    | ţ,     | 2    | 2     | : '    | 2     |
|          | V.O.     | _      | _    | _             | -     | _     | _      | _     | 2   | _     | -    | _    | _   | _    | _      | _    | _     | _      | 2     |
| 33       | <br>     | -      | -    | 2             | 2     | -     | 2      | -     | 2   | 2     | 2    | 2    | -   | 2    | 2      | 2    | -     | 2      | -     |
|          | N.W.     | 2      | 2    | 2             | 2     | 2     | 2      | 2     | 2   | 2     | 2    | 2    | 2   | 2    | 2      | 2    | 2     | 2      | ო     |
|          | V.O.     | 7      | ∞    | ω             | ω     | 7     | 5      | 4     | ო   | 2     | 4    | 5    | 2   | 7    | 2      | 2    | 2     | 2      | -     |
| 40       |          | 7      | 2    | -             | -     | 7     | -      | 7     | -   | -     | -    | -    | 7   | -    | -      | -    | 2     | -      | 7     |
|          | N.W.     | 7      | 7    | 7             | 7     | 7     | 7      | 7     | 7   | 9     | 7    | 9    | 9   | 9    | 9      | 9    | 9     | 9      | 5     |
|          | V.O.     | ω      | 7    | 7             | 2     | e     | 4      | m     | 4   | m     | 5    | m    | m   | 4    | 4      | 4    | m     | m      | 7     |
| 35-36    | <u></u>  | 5      | 5    | m             | e     | m     | 4      | 4     | 4   | m     | 4    | 4    | m   | 4    | S      | 5    | m     | S      | 9     |
|          | N.W.     | 4      | 4    | 4             | 4     | 5     | 4      | 4     | 4   | 4     | 5    | 4    | 4   | 5    | 5      | 5    | 4     | 5      | 9     |
|          | V.O.     | 5      | 9    | 5             | 5     | 9     | 7      | 9     | 5   | 5     | З    | 2    | 4   | 3    | 3      | 3    | 5     | 7      | 4     |
| 31       | .C.      | З      | 4    | 4             | 4     | 5     | 3      | 3     | 3   | 5     | 5    | 5    | 5   | 3    | 3      | 3    | 4     | 3      | З     |
|          | N.W.     | 8      | ∞    | 6             | ∞     | ω     | ω      | ∞     | ∞   | ∞     | 6    | ∞    | 11  | 6    | 6      | 6    | 10    | 6      | 9     |
|          | V.O.     | З      | С    | З             | З     | 4     | 9      | 2     | -   | 4     | 9    | 9    | 6   | 5    | 9      | 5    | 6     | 4      | 6     |
| 30       | I.C.     | 4      | З    | 9             | 5     | 4     | 5      | 5     | 5   | 4     | З    | З    | 4   | 5    | 4      | 4    | 5     | 4      | 4     |
|          | N.W.     | 11     | 11   | 12            | 11    | 11    | 12     | 6     | 6   | 6     | 8    | 6    | 8   | 7    | 8      | 8    | 8     | 8      | 8     |
|          | V.O.     | 4      | 5    | 4             | 4     | 5     | e      | 5     | 9   | 9     | 2    | 4    | 5   | 9    | 5      | 9    | 7     | 9      | ო     |
| 20-21    | .С.      | 8      | 8    | 6             | 8     | 7     | 7      | 8     | 8   | 8     | 8    | 8    | 8   | 8    | 8      | 7    | 8     | 8      | 5     |
|          | N.W.     | 5      | 5    | 5             | 9     | 9     | 5      | 5     | 5   | 5     | 4    | 5    | 5   | 3    | 4      | 4    | 5     | 4      | 2     |
|          | V.O.     | с      | 4    | 9             | 9     | 2     | 2      | ~     | 7   | ~     | 9    | ~    | 7   | ~    | 7      | 7    | 4     | 5      | 5     |
| 25       | .С.      | 7      | 7    | 7             | 7     | ω     | ω      | 7     | 7   | 7     | 9    | 9    | 9   | 7    | 7      | 9    | 6     | 7      | 6     |
|          | N.W.     | -      | -    | 1             | 1     | -     | -      | -     | 1   | -     | -    | -    | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1     | 1      | 1     |
|          | V.O.     | 9      | 7    | 7             | 7     | 8     | 8      | 8     | 8   | 8     | 7    | 8    | 8   | 8    | 8      | 8    | 8     | 8      | 8     |
| 37       | .C.      | 9      | 9    | 5             | 9     | 9     | 9      | 9     | 9   | 9     | 7    | 7    | 7   | 6    | 9      | 8    | 7     | 6      | 7     |
|          | N.W.     | ω      | ო    | m             | ო     | ო     | ო      | ო     | ო   | ო     | ო    | ო    | ო   | 4    | ო      | m    | ო     | ო      | 4     |
|          | V.O.     | 10     | 10   | 11            | :     | 10    | :      | =     | 6   | 6     | ω    | 6    | 6   | 6    | 6      | 6    | 10    | 6      | 10    |
| 34       | <br>     | 11     | 11   | 11            | 11    | 11    | 11     | 12    | 10  | 10    | 10   | 6    | 6   | 6    | 6      | :    | 10    | ]]     | 10    |
|          | N.W.     | 10     | 10   | 11            | 12    | 6     | 10     | 12    | 11  | 10    | :    | 10   | 10  | 11   | 10     | 12   | 12    | 11     | 11    |
|          | V.O.     | 6      | []   | 10            | 10    | 11    | 10     | 10    | 10  | 10    | 10   | 10   | 10  | 11   | 12     | 10   | 9     | 10     | 11    |
| 28       | I.C.     | 10     | 10   | 10            | 10    | 10    | 10     | 10    | 11  | 6     | 11   | 10   | 10  | 12   | 11     | 6    | 6     | 6      | 11    |
|          | N.W.     | 6      | 6    | ω             | 6     | 10    | 6      | 10    | 10  | Ξ     | 12   | Ξ    | 6   | 10   | Ξ      | 10   | 6     | 10     | 10    |
|          | V.O.     | ω      | 6    | 6             | 6     | 6     | 6      | 6     | 11  | Ξ     | 6    | Ξ    | :   | 10   | 10     | Ξ    | 11    | Ξ      | 6     |
| 23       | <u></u>  | 6      | 6    | ω             | 6     | 6     | 6      | 6     | 6   | =     | 6    | =    | Ξ   | 2    | 0      | 0    | 12    | 12     | ω     |
|          | N.W.     | 9      | 9    | 9             | 5     | 4     | 9      | 9     | 9   |       | 9    |      |     | ω    | ~      |      | ~     | $\sim$ | 7     |
|          | V.O.     | =      | 12   | 12            | 12    | 12    | 12     | 12    | 12  | 12    | Ξ    | 12   | 12  | 12   | Ξ      | 12   | 12    | 12     | 12    |
| 32       | <u></u>  | 12     | 12   | 12            | 12    | 12    | 12     | =     | 12  | 12    | 12   | 12   | 12  | Ξ    | 12     | 12   | Ξ     | 0      | 12    |
|          | N.W.     | 12     | 12   | 10            | 0     | 12    | =      | =     | 12  | 12    | 0    | 12   | 12  | 12   | 12     | Ξ    | Ξ     | 12     | 12    |
|          |          |        |      |               |       |       |        |       |     |       |      |      |     |      |        |      |       |        |       |





Note: V.O. - Value of Output, I.C. - Invested Capital, N.W. - Number of Workers . . . . .





|          | F.P     |      | C.    | P.   | <b>M</b> . | Ρ.   | L.    | Ρ.   | Composite |
|----------|---------|------|-------|------|------------|------|-------|------|-----------|
| NIC Code | Value   | Rank | Value | Rank | Value      | Rank | Value | Rank | Rank      |
| 33       | 210.95  | 6    | 0.92  | 11   | 1.59       | 6    | 1.69  | 5    | 6         |
| 40       | 3837.38 | 1    | 0.44  | 12   | 2.59       | 1    | 2.55  | 3    | 1         |
| 35-36    | 111.68  | 7    | 1.81  | 6    | 1.60       | 5    | 1.95  | 4    | 3         |
| 31       | 259.53  | 4    | 1.68  | 9    | 1.36       | 10   | 4.43  | 2    | 5         |
| 30       | 286.73  | 3    | 2.05  | 4    | 1.37       | 8    | 4.88  | 1    | 2         |
| 20-21    | 87.37   | 9    | 3.91  | 1    | 1.22       | 11   | 1.65  | 6    | 7         |
| 25       | 621.36  | 2    | 2.34  | 2    | 1.81       | 3    | 0.32  | 12   | 4         |
| 37       | 251.58  | 5    | 1.76  | 7    | 1.45       | 8    | 0.83  | 10   | 9         |
| 34       | 41.56   | 12   | 2.29  | 3    | 1.37       | 9    | 1.39  | 7    | 10        |
| 28       | 46.99   | 11   | 1.66  | 10   | 1.68       | 4    | 0.96  | 8    | 11        |
| 23       | 128.39  | 8    | 1.74  | 8    | 1.52       | 7    | 0.64  | 11   | 12        |
| 32       | 61.62   | 10   | 1.84  | 5    | 2.03       | 2    | 0.87  | 9    | 8         |

Table 3 : Productivity Ratios for Major Industries in West Bengal

Note: F.P. - Factory Productivity, C.P. - Capital Productivity, M.P. - Material Productivity, L.P. - Labour Productivity

On the basis of empirical analyses based on 1 three macro variables, IC 33, IC 40, IC 35-36, IC 31 and IC 30 are identified as 'good performing' industries and IC 34, IC 28, IC 23, IC 32 and IC 25 as 'bad performing' industries. Performance in terms of productivity ratios, however, depicts a different scenario. IC 40, IC 30, IC 35-36 and IC 25 are found to be good performers. IC 23, IC 28, IC 34 and IC 37 are bad performers. Others are at median level. Some industries, namely, IC 33 and IC 31 which are found to be consistently good performing with respect to three macro-economic variables are found to be bad performers in terms of productivity ratios. This is what is expected as it has been observed that the productive efficiency of an economic unit is not fully captured either in terms of capital or labour. For example, productivity ratios of textile industries with highest deployment of capital and labour are poor. Again, an improved productivity might not necessarily mean higher rate of profit. Profit being the difference between revenue and cost, a decrease in cost would be reflected in higher profit if revenue remains the same or alternately, if the rate of growth of revenue is more than the rate of declining of

cost. Empirical findings capture the industrial scenario in the state. It is observed that these good performing industries have attracted good investment. Kendall's W value over a period of 18 years indicate that individual industry group had shown higher degree of consistency with respect to their individual ranking.

# Section 4 : A Comparative Analysis of Performance

A comparative study has been made with a view to understand how the manufacturing industries in other major states in India performed during the reference period as compared to that of West Bengal. Homogeneous behaviour would imply deficiency in policy measures at the central level and heterogeneous behaviour would indicate weakness in government policy in the state level. Comparison has been made with reference to ranks based on growth rate (mean of average y-o-y growth, annual compounded growth and log linear growth) in value of output, invested capital and number of workers employed and mean of three productivity measures, namely, material, labour and capital productivity (Table 4).





|                | V.O. | I.C. | N.W. | M.P. | L.P. | C.P. | Composite |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| State          | Rank      |
| West Bengal    | 14   | 13   | 13   | 10   | 14   | 7    | 14        |
| Bihar          | 13   | 14   | 14   | 2    | 11   | 10   | 13        |
| Orissa         | 7    | 2    | 10   | 4    | 7    | 14   | 8         |
| Madhya Pradesh | 2    | 11   | 8    | 9    | 5    | 11   | 12        |
| Andhra Pradesh | 5    | 3    | 3    | 6    | 9    | 12   | 4         |
| Uttar Pradesh  | 3    | 4    | 12   | 5    | 6    | 13   | 5         |
| Maharashtra    | 11   | 7    | 11   | 7    | 1    | 8    | 11        |
| Tamilnadu      | 10   | 6    | 5    | 11   | 13   | 5    | 10        |
| Gujarat        | 8    | 1    | 2    | 12   | 2    | 6    | 1         |
| Karnataka      | 6    | 5    | 6    | 3    | 12   | 9    | 7         |
| Kerala         | 12   | 12   | 9    | 1    | 8    | 3    | 9         |
| Punjab         | 9    | 9    | 1    | 13   | 10   | 1    | 6         |
| Haryana        | 1    | 10   | 4    | 14   | 4    | 2    | 3         |
| Rajasthan      | 4    | 8    | 7    | 8    | 3    | 4    | 2         |

Table 4 : Ranks With Respect to Seven Parameters

Note: V.O.- Value of Output, I.C.- Invested Capital, N.W.- Number of Workers, M.P.- Material Productivity, L.P.- Labour Productivity, C. P.- Capital Productivity

Outcome of analyses indicates that perfor- i located in other states. Conventional wisdom mance of manufacturing industries in West Bengal are bad compared to all other states. This indicates that during the pre and post-reform period, unlike other states, namely, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana and Rajasthan, industries in West Bengal, which are traditional in nature, have not modernised with adequate capital investment in order to achieve cost efficiency and competitiveness.

## Section 5: Conclusion

The aim of this research is to assess the performance of manufacturing industries in West Bengal and also to portray the vulnerable state of the West Bengal manufacturing industries as compared to those in other states of the country. Precisely, the state's share in the country's total value of output, invested capital and numbers of workers has not improved during the selected time period. Industries have also not performed well compared with the similar type of industries

points toward the lag in policy upgradation to support the industrial environment. However, there may be some other reasons for deteriorating industrial environmental scenario of the state. This claims for further research to identify the cause-effect scenario that may help identify the policy lags.

## References

Ahluwalia, Isher Judge (1985), 'Industrial Growth in India: Stagnation since the Mid-Sixties', Oxford University Press, Oxford.

(1991), 'Productivity and Growth in Indian Manufacturina', Oxford University Press, New Delhi.

Abeyansinghe, Ranmali (2004): 'Democracy, Political Stability and Developing Country Growth: Theory and Evidence', Spring, p 17.

Balakrishnan, Pulapre and Babu, M



Suresh (2003): 'Growth and Distribution in Indian : of India? A statistical note', Economic and Politi-Industry in the Nineties', Economic and Political cal Weekly, vol. 48, no. 39, September 28. Weekly, September 20.

Chattopadhyay, Sadhan (2004): 'Trends in Total Factor Productivity of Manufacturing Sector in West Bengal: a Sectoral and Temporal analysis', Reserve Bank of India Occasional Papers, vol. 25, No. 1, 2 and 3.

Das, Deb Kusum (2004), 'Manufacturing Productivity under Varying Trade Regimes, 1980-2000', Economic & Political Weekly, January 31.

Datta, Dilip (2012): 'Performance of Manufacturing Industries: Interstate disparities in India', The Journal of Industrial Statistics, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 97 - 110.

Dholakia, Ravindra (2014): 'Relative Growth performance of Gujarat: A comment on the recent debate', Economic and Political Weekly, vol. 49, no. 18, May 3.

Golder, B N (1986), 'Productivity Growth in Indian Industry', Allied Publishers, Delhi.

Ghatak, Maitreesh and Roy, Sanchari (2014): 'Did Gujarat switch to a higher growth trajectory relative to India under Modi', Economic and Political Weekly, vol. 49, no. 18, May 3.

Joseph, Mathew (2004), 'Performance of the Northern States: A Comparative Analysis', Economic and Political Weekly, February 7.

Kaldor, N (1958), 'Capital Accumulation and Economic Growth, reprinted in Further Essays on Economic Theory', Duckworth, 1978, London

Khasnabis, Ratan (2008): 'The Economy of West Bengal', Economic and Political Weekly, vol. 43, no. 52, December 27.

Marjit, Sugata (2014): 'On UPA vs NDA; Gujarat vs Rest of India: Myth and Reality', Economic and Political Weekly, vol. 49, no. 18, May 3.

Nagaraj, R and Pandey, Shruti (2013): 'Have Gujarat and Bihar outperformed the rest

Nagaraj, R (2014): 'Gujarat's Economic Performance: A Response', Economic and Political Weekly, vol. 49, no. 18, May 3.

Siddharthan, N S and K Lal (2003), 'Liberalisation and Growth of Firms in India', Economic and Political Weekly, 38(20).





## Returns on Shareholders Equity of Select Industries in Liberal Economic Scenario: Empirical Evidence From India

## Abstract

In the name of liberalization, various reform measures were introduced to make the Indian industries efficient and to achieve growth and development in the economy. The present paper is an attempt to empirically examine the profit rate in terms of returns on shareholders equity of select industries during the period 2004-05 to 2012-13. The findings of the study indicate that returns on shareholders equity in majority of the selected industries did not rise over the time period. The industry as a whole has recorded an average profit rate of 24% during the entire study period. On the average, auto & ancillary industry has posted highest profit rate (103%), while chemical and paper industry have posted lowest profit rate (5%) during the whole study period. The sub-period analysis shows that economic recession has negative impact on the generation of profit. The study concludes that liberalization measures should be further strengthened in order to achieve better efficiency, productivity, and profitability for the selected industries in particular and other industries in general.

## **Keywords**

Profitability; Profit Rate; Returns on Shareholders Equity; Liberalization; Dispersion.

## Sudipta Ghosh

## 1. Introduction

Profitability may be defined as the ability of a given investment to earn a return from its use. Greater the profit, the more efficient is the business and vice versa. Thus, profit is the engine that drives the wheel of business enterprise. It is the primary measure of overall success and necessary condition for the survival and growth of an enterprise. Further, profitability analysis is useful for the following reasons:

- ► Routine pricing policy.
- ► Impact of price increase or price decrease.
- ► Selection of product mix.
- ▶ Project evaluation.
- ► Valuation of goodwill and shares.

Profit rate in terms of returns on shareholders equity being one of the popular measure of financial performance, indicates the financial stability and earning capacity of an enterprise. It plays a dual role by attracting fresh investment on the one side and generating internal source of finance on the other side in the investment process of an economy.





### 2. Review of Past Studies

For presenting brief reviews of literature, the available empirical studies have been grouped into Indian studies and Foreign studies.

### 2.1 Indian Studies

Mohanakumar, P.S. (1996), in his study pointed out that profitability of textile industry in Kerala was generally low when compared to that in other major industries during the period 1982-83 to 1991-92. It was also observed that selected spinning mills depended excessively on debt capital and their internal resource mobilization was very poor due to low level of general reserve.

Dasgupta, Debajyoti (2001), evaluated the profitability performance of six selected public sector banks during the period 1986 to 1996-97. It was found that Vijaya Bank and Corporation Bank had attained better results compared to that of other banks selected in the study. Moreover, both the banks had secured better results in five years out of ten years as compared to that of total public sector banks.

Rej, Debasis and Sur, Debasish (2001), attempted to measure the profitability of Cadbury India Ltd. during 1987-88 to 1996-97 by analyzing the degree of relationship among various profitability ratios through multiple correlation and multiple regression method.

The study concluded that the profitability performance of the selected company in terms of gross profit ratio, net profit ratio and return on investment ratio was not stable during the study period. Moreover, the study revealed both positive and negative associations on the inter-relation between company's position and performance and profitability.

Pandey, R. and Bandyopadhyaya, S. (2003), in their study on cost-volume-profit analysis of 27 public sector banks revealed that profitability was influenced by several factors namely interest earned, interest paid, operating cost, and other income. The study concluded that reduction in operating cost was the most significant factor for increased profitability of the selected banks under study.

Misra, D.P. and Mishra, P.K. (2006), analyzed the factors influencing profitability of Orissa State Warehousing Corporation (OSWC) during the period 1985-86 to 2002-03. Multiple regression technique was employed to analyze the factors influencing the profitability of OSWC. The study found that operating cost, liquidity, and fixed assets turnover were the major factors that influenced profitability of OSWC to a great extent.

Selvi, A. Manor and Vijayakumar, A. (2007), attempted to examine the trends in rates of profit of selected companies in the Indian automobile industry over the period 1991-92 to 2003-04. The study revealed that most of the Indian automobile companies had a tendency for profit rates to fall over a long period. Furthermore, time explained this fall in profit rates at various degrees.

Ghosh, Sudipta (2012), attempted to empirically examine the impact of profitability performance in the liberalized Indian electric power industry with reference to selected central public sector power companies during the period 1998-99 to 2007-08.

The findings of the study revealed that profitability performance of the selected companies had significantly improved (i.e., positive impact) during the period under study. The results should therefore encourage for further investment, particularly by the private players in order to augment power generating capacity addition in the sector.

## 2.2 Foreign Studies

Abdurahman, A. et. al (2003), examined the firm's size - profitability relationship of electrical





contractors of U.S. during the period 1985 to 1996. The study found that small, medium, and large firms were significantly different from each other in terms of their profit rate. The indicator model which was developed in the study, predicted 76% of the year 1996 response variable, profitability correctly.

Oberholzer, M. and Warthuizen, G. Van Der (2004), examined the profitability and efficiency of ten regional offices of one of South Africa's largest banks for a period of 36 consecutive months. The study found that there was no significant relationship between technical efficiency and the conventional profitability and efficiency measurements. Further, region ten had the highest technical efficiency and profitability during the period under study.

Eljelly, Abuzar M.A. (2004), empirically examined the relationship between liquidity and profitability on a sample of joint stock companies in Saudi Arabia. The study found significant negative relationship between liquidity and profitability. At the industry level, cash conversion cycle was more important that affected profitability.

Abu-Tapanjeh, A.M. (2006), examined the relationship of firm structure and profitability of 48 Jordanian industrial companies during 1995 to 2004, listed in the American Stock Exchange. The empirical findings of the study revealed that firm structure emerged as an important factor affecting profitability. The study further indicated that a weak relationship existed between some of the independent variables and profitability (except for debt ratio).

Jonsson, Bjarni (2007), attempted to examine the profitability performance of Icelandic firms in relation to their size with reference to fisheries and fish processing, banks and civil engineering consulting firms during the period 2000 to 2004. The researcher found that size had no statistically significant effect on profitability. The study further

revealed a weak inverse relationship between size and profitability for all the firms (except fisheries and fish processing in 2004).

## 2.3 Research Gap

While reviewing the past studies as stated above, it is observed that these studies are fraught with some limitations. These are ascribed to limited sample size and methodology used. In the matter of sample selection, some studies have considered sample from a few specific industries. Hence, the present study may be considered as first time attempt in the area covered under study.

## 3. Statement Of The Research Problem

Since 1991, several reform measures were introduced in India in the name of liberalization for growth and development of the economy. In the liberal economic scenario, the foreign players are allowed to operate in the Indian domestic market. This lead to a different economic environment in which, the Indian companies are to perform efficiently in order to survive in the face of this increasing competition.

In this backdrop, the present study is an attempt to examine the returns on shareholders equity of selected Indian industries in the liberal economic scenario.

## 4. Research Objectives

The main objective of the present study is to empirically examine the profit rate performance in terms of returns on shareholders equity of selected Indian industries during the pre-recession and post-recession phase. To attain this main objective, the following incidental objectives are sought to be achieved:

► To examine the trends in profit rate performance of the selected industries.





► To examine the profitability performance of the selected industries on the basis of "Return on Shareholders Equity/Funds (ROSE).

► To examine the dispersion in profit rate performance of the selected industries.

► To examine whether there exist significant differences in average profit rate performance between the sample companies within each selected industry.

► To compare the profit rate performance of each selected industry with the aggregate industry performance.

### 5. Research Hypothesis

In conformity with the above objectives, the following testable hypothesis have been formulated:

► Profit rate trends of the selected industries have a tendency to rise over time.

▶ Profit rate performance of the selected

companies within each industry has significant bearing on the aggregate industry performance.

► Profit rate performance of each selected industry is not moving with the aggregate industry performance.

## 6. Data, Sample And Methodology

## 6.1 Sample Design and Sample Selection

Based on the availability of data, sixteen industries have been selected covering both manufacturing sector and service sector. For each industry, three sample companies have been chosen on the basis of random sampling method. This leads to a total sample size of forty eight companies.

The list of selected industries and the sample companies falling within each industry is presented in Table – I below:

| able     | I: List of Selected Industries and the Sample Companies | within | each |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Industry |   |        |      |  |  |  |  |
|          |   |        |      |  |  |  |  |

| S.L. No. | Industries      | Sample Companies               |
|----------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
|          |                 | Larsen & Toubro Ltd.           |
| 1        | Infrastructure: | Nagarjuna Construction Co.Ltd. |
|          |                 | Hindusthan Construction Ltd.   |
|          | Auto and        | Tata Motors Ltd.               |
| 2        | Auto arta       | Hero Honda Motors Ltd.         |
|          | Ancilianes.     | Ashok Leyland Ltd.             |
|          |                 | Ultratech Cement Ltd.          |
| 3        | Cement:         | J.K. Cements Ltd.              |
|          |                 | J.K. Lakshmi Cement Ltd.       |
|          |                 | United Phosphorus Ltd.         |
| 4        | Chemicals:      | Philips Carbon Black Ltd.      |
|          |                 | Bombay Deying & Mgf. Co. Ltd.  |
|          |                 | Grasim Industries Ltd.         |
| 5        | Diversified:    | Voltas Ltd.                    |
|          |                 | Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd.         |





| S.L. No. | Industries   | Sample Companies                         |
|----------|--------------|--|
|          |              | Comptron Greaves Ltd.                    |
| 6        | Electronics: | Blue Star Ltd.                           |
|          |              | Whirlpool of India Ltd.                  |
|          |              | Tata Chemicals Ltd.                      |
| 7        | Fertilizers: | Chambal Fertilizers & Chemicals Ltd.     |
|          |              | Nagarjuna Fertilizers and Chemicals Ltd. |
|          | Fast Moving  | Nirma Ltd.                               |
| 8        | Consumer     | Britannia Industries Ltd.                |
|          | Goods:       | Dabur India Ltd.                         |
|          | Information  | Tech Mahindra Ltd.                       |
| 9        | Technology   | CMC Ltd.                                 |
|          | reennology.  | Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.           |
| 10       |              | Su-Raj Diamond & Jewelry Ltd.            |
|          | Jewelry:     | Gitanjali Gems Ltd.                      |
|          |              | Asian Star Co. Ltd.                      |
|          |              | Hindusthan Petroleum Corporation Ltd.    |
| 11       | Oil:         | Reliance Industries Ltd.                 |
|          |              | Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.              |
|          |              | Ballarpur Industries Ltd.                |
| 12       | Paper:       | Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Ltd.       |
|          |              | Andhra Pradesh Paper Mills Ltd.          |
|          |              | Tata Steel Ltd.                          |
| 13       | Steel:       | JSW Steel Ltd.                           |
|          |              | Jindal Stainless Ltd.                    |
|          |              | The Arvind Mills Ltd.                    |
| 14       | Textile:     | Alok Industries Ltd.                     |
|          |              | Raymond Ltd.                             |
|          |              | Container Corporation of India Ltd.      |
| 15       | Transport:   | Transport Corporation of India Ltd.      |
|          |              | ABG Shipyard Ltd.                        |
|          |              | MMTC Ltd.                                |
| 16       | Trading:     | Gail (India) Ltd.                        |
|          |              | Adani Enterprises Ltd.                   |

## 6.2 Study Period

The study period has been selected from the financial year 2004-05 to the financial year 2012-13. Thus, a span of 9 years for 48 sample companies gives a cross-sectional data set of 432 firm-year observations. Further, to assess the impact of recession on profitability, the entire study period

(2004-05 to 2012-13) has been sub-divided into two phases: (i) pre-recession phase (2004-05 to 2007-08) and (ii) post-recession phase (2008-09 to 2012-13).

#### 6.3 Data Source

For the present study, secondary data have





published annual reports of the sample companies.

## 6.4 Methodology

After collection of necessary data, these are suitably re-arranged, classified, tabulated, and computed as per requirements of the study. Necessary calculations have been done with the help of SPSS and Microsoft Excel software packages.

To examine the trends in profit rate performance of the selected industries, return on shareholders equity / funds i.e., [(Net Profit after Tax ÷ Capital Employed)  $\times$  100] has been used in the study, since it is a better indicator of long-term profitability. For this purpose, linear regression model has been applied which is shown below:

 $P = a + \beta \dagger + e$  -----------ea. (1)

Where: P = return on shareholders equity t = time a and b = parameters indicating intercept and co-efficient respectively

e = error term

The significance of beta coefficient has been tested by the popular 't' test. Further, to detect the problem of autocorrelation, Durbin-Watson d (D.W.) statistic is applied in the study. The

presence of autocorrelation (if any) is adjusted by the technique of first difference operator. The D.W. statistic is computed as follows:

$$d = \sum_{t=2}^{t=n} (\hat{U}_{t-1})^{2} \div \sum_{t=1}^{t=n} \hat{U}_{t}^{2} ----eq. (2)$$

The above ratio indicates the sum of squared differences in successive residuals to the RSS. As earlier stated, return on shareholders equity/funds is used to examine and analyze

been used which are collected mainly from the : the profitability performance of the selected industries. Furthermore, the dispersion in profit rate performance has been measured by coefficient of variation (C.V.) i.e., [(Standard Deviation ÷ Mean) × 100]. For this purpose, it has been arbitrarily divided into relatively stable (C.V. with value up to 25%), moderately fluctuating (C.V. lying between 25.1% and 50%), highly fluctuating (C.V. lying between 50.1% and 75%), and erratically fluctuating (C.V. above 75%) [Selvi and Vijaya, 2007].

> To examine whether there exist significant variations in the average profit rate performance between the sample companies within each selected industry, the technique of One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) has been applied in the study.

> Since ANOVA is a robust departure from normality, Kruskal-Wallis test (H Test) has also been employed in the study. The Kruskal Wallis test uses the  $\chi^2$  – test to test the null hypothesis. The test statistic is given by:

$$K = 12 \div [N(N+1)] \Sigma [R_j^2 \div n_j] - 3(N+1) --eq. (3)$$
  

$$j=1$$

Where:

 $R_i$  = sum of the ranks of the sample j  $n_i = size of the sample j$ j = 1, 2, 3, ...., k N = size of the pooled sample  $(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k)$ 

H follows  $\chi^2$  – distribution with (k-1) degrees of freedom.

Finally, to compare the profit rate performance of each industry with the total industry performance, Man-Whitney U-Test has been applied in the study. As the size of the second sample  $(n_{2})$  is more than 8 in our study, we have normal approximation to the given data.

The test statistic is shown below:





$$Z_{U} = (U - \mu U) \div \sigma_{U}$$
 -----eq. (4)

Where:

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{U} = (\mathsf{n}_1 \, \mathsf{n}_2) + [\{\mathsf{n}_1 \, (\mathsf{n}_1 + 1)\} \div 2] - \mathsf{R}_1 \\ & \mu \mathsf{U} = (\mathsf{n}_1 \, \mathsf{n}_2) \div 2 \\ & \sigma_{_{\sf U}} = [\{\mathsf{n}_1 \, \mathsf{n}_2 \, (\mathsf{n}_1 + \mathsf{n}_2 + 1)\} \div 12]^{1/2} \\ & \mathsf{R}_1 = \mathsf{Sum of the ranks of the observations of the} \\ & \text{first sample.} \end{split}$$

 $n_1$  and  $n_2$  = size of the first sample and second sample respectively.

## 7. Empirical Findings And Analysis

### 7.1 Profit Rate (i.e., Return on Shareholders Equity) Trends in Selected Industries

From Table-II, it is observed that there is no definite profit rate trends in the selected industries (except fast moving consumer goods, jewelry, and oil) as the results are found to be statistically

insignificant during the whole study period. Fast moving consumer goods and oil industry a declining trend in profitability, indicates while jewelry industry shows a strong tendency in profitability to increase over the time period which is statistically significant either at 1% or 5% level. In terms of sub-period performances (i.e., pre-recession phase and post-recession phase), majority of the industries show no definite trend in profitability. During the prerecession phase, industries like cement (0.08), chemicals (0.01), and electronics (0.10) indicate an increasing trend in profitability, while in the post-recession phase, only fertilizers (0.02) show an increasing trend of the same.Overall, profit rate performance (i.e., returns on shareholders equity) in majority of the selected industries did not rise over the time period, thereby leading to the rejection of the first hypothesis of the study.

#### Table – II : Trends in Profit Rate Performance (represented by Return on Shareholders Equity) of Selected Industries during 2004-05 to 2012-13

|                     | <b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>          |                                 |                 | b (be                          | eta co-effic                    | ient)                           | D.W.                           |                                 |                 |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Industry            | Pre<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Post<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Whole<br>Period | Pre<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Post<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Whole<br>Period                 | Pre<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Post<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Whole<br>Period |
| Infrastru-<br>cture | 0.70                           | 0.66                            | 0.36            | 0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(2.160)   | -0.02 <sup>88</sup><br>(-2.393) | -0.008 <sup>i</sup><br>(-1.981) | 2.07                           | 2.18                            | 1.33            |
| Auto &<br>Ancillary | 0.10                           | 0.41                            | 0.35            | -0.10 <sup>;</sup><br>(-0.460) | -0.22 <sup>i</sup><br>(-1.437)  | -0.11 <sup>;</sup><br>(-1.948)  | 2.91                           | 2.79                            | 2.62            |
| Cement              | 0.92                           | 0.17                            | 0.01            | 0.08 <sup>888</sup><br>(4.940) | -0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>(-0.781)  | 0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>(0.121)    | 3.27                           | 2.52                            | 1.20            |
| Chemicals           | 0.80                           | 0.29                            | 0.01            | 0.01 <sup>88</sup><br>(2.828)  | 0.03 <sup>i</sup><br>(1.103)    | 0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>(0.144)    | 2.62                           | 1.63                            | 2.48            |
| Diversified         | 0.97                           | 0.17                            | 0.01            | 0.10 <sup>888</sup><br>(7.542) | -0.04i<br>(-0.793)              | 0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(0.137)    | 3.40                           | 2.41                            | 1.77            |





|                                  | R <sup>2</sup>                 |                                 |                 | b (be                           | eta co-effic                    | cient)                          | D.W.                           |                                 |                 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Industry                         | Pre<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Post<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Whole<br>Period | Pre<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase  | Post<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Whole<br>Period                 | Pre<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Post<br>Rece-<br>ssion<br>Phase | Whole<br>Period |
| Electronics                      | 0.86                           | 0.77                            | 0.07            | 0.10 <sup>888</sup><br>(3.559)  | -0.08 <sup>88</sup><br>(-3.196) | 0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(0.728)    | 2.34                           | 2.75                            | 0.75            |
| Fertilizers                      | 0.69                           | 0.94                            | 0.26            | 0.03 <sup>i</sup><br>(2.099)    | 0.02 <sup>888</sup><br>(7.000)  | 0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(1.563)    | 2.14                           | 2.53                            | 2.63            |
| Fast Moving<br>Consumer<br>Goods | 0.67                           | 0.01                            | 0.47            | -0.28 <sup>;</sup><br>-2.017)   | -0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(-0.024)  | -0.09 <sup>88</sup><br>(-2.502) | 2.02                           | 1.83                            | 1.27            |
| Information<br>Technology        | 0.42                           | 0.01                            | 0.41            | 0.03 <sup>i</sup><br>(1.197)    | 0.01 <sup>†</sup><br>(0.162)    | 0.03 <sup>i</sup><br>(2.183)    | 3.37                           | 2.33                            | 2.26            |
| Jewelry                          | 1.00                           | 0.17                            | 0.67            | 0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(0.082)    | 0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(0.792)    | 0.01 <sup>888</sup><br>(3.743)  | 1.50                           | 2.13                            | 1.67            |
| Oil                              | 0.01                           | 0.01                            | 0.47            | -0.01 <sup>†</sup><br>-0.077)   | 0.01 <sup>†</sup><br>(0.002)    | -0.01 <sup>88</sup><br>(-2.500) | 3.21                           | 1.76                            | 2.72            |
| Paper                            | 0.60                           | 0.42                            | 0.44            | 0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(1.732)    | -0.02 <sup>i</sup><br>(-1.462)  | -0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>(-2.360)  | 2.23                           | 2.94                            | 2.23            |
| Steel                            | 0.95                           | 0.10                            | 0.01            | -0.03 <sup>888</sup><br>-5.966) | 0.02 <sup>i</sup><br>(0.573)    | 0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(0.077)    | 3.27                           | 2.26                            | 1.92            |
| Textile                          | 0.61                           | 0.30                            | 0.37            | -0.08 <sup>i</sup><br>(-1.755)  | 0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>(1.140)    | -0.02 <sup>i</sup><br>-2.027)   | 2.64                           | 2.70                            | 1.57            |
| Transport                        | 1.00                           | 0.03                            | 0.06            | -0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>-0.002)   | -0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>(-0.311)  | -0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>-0.664)   | 1.00                           | 1.95                            | 2.07            |
| Trading                          | 0.08                           | 0.23                            | 0.29            | -0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>(-0.411)  | -0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>(-0.933)  | -0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>-1.690)   | 3.07                           | 2.96                            | 2.97            |
| Whole<br>Industry                | 0.09                           | 0.29                            | 0.35            | -0.01 <sup>i</sup><br>-0.430)   | -0.02 <sup>i</sup><br>(-1.113)  | -0.01 <sup>;</sup><br>-1.953)   | 2.13                           | 2.82                            | 2.71            |

Key Notes: \*\*\* marked values indicate significant at 1% level (2-tailed); \*\* marked values indicate significant at 5% level (2-tailed); i marked values indicate insignificant; Figures in the brackets indicate 't' value; D.W. indicates Durbin – Watson d statistic.





Table –II further reveals that the value of 'b' assumes different values for different industries. This implies that profit rate performance of different industries moves at different rates over the period under study. However, profit rate performance for the whole industry is found to be statistically insignificant during the whole period as well as during the two sub-periods.

## 7.2 Profitability (i.e., Return on Shareholders Equity) Analysis of the Selected Industries

Profitability performance of the selected industries has been examined by Return on Shareholders Equity (ROSE), since it is a better indicator of long term measure of profitability.

From Table – III, it is observed that on the average, auto & ancillaries industry shows highest profit rate (103%), while chemicals and paper industry indicates lowest profit rate (5%) during the whole study period. On the average, the whole industry

reveals a profit rate of 24% during the entire study period. It is further observed from Table – III that out of 16 industries, only 4 industries i.e., auto & ancillaries (103%), diversified (30%), fast moving consumer goods (57%), and information technology (54%) have an average profit rate above the average profit rate of the whole industry during the entire period under study.

The sub-period analysis shows that out of 16 industries, the average profit rate performances in 10 industries (i.e., infrastructure, auto & ancillaries, cement, chemicals, fast moving consumer goods, oil, paper, textile, transport, and trading) are found to be higher in the pre-recession phase as compared to that of the post-recession phase. This implies that economic recession has negative impact on the generation of profit during the period under study. On the average, the whole industry also shows higher profit rate in the pre-recession phase in comparison to that of the post-recession phase.

#### Table – III : Profitability Performance (represented by Return on Shareholders Equity) of Selected Industries during 2004-05 to 2012-13

(Figures in %)

| Year →<br>Industry↓ | Pre         | Reces       | sion Ph     | nase        | Post-Recession Phase |             |             |             |             | Average                        |                                 |                 |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
|                     | 2004<br>-05 | 2005<br>-06 | 2006<br>-07 | 2007<br>-08 | 2008<br>-09          | 2009<br>-10 | 2010<br>-11 | 2011<br>-12 | 2012<br>-13 | Pre-<br>Recess<br>ion<br>Phase | Post-<br>Recess<br>ion<br>Phase | Whole<br>Period |
| Infrastr-<br>ucture | 14          | 13          | 15          | 18          | 13                   | 17          | 13          | 08          | 07          | 15                             | 12                              | 13              |
| Auto &<br>Ancillary | 146         | 145         | 64          | 141         | 86                   | 179         | 73          | 53          | 37          | 124                            | 86                              | 103             |
| Cement              | 04          | 06          | 20          | 25          | 14                   | 16          | 06          | 10          | 12          | 14                             | 12                              | 13              |
| Chemicals           | 05          | 06          | 06          | 09          | -12                  | 08          | 10          | 09          | 03          | 07                             | 04                              | 05              |
| Diversified         | 16          | 22          | 37          | 43          | 23                   | 55          | 34          | 17          | 22          | 30                             | 30                              | 30              |
| Electronics         | 05          | 11          | 15          | 36          | 36                   | 46          | 26          | 18          | 12          | 17                             | 28                              | 23              |





| Vegr                             | Pre-        | Reces       | sion Ph     | nase        | Post-Recession Phase |             |             |             |             | Average                        |                                 |                 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Industry↓                        | 2004<br>-05 | 2005<br>-06 | 2006<br>-07 | 2007<br>-08 | 2008<br>-09          | 2009<br>-10 | 2010<br>-11 | 2011<br>-12 | 2012<br>-13 | Pre-<br>Recess<br>ion<br>Phase | Post-<br>Recess<br>ion<br>Phase | Whole<br>Period |
| Fertilizers                      | 08          | 08          | 09          | 17          | 08                   | 10          | 12          | 12          | 14          | 11                             | 11                              | 11              |
| Fast Moving<br>Consumer<br>Goods | 142         | 67          | 46          | 57          | 48                   | 44          | 21          | 39          | 50          | 78                             | 40                              | 57              |
| Information<br>Technology        | 43          | 50          | 44          | 53          | 61                   | 63          | 57          | 45          | 73          | 48                             | 60                              | 54              |
| Jewelry                          | 03          | 04          | 05          | 06          | 06                   | 07          | 05          | 06          | 08          | 05                             | 06                              | 06              |
| Oil                              | 16          | 11          | 16          | 14          | 08                   | 11          | 12          | 09          | 09          | 14                             | 10                              | 12              |
| Paper                            | 06          | 07          | 07          | 07          | 06                   | 06          | 05          | -05         | 02          | 07                             | 03                              | 05              |
| Steel                            | 21          | 17          | 16          | 11          | 07                   | 14          | 19          | 33          | 08          | 16                             | 16                              | 16              |
| Textile                          | 31          | 04          | 09          | 03          | 01                   | -01         | 01          | 06          | 02          | 12                             | 02                              | 06              |
| Transport                        | 18          | 17          | 16          | 15          | 18                   | 18          | 12          | 15          | 18          | 17                             | 16                              | 16              |
| Trading                          | 12          | 19          | 11          | 12          | 12                   | 11          | 10          | 12          | 10          | 14                             | 11                              | 12              |
| Whole<br>Industry                | 31          | 25          | 21          | 29          | 21                   | 32          | 20          | 18          | 18          | 27                             | 22                              | 24              |

# 7.3 Dispersion in Profit Rate Performance (in terms of Return on Shareholders Equity) of the Selected Industries

The industry-wise dispersion in profit rate performance (measured by coefficient of variation) is shown in Table – IV below:

#### Table – IV : Dispersion in Profit Rate Performance (represented by Return on Shareholders Equity) of Selected Industries during 2004-05 to 2012-13

| Industry         | Pre-Recession<br>Phase<br>(2004-05 to<br>2007-08) | Post-Recession<br>Phase<br>(2008-09 to<br>2012-13) | Whole Period<br>(2004-05 to<br>2012-13) |  |
|------------------|---|--|---|--|
|                  | C.V. (%)  | C.V. (%)   | C.V. (%)                                |  |
| Infrastructure   | 13.33   | 33.33  | 30.77                                   |  |
| Auto & Ancillary | 32.26   | 63.95  | 48.54                                   |  |
| Cement           | 71.43   | 33.33  | 53.85                                   |  |





| Industry                   | Pre-Recession<br>Phase<br>(2004-05 to<br>2007-08) | Post-Recession<br>Phase<br>(2008-09 to<br>2012-13) | Whole Period<br>(2004-05 to<br>2012-13) |
|----------------------------|---|--|---|
|                            | C.V. (%)  | C.V. (%)   | C.V. (%)                                |
| Chemicals                  | 28.57   | 225.00   | 140.00                                  |
| Diversified                | 43.33   | 50.00  | 43.33                                   |
| Electronics                | 76.47   | 50.00  | 60.87                                   |
| Fertilizers                | 36.36   | 18.18  | 27.27                                   |
| Fast Moving Consumer Goods | 56.41   | 30.00  | 59.65                                   |
| Information Technology     | 10.42   | 16.67  | 18.52                                   |
| Jewelry                    | 20.00   | 16.67  | 33.33                                   |
| Oil                        | 14.29   | 20.00  | 25.00                                   |
| Paper                      | 14.29   | 166.67   | 80.00                                   |
| Steel                      | 25.00   | 68.75  | 50.00                                   |
| Textile                    | 108.33  | 150.00   | 166.67                                  |
| Transport                  | 5.88  | 18.75  | 12.50                                   |
| Trading                    | 28.57   | 9.09   | 25.00                                   |
| Whole Industry             | 14.81   | 27.27  | 25.00                                   |

The Coefficient of Variation (C.V.) indicates the extent of variation in actual values of profit rate of each industry from its mean value of the series. Accordingly, higher value of C.V. indicates higher fluctuation in profit rate series of the respective industries and vice versa.

From Table – IV, it is observed that transport industry with a C.V. of 12.50% reflects lowest variation in profit rates, while textile industry with a C.V. of 166.67% has experienced largerdispersion in profit rates over entire the study period. Almost, all the industries (except information technology, oil, transport, and trading) have experienced higher dispersion in profit rate series as compared to that of the whole industry. Table – IV further reveals that the rate of fluctuation in profit rate series has varied widely among the industries selected in the study.

During the whole study period, we have found

that 4 industries out of 16 industries (25%) have witnessed relatively stable performance in profit rate series during the period under study. These industries include information technology, oil, transport, and trading. 6 out of 16 industries (37.5%) have experienced moderate fluctuation in profit rate series. These industries are infrastructure, auto & ancillaries, diversified, fertilizers, jewelry, and steel. Again 3 out of 16 industries (18.75%) have registered high fluctuation in profit rate series. These industries comprises of cement, electronics, and fast moving consumer goods. The remaining 3 industries (i.e., chemicals, paper, and textile) indicate erratical fluctuation in profit rate series. The industry as whole shows relatively stable performance (25%) in profit rate series during the entire study period.

In terms of sub-period performances, majority of the industries (i.e., 10 out of 16 industries) and the industry as a whole have shown higher fluctua-





phase as compared to that of the pre-recession phase.

## 7.4 Variation in Average Profit Rate Performance (represented by Return on Shareholders Equity) between Sample Companies within each Selected Industries

To examine whether there exist significant variation in average profit rate performance between the sample companies within each

tion in profit rate series during the post-recession i industry selected in the study, the technique of One-Way ANOVA has been applied.

> From Table – V, it is evident that out of 16 industries, 11 industries i.e., infrastructure, auto & ancillaries, diversified, fertilizers, fast moving consumer goods, information technology, oil, paper, steel, transport, and trading show significant results. These results indicate that there exist significant differences in average profit rate between the sample companies within each industry. In the remaining 4 industries, the results are observed to be statistically insignificant.

| Table – V : One-Way Analysis of Variance | e (ANOVA) between Sample Companies |
|--|------------------------------------|
| within each Selected Industrie           | es during 2004-05 to 2012-13       |

| Industry         | try Source of Variation |       | D.F.  | Mean<br>Square | F-Ratio       |  |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|----------------|---------------|--|
|                  | Between Groups          | 0.36  | 2     | 0.180          | / 0 0 0 * * * |  |
| Intrastructure   | Within Groups 0.07 24   |       | 0.003 | 60.00          |               |  |
|                  | Between Groups          | 32.91 | 2     | 16.455         | 01 05***      |  |
| Auto & Anciliary | Within Groups           | 18.07 | 24    | 0.753          | 21.85***      |  |
| Comont           | Between Groups          | 0.04  | 2     | 0.020          | 2.22.5        |  |
| Cement           | Within Groups           | 0.15  | 24    | 0.006          | 3.331         |  |
| Chamical         | Between Groups          | 0.01  | 2     | 0.005          | 0.50 ;        |  |
| Chemicais        | Within Groups           | 0.24  | 24    | 0.010          | 0.501         |  |
| Diversifie d     | Between Groups          |       | 2     | 0.265          | / 07***       |  |
| Diversified      | Within Groups           | 0.91  | 24    | 0.038          | 6.97          |  |
| Electropics      | Between Groups          | 0.11  | 2     | 0.055          | 1 20 ;        |  |
|                  | Within Groups           | 0.95  | 24    | 0.040          | 1.001         |  |
| Fortilizoro      | Between Groups          | 0.18  | 2     | 0.090          | 20.00***      |  |
|                  | Within Groups           | 0.08  | 24    | 0.003          | 30.00         |  |





| Industry               | Source of Variation | Sum of<br>Squares | D.F. | Mean<br>Square | F-Ratio  |  |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|----------------|----------|--|
| Fast Moving Consumer   | Between Groups      | 3.53              | 2    | 1.765          | 6 76***  |  |
| Goods                  | Within Groups       | 6.27              | 24   | 0.261          | 0.70     |  |
| Information Technology | Between Groups      | 0.84              | 2    | 0.420          | 7.50***  |  |
|                        | Within Groups       | 1.34              | 24   | 0.056          | 7.00     |  |
|                        | Between Groups      | 0.00              | 2    | 0.000          | 0.00 i   |  |
| Jeweiry                | Within Groups       | 0.01              | 24   | 0.001          | 0.001    |  |
|                        | Between Groups      | 0.02              | 2    | 0.010          | 5 00**   |  |
|                        | Within Groups       | 0.04              | 24   | 0.002          | 5.00**   |  |
| Paper                  | Between Groups      | 0.04              | 2    | 0.020          | 20 00*** |  |
|                        | Within Groups       | 0.03              | 24   | 0.001          | 20.00    |  |
| Stool                  | Between Groups      | 0.62              | 2    | 0.310          | 1100***  |  |
|                        | Within Groups       | 0.52              | 24   | 0.022          | 14.07    |  |
| Toytilo                | Between Groups      | 0.02              | 2    | 0.010          | 0.24 i   |  |
|                        | Within Groups       | 0.70              | 24   | 0.029          | 0.341    |  |
| Transport              | Between Groups      | 0.10              | 2    | 0.050          | 1//7***  |  |
|                        | Within Groups       | 0.06              | 24   | 0.003          | 16.6/*** |  |
| Iradina                | Between Groups      | 0.09              | 2    | 0.045          | 15 00*** |  |
|                        | Within Groups       | 0.06              | 24   | 0.003          | 10.00    |  |

Key Notes: \*\*\* marked values indicate significant at 1% level, \*\* marked value indicates significant at 5% level, i marked values indicate insignificant

To overcome the precondition of normal distri- <sup>1</sup> These results (i.e., ANOVA and Kruskal Wallis test) bution in case of ANOVA, we have also applied Kruskal Wallis test. Table – VI reveals that there exist significant differences between the sample companies within each industry selected in the study. The results are significant at 1% level in all the industries.

indicate that profit rate performance in terms of returns on shareholders equity of the sample companies within each selected industry has significant bearing on the aggregate industry performance. This also leads to the acceptance of the second hypothesis of the study.





| Table – VI : Kruskal Wallis Test between |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample Companies within each             |  |  |  |  |
| Selected                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Industries during 2004-05 to 2012-13     |  |  |  |  |

| Industry                      | D.F. | Computed<br>Value of<br>Chi-Square |
|-------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| Infrastructure                | 02   | 48.48***                           |
| Auto & Ancillary              | 02   | 49.00***                           |
| Cement                        | 02   | 27.50***                           |
| Chemicals                     | 02   | 24.38***                           |
| Diversified                   | 02   | 42.14***                           |
| Electronics                   | 02   | 24.90***                           |
| Fertilizers                   | 02   | 49.93***                           |
| Fast Moving<br>Consumer Goods | 02   | 44.78***                           |
| Information<br>Technology 02  |      | 38.67***                           |
| Jewelry                       | 02   | 28.38***                           |
| Oil                           | 02   | 33.13***                           |

| Industry  | D.F. | Computed<br>Value of<br>Chi-Square |  |
|-----------|------|------------------------------------|--|
| Paper     | 02   | 32.30***                           |  |
| Steel     | 02   | 43.32***                           |  |
| Textile   | 02   | 15.08***                           |  |
| Transport | 02   | 42.24***                           |  |
| Trading   | 02   | 42.24***                           |  |

Key Notes: \*\*\* marked values indicate significant at 1% level

## 7.5Comparison of Profit Rate Performance (i.e., Returns on Shareholders Equity) of each Selected Industry with Aggregate Industry Performance

In this respect, Man-Whitney U-Test has been applied in order to compare the profit rate performance of each industry with that of the aggregate industry performance.

#### Table – VII : Man-Whitney U-Test for Comparison of Profit Rate Performance (represented by Returns on Shareholders Equity) of each Industry with Aggregate Industry Performance during 2004-05 to 2012-13

| Industry                   | Zu<br>Values | Results                                     |  |
|----------------------------|--------------|---|--|
| Infrastructure             | 3.49         | $\rm H_{o}$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed) |  |
| Auto & Ancillary           | -3.58        | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |
| Cement                     | 2.87         | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |
| Chemicals                  | 3.58         | $\rm H_{o}$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed) |  |
| Diversified                | -0.93        | H <sub>o</sub> accepted                     |  |
| Electronics                | 0.57         | H <sub>o</sub> accepted                     |  |
| Fertilizers                | 3.58         | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |
| Fast Moving Consumer Goods | -3.14        | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |
| Information Technology     | -3.58        | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |
| Jewelry                    | 3.58         | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |
| Oil                        | 3.58         | $H_{o}$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)     |  |
| Paper                      | 3.58         | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |





| Industry  | Zu<br>Values | Results                                     |  |
|-----------|--------------|---|--|
| Steel     | 2.25         | $ m H_{_0}$ rejected at 5% level (2-tailed) |  |
| Textile   | 2.92         | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |
| Transport | 3.22         | $H_{_0}$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)    |  |
| Trading   | 3.40         | $H_0$ rejected at 1% level (2-tailed)       |  |

From Table – VII, it is observed that all the industries (except diversified, and electronics) are not moving with the aggregate industry performance in terms of profit rate, thereby leading to the acceptance of the third hypothesis of our study. This is indicative of the fact that industry specific factors are strong enough in determining their individual performance.

### 8. Conclusions And Policy Implication

In relation to the main objective of the study, it may be concluded that profit rate performance (i.e., returns on shareholders equity) in majority of the selected industries shows no specific trend during the period under study. Overall, the profit rate performance in majority of the selected industries did not rise over the time period.

On the average, majority of the selected industries have shown higher profit rate in the pre-recession phase as compared to that of the post-recession phase, which implies that economic recession has negative impact on the returns of shareholders equity during the period under study. Only, 25% of the selected industries have experienced relatively stable profit rate performance, while the remaining industries have experienced either moderate or high or erratical fluctuations of the same during the whole study period. Moreover, 62.5% of the selected industries have shown higher fluctuation in profit rate during the post-recession phase as compared to that of the pre-recession phase.

The average profit rate performance has varied among the sample companies within each

industry, thereby having significant impact on aggregate industry performance. Finally, profit rate performance in most of the industries is not moving with the aggregate industry performance, which implies that industry specific factors are strong enough in determining their performance.

In view of the above findings, it is suggested that liberalization measures should be further strengthened so as to achieve better efficiency, productivity, and profitability for the selected industries in particular and other industries in general.

## 9. Limitations And Scope For Further Research

The study is based on secondary data i.e., published annual financial reports of the selected industries. So, it is subject to all the limitations that are inherent in secondary data. Apart from it, the study is limited to nine years only.

In spite of these limitations, further research may be undertaken by including more sample companies within each industry, longer time period and using other measures like return on assets, market value added etc.

#### References

Abdurahman, A. et. al. (2003): "Indicator Variables Model of Firm's Size-Profitability Relationship of Electrical Contractors using Financial and Economic Data", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 129, No.2.





Abu-Tapanjeh, A.M. (2006): "An Empirical Study of Firm Structure and Profitability Relationship – The Case of Jordan", *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, Vol.22, No.1, June Issue.

Chandra, Prasanna (2011): Financial Management – Theory and Practice, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, Tata McGraw Hill Education Private Limited, New Delhi.

Dasgupta, Debajyoti (2001): "Profitability of Indian Public Sector Banks in the light of Liberalization of Indian Economy – An Overview", *The Management Accountant*, June Issue.

Eljelly, Abuzar M.A. (2004): "Liquidity – Profitability Trade Off – An Empirical Investigation in an Emerging Market", International Journal of Commerce and Management, Vol.14, Issue 2.

Ghosh, Sudipta (2011): "Performance Evaluation through Cash Management", Indian Journal of Accounting, Vol. XLII (1), December Issue.

Ghosh, Sudipta (2012): "Profitability Impact Assessment in Selected Indian Central Public Sector Power Companies - Empirical Evidence on Aggregation", The Management Accountant, Vol.47 No 7, July Issue.

Jonsson, Bjarni (2007): "Does Size Matter? The Relationship between Size and Profitability of Icelandic Firms", *Timarit Um Felagsvisinde*, Haskolinn A Bifrost. Bifrost University, Vinnugrin (Working Paper).

Khan, M.Y. and Jain, P.K. (2011): Financial Management – Text and Problems, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, Tata McGraw – Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi.

Kothari, C.R. (2011): Research Methodology – Methods and Techniques, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Tata McGraw – Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi.

Mohanakumar, P.S. (1996): "Inter-Sec-

toral Differences in Profitability – A Case Study of Spinning Mills in Kerala", *The Management Accountant*, February Issue.

Misra, D.P. and Mishra, P.K. (2006): "Factors Influencing Profitability of OSWC: An Economic Analysis", The Management Accountant, December Issue.

Oberholzer, M. and Westhuizen, G. Van Der (2004): "An Empirical Study on Measuring Efficiency and Profitability of Bank Regions", Meditari Accountancy Research, Vol. 12, No.1.

Pandey, R. and Bandyopadhyaya, S. (2003): "Cost-Volume-Profit Analysis and Bank's Performance – A Case Study of Public Sector Banks", The Management Accountant, June Issue.

Panneerselvam, R. (2004): Research Methodology, Eastern Economy Edition, Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi.

Published Annual Reports of the Selected Companies from 2004-05 to 2012-13.

Rej, Debasis and Sur, Debasish (2001): "Profitability Analysis of Indian Food Products Industry - A Case Study of Cadbury India Ltd", *The Management Accountant*, November Issue.

Rajamohan, S. and Vijayaragavan, T. (2008): "Production Performance of Madras Cements Limited and that of All Cement Units in India – A Comparative Analysis", *The Management Accountant*, April Issue.

Selvi, A. Manor and Vijayakumar, A. (2007): "Structure of Profit Rates in Indian Automobile Industries – A Comparison", The Management Accountant, October Issue.

www.moneycontrol.com.





## Study of Balanced Scorecard as Performance Management System for Profit Maximization in Automobile, IT and Engineering Industry

## Abstract

As a researcher the need was felt to evaluate effectiveness of Balanced Scorecard in Indian Industry. The effectiveness we defined as profit maximization and counted by 8 Important Financial Indicators (IFIs). IFIs selected for the data analysis are also on random basis and not on selective basis. Therefore, we may conclude that Tata Motors (59.62%) and LMW (54.46%) are doing better than their competitors but not Infosys (34.50%) failed to show superiority over its competitors. We may conclude that both Tata Motors and LMW have managed to take advantage of market situation to do better with the help of Balanced Scorecard. The effectiveness of Balanced Scorecard was found to be less in IT and Software industry as compared with industries like Engineering and Automobiles.

## Keywords

Balanced Score Card, Performance Management, Profit Maximisation, Non Parametric Analysis (NPA) Ashok Joshi Ashish P Thatte

#### Introduction

**Performance Management:** Performance Management is nothing but managing the performance. In Other words PM is 'managing translation of plans into results i.e. execution' It is like an umbrella which includes methodologies, processes, tools, controls, systems etc.

**Balanced Scorecard:** A new approach to strategic management was developed in the early 1990's by Dr. Robert Kaplan (Harvard Business School) and David Norton. They named this system the 'Balanced Scorecard'. Recognizing some of the weaknesses and vagueness of previous management approaches, the balanced scorecard approach provides a clear prescription as to what companies should measure in order to 'Balance' the financial perspective.







## Fig. 1: Balanced Scorecard Framework

#### What are Strategy Maps?

Strategy maps are nothing but the flow of the balanced scorecard. In other words Strategy maps are built around the structure of these four perspectives.



#### Fig. 2 : A Simplified Strategy Map

To summarize, BSC is a concept of performance management system divided into four perspectives. These perspectives are aligned and drawn out of vision and mission of a company. Further these perspectives have to follow an order which is explained by strategy maps. These maps should explain cause and effect relationship.





#### Literature Review

Bible, Kerr and Zanini, (2006) has fairly given an idea about history of Balanced Scorecard. In the year 1990 Kaplan and Norton started working on a research project sponsored by KPMG. They worked on 12 companies to get the results and gradually published their findings. While their working with companies, KN were working with Anglog and they mentioned in their landmark article as NYSE listed Electronics Com-(Schneiderman, www.schneiderman. pany. com) claims that he has derived idea of Balanced Scorecard with the help of his Half Life Method. He has added many of his presentation during those days on his website.

Balanced scorecard is compared with elephant correct metrics will tell you where to feed. For correct measures 2 questions to be asked always, why measure? And what should be measured? (Lawton, 2002). Plenty of Literature can be found in multiple databases like Proquest, EBSCO etc.

## Approach

This paper is designed for analyzing financial statements of 3 industries where companies have been conferred hall of fame award. Infosys, LMW and Tata Motors are expected to do better than their industry competitors by virtue of winning this award. Companies having turnover above 50cr are selected for this analysis. To support the analysis, data and information related to implementation of their balanced scorecard is used.

## Important Financial Indicators

While doing analysis of data, researchers have preferred mixture of leading and lagging indicators as mentioned in Balanced Scorecard. Rather than using traditional financial indicators, we have used combination of leading and lagging indicators. Out of the list of indicators researchers has analyzed first 8 indicators with Non Parametric Tests and other 3 indicators only from List of Indicators is mentioned below

| Sr.<br>No. | Important Financial Indicator (IFI)                     | Reasoning  |  |
|------------|---|--|--|
| 1          | Net Block per Rupee of Employee Cost<br>(IFI 1)         | Money generated from business is invested in both tangible and intangible assets.    |  |
| 2          | Sales per Rupee of net Block (IFI 2)                    | Fewer investments and more profits.  |  |
| 3          | Operating profit per rupee of employee (IFI 3)          | Profits per rupee invested in employee.  |  |
| 4          | Operating Margin (IFI 4)                                | Higher the margin, higher profits.   |  |
| 5          | Net Margin (IFI 5)                                      | Higher the margin, higher profits  |  |
| 6          | Net Block per Rupee of Equity (IFI 6)                   | Money is invested in assets  |  |
| 7          | Operating profit per rupee of equity<br>(IFI 7)         | More profits are generated with same capital.  |  |
| 8          | Capital work in progress per rupee of equity (IFI 8)    | Some money may be invested in projects yet to yield profits.                         |  |
| 9          | 3 Years moving average of Sales (IFI 9)                 | Increasing sales is indicator of profit maximization. (Only Graphical Analysis)      |  |
| 10         | 3 Years moving average of Profit<br>Before Tax (IFI 10) | Profits are indicators of increased sales and lower costs. (Only Graphical Analysis) |  |

## Table 1: List of Important Financial Indicators





| Sr.<br>No. | Important Financial Indicator (IFI)             | Reasoning  |
|------------|---|--|
| 11         | 3 Years moving average of Net Block<br>(IFI 11) | Increasing net block shows wealth maximization.<br>(Only Graphical Analysis) |

### Profit Maximization

Profit maximization is a process by which companies determines price and output levels that generates greatest profit. Mostly when profit is generated it is invested back into the firm by some or other way. In other words, wealth creation is known to be beyond profit maximization and value addition. Wealth creation is nothing but making money and adding value. Companies in the process of survival and growth keep on creating wealth by having profit maximization in shorter run. The period we have covered in this research is relatively short (6-8 years). Hence, we are considering parameters of Profit Maximization and not of Wealth Creation. Also we are not considering any aspects of Value addition as it is difficult to draw parameters for the same.

## Industry Information And Balanced Scorecard

Let's try to analyze balanced scorecards and system implemented into these industries. For Infosys and Tata Motors lot of information is available. Researchers can use the same to understand the procedure behind such information.

## Automobile Industry And Tata Motors Balanced Scorecard

The industry consists of Commercial Vehicles, Passenger Cars, Two Wheelers, Three Wheelers, Tractors, Multi Utility Vehicles and Auto Components. Automobile Industry is considered to be an engine of economy. It is important not only because it has backward and forward impacts but it creates jobs in economy.

| Year | Cars Total<br>Production (Cr.) | India's<br>Rank | Commercial Vehicles<br>Total Production (Cr.) | India's Rank |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|--------------|
| 1999 | 3.97                           | 16              | 1.64  | 11           |
| 2000 | 4.12                           | 15              | 1.71  | 13           |
| 2001 | 3.98                           | 15              | 1.65  | 16           |
| 2002 | 4.13                           | 15              | 1.76  | 15           |
| 2003 | 4.20                           | 13              | 1.87  | 14           |
| 2004 | 4.46                           | 11              | 1.99  | 13           |
| 2005 | 4.69                           | 11              | 1.96  | 12           |
| 2006 | 4.99                           | 9               | 1.93  | 8            |
| 2007 | 5.32                           | 9               | 2.01  | 9            |
| 2008 | 5.27                           | 9               | 1.78  | 11           |
| 2009 | 4.78                           | 8               | 1.40  | 8            |

#### Table 2: World Wide Production of Cars and Commercial Vehicles

Source: OICA Statistics Committee




Growth drivers for this increase can be identified as follows; **a.** India has highest proportion of population below 35 years, which means more disposable income and trend towards small and medium cars is more. **b.** two wheeler segment is growing because of rapid urbanization and also demands from semi urban and rural sectors. **c**. The growth in tractor sector is linked with growth in agriculture. **d**. Growth of auto component is directly related with automobile industry.

Tata Motors, the first Company from India's engineering sector to be listed in the New York Stock Exchange (September 2004), has also emerged as an international automobile company. Through subsidiaries and associate companies, Tata Motors has operations in the UK, South Korea, Thailand and Spain. Among them is Jaguar Land Rover, a business comprising the two iconic British brands that was acquired in 2008. In 2006, Tata Motors entered into joint venture with Thonburi Automotive Assembly Plant Company of Thailand to manufacture and market the Company's pickup vehicles in Thailand.

## IT and Software Industry and Infosys Balanced Scorecard

IT and Software is known to be wheel for India's growth story in last decade. IT became one of the essential factor any company to keep in pace for all companies. When advanced economies relied on outsourcing to reduce their costs, IT made most of it by providing new technologies and support.

In nutshell we can say that there were many opportunities available for IT companies in last decade, analysis of Infosys performance will explain if they have managed to take advantage as compared to their competitors.

## Engineering Industry and Lakshmi Machine Works Balanced Scorecard

Industrial production (IIP) for August 2011 grew its slowest pace in 15 months at 4.1% as compared to 8.6% a year ago. But industry is bound to get momentum in coming quarters on account of introduction of various government reforms in the power and infrastructure. Even in the exports India enjoys technological advantage over developing countries. Almost 40% of exports are from small and medium scale industry. Segments like Machine Tools, Fluid Moving equipments, boilers, Textile Machinery Sector, Bearings and Material Handling Machines are set to grow in coming years. The growth rate of capital goods sector is also reflected in relative profitability of this sector. LMW is known to be a leader in various machine manufacturing and machine tools manufacturing. Information of such capacities and range of products can be envisaged from the following table:

# Table 3: Capacities of companies in Machine Manufacturing and Machine toolsmanufacturing

| Sr. No. | Name of the<br>Company                | Capacity per<br>Annum in terms of<br>spindles   | Range of Products                  |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 1.      | LMW Ltd.                              | 3.5 to 4.0 Millions<br>(Rs. 2500 Cr.<br>Approx) | Entire range of spinning machinery |
| 2.      | Kirloskar Toyota Textile<br>Machinery | 3.5 Lakhs (Rs. 100<br>Cr.)                      | Ring Frame, Compact Spinning       |





| 3. | Reiter India       | 1.5 Lakhs (Rs. 50<br>Cr.) | Ring Frame, Compact Spinning, Draw<br>Frame |
|----|--------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 4. | Truetzschler India | Rs. 200 Cr.               | Blow Room, Carding Draw Frame               |
| 5. | Zinser India       | Rs. 50 Cr.                | Speed Frame                                 |
| 6  | Veejay Lakshmi     | Rs 100 Cr                 | Auto Coner, two for one twister and         |
| 0. | Engineering        | K3. 100 Cl.               | other winding machines                      |

Source: Govt. Report on Strategy for Machine Manufacturing Industry 2011

LMW is one of the world's leading textile Growth Company. LMW bagged Balanced equipment manufacturers with more than 3500 Scorecard Hall of Fame Award for the year 2007. employees. LMW is ranked by S&P as one of the LMW selected phased implementation and eight emerging Indian Blue Chip companies went live on the first phase in 2003 just 10 months and, by Business Week as one of the Asia's Top i after the implementation began.

## **Graphical Representation**

Automobile Industry: Important Financial Indicator's graphical representation









| Year | Tata<br>Motors | Hero<br>Honda | Mahindra<br>and<br>Mahindra | Maruti<br>Suzuki | Ashok<br>Layland | Bajaj<br>Auto | Eicher<br>Motors |
|------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| CAGR | 24.67%         | 19.59%        | 18.49%                      | 13.24%           | 19.38%           | 17.52%        | 23.85%           |

## Table 4: CAGR of Net Sales

Source: Annual Reports

Discussion: 3 year moving average of sales i has made them in race for Balanced Scorecard represents growth during that period. Tata Motors Hall of Fame Award which was eventually given has registered highest CAGR over its competitors. to them in 2004. In same scenario of markets Especially beating Maruti Suzuki in the year 2004 onwards most likely due to higher sales of Car having 3 years moving average is phenomenal. Model 'Indica' Such performance of Tata Motors

and all other resources the achievement in sales

## Graph 2: Comparison with Key Competitors (IFI 10) 3 years Moving Average of PBT







## Table 5 : CAGR of Profit after Tax

| Year | Tata Motors | Hero<br>Honda | Mahindra<br>and<br>Mahindra | Maruti<br>Suzuki | Ashok<br>Layland | Bajaj<br>Auto | Eicher<br>Motors |
|------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| CAGR | 34.07%      | 20.10%        | 37.2%                       | 74.25%           | 21.34%           | 16.25%        | 30.36%           |

Source: Annual Reports

Discussion: The parameter has been chosen if it is reflection of increase in sales. However in specially to see Cost Management benefits of terms of CAGR Maruti has shown higher growth Balanced Scorecard. 3 year moving average as compared to other competitors. We can of profit after tax represents growth during conclude that Tata Motors is not that successful that period. Tata Motors has registered highest Profits in absolute numbers over its competitors.

as compared to competitors in enhancing their profits.

## Graph 3: Comparison with Key Competitors (IFI 11) 3 years Moving Average of Net Block







## Table 6 : CAGR of Net Block

| Year | Tata Motors | Hero<br>Honda | Mahindra<br>and<br>Mahindra | Maruti<br>Suzuki | Ashok<br>Layland | Bajaj<br>Auto | Eicher<br>Motors |
|------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| CAGR | 12.60%      | 16.95%        | 5.50%                       | 1.85%            | -1.86%           | -7.13%        | 17.08%           |

Source: Annual Reports

**Discussion:** Sometime adding profits is not mo- i and Net Assets are interrelated with each other. tive of companies but they want to convert it Tata Motors and Hero Honda have shown good into assets for more growth in future. CAGR was certainly a unit of measurement where companies having higher investment in assets can be in regular intervals whereas Company likes Hero evaluated. 3 year moving average of net blocks represents the reinvestment by company into assets who can reproduce products. Sales, Profits

growth but Eicher Motors has shown even better than them. Tata Motors has increased its assets Honda shown steady growth in Asset Investment Pattern.

## Software Industry: Important Financial Indicator's graphical representation









## Table 7 : CAGR of Net Sales

| Year | Infosys | HCL    | Wipro  | Wipro Mastek |       | Hexaware |
|------|---------|--------|--------|--------------|-------|----------|
| CAGR | 38.38%  | 38.37% | 30.04% | 33.97%       | 9.70% | 5.06%    |

#### Source: Annual Reports

Discussion: 3 year moving average of sales all other companies to take advantage of among IT software companies is clear distinguish i market conditions. In this case it seems to be between top 2 companies and others. However i correct preposition marginally. Under such cirin terms of CAGR HCL is equally competing Infosys. As we emphasis on Balanced Scorecard Hall of fame, we expect that companies using Scorecard must have performed better than

cumstances where market is decider, controlled experiments are difficult to achieve but all these parameters can be useful in forming opinion.

## Graph 5: Comparison with Key Competitors (IFI 10) 3 years Moving Average of PBT



Source: Annual Reports





## Table 8 : CAGR of Profit after Tax

| Year | Infosys | HCL    | Wipro  | Mastek | NIIT  | Hexaware |
|------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|----------|
| CAGR | 34.95%  | 20.87% | 28.18% | 27.53% | 0.15% | 16.95%   |

Source: Annual Reports

Discussion: While evaluating PBT performance i for improving sales but also to reduce or control which is usually also related to sales gives clear i costs. We may conclude that Infosys has done output where Infosys is far better than other this job effectively than its nearest competitors companies. We can conclude in this case that <sup>1</sup> within in given period of time. Infosys has done better in their Cost Management as well to maintain same rate of growth for PBT with their sales. Scorecard is used not only

## Graph 6: Comparison with Key Competitors (IFI 11) 3 years Moving Average of Net Block







## Table 9 : CAGR of Net Block

| Year | Infosys | HCL    | Wipro  | Mastek | NIIT   | Hexaware |
|------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| CAGR | 33.95%  | 42.99% | 27.65% | 29.30% | 11.07% | -13.40%  |

Source: Annual Reports

Discussion: While evaluating Net Block of various in nies are sometime interested in reinvesting in IT and Software companies it is seen that HCL assets to have future growth prospects. A clear has increased its assets quite steadily. However focus of companies like HCL, Infosys and Wipro looking at quantum Wipro and Infosys has also can be seen from the diagram. done that successfully. As seen earlier, compa-

## Engineering Industry: Important Financial Indicator's graphical representation

## Graph 7: Comparison with Key Competitors (IFI 9) 3 years Moving Average of Sales







## Table 10 : CAGR of Net Sales

| Year | LMW    | BHEL   | L&T    | ABB    | Cummins | Finolex | Alfa<br>Laval |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------------|
| CAGR | 24.94% | 17.08% | 10.09% | 25.51% | 14.97%  | 2.27%   | -3.61%        |

#### Source: Annual Reports

**Discussion:** Engineering is a very unique industry i amounts of sale sit can be easily established that where market is most captured by giants. Companies like BHEL, L&T and ABB are the leaders in market with having either government backing or existence since number of years. Unlike other 2 industries, here Balanced Scorecard implementing company is not Industry Leader. From in the given period of time.

L&T and BHEL are the leaders in this segment. Company like LMW is much below in terms of absolute sales to others. However, the growth recorded by LMW is also notable as compared with other companies. ABB is clearly ahead of all

## Graph 8 : Comparison with Key Competitors (IFI 10) 3 years Moving Average of PBT



Source: Annual Reports





## Table 11 : CAGR of Profit after Tax

| Year | LMW    | BHEL   | L&T    | ABB    | Cummins | Finolex | Alfa<br>Laval |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------------|
| CAGR | 48.45% | 33.34% | 26.83% | 35.36% | 16.33%  | -7.88%  | -4.43%        |

Source: Annual Reports

Discussion: As we have seen earlier, it is expect- i fectly in given period of time when we apply ed to convert sales into profits as well. Balanced CAGR as measure. Growth of 48.45% in given Scorecard with its inherent characteristics helps <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> period of time is phenomenal and can be seen companies in achieving profits by way of Cost as benefitted from its policies towards efficiency Savings. It seems that LMW has done this per-

## Graph 9: Comparison with Key Competitors (IFI 11) 3 years Moving Average of Net Block







## Table 12 : CAGR of Net Block

| Year | LMW    | BHEL   | L&T     | ABB            | Cummins | Finolex         | Alfa Laval |
|------|--------|--------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------------|------------|
| CAGR | 14.33% | -2.36% | -16.57% | 7.1 <b>4</b> % | 4.15%   | -1. <b>76</b> % | -11.16%    |

Source: Annual Reports

**Discussion:** It is a very interesting analysis of Net Block over a period of time in various companies in this sector. May be due to hive-offs, demergers or otherwise L&T has reduced its net blocks and created different other companies as per their specialization. LMW has again shown perfect investment pattern for the assets. Marginal fall in Net Block CAGR of companies like BHEL, Finolex and Alfa Laval shows difficult times faced by the Industry. Sometime reducing sizes of assets also helps companies to become slim and run faster in longer run. However in such period increase in net block by LMW has shown help from internal polices growth approach and visionary moves by companies which is possible due to tools like Balanced Scorecard.

## Non Parametric Analysis

While evaluating all the above data especially when we consider data of Profits etc. researchers were of the opinion to use Non Parametric Analysis (NPA) rather than Parametric Analysis. NPA helps in analyzing data where there are clear rankings but no numerical interpretation. We have chosen Important Financial Indicators 1 to 8 for this purpose. If we see idea of this study, we have compared each and every parameter (IFI) with company using Balanced Scorecard and not using Balanced Scorecard. Every company is different in size and different in operations may be from same industry but then equating them in one test was difficult using Parametric Tests. Getting perfect distribution of samples drawn was impossibility in this case. So the samples drawn from universe were more or less of independent samples in nature, though

effectiveness was to be tested in each case. The perfect method under NPA was Mann Whitney U Test to evaluate effectiveness of Balanced Scorecard using companies with companies not using them with given parameters.

Mann Whitney test is also known as Mann Whitney Wilcoxon (MWW) test. In NPA this test certainly has greater efficiency than t test on non normal distributions. Few important assumptions of MWW are as follows:

**a.** Observations are expected to be independent from each group

**b.** Observations are expected to create ranks with in the groups

**C.** In case of random selection, probability of one observation getting selected of one population, which exceeds observation from other population, must be equal to probability of getting observation selected from another population, which exceeds observation first population.

The results obtained from Tests are listed below;





| Important Financial<br>Indicators                       | Effectiveness of<br>BSC company on<br>no of occasions | Total number of<br>Companies | Success Rate (in<br>%) |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Net Block per Rupee of<br>Employee Cost (IFI 1)         | 5   | 13                           | 38.46                  |
| Sales per Rupee of net Block<br>(IFI 2)                 | 10  | 13                           | 76.92                  |
| Operating profit per rupee<br>of employee (IFI 3)       | 8   | 13                           | 61.54                  |
| Operating Margin (IFI 4)                                | 8   | 13                           | 61.54                  |
| Net Margin (IFI 5)                                      | 11  | 13                           | 84.62                  |
| Net Block per Rupee of<br>Equity (IFI 6)                | 5   | 13                           | 38.46                  |
| Operating profit per rupee<br>of equity (IFI 7)         | 8   | 13                           | 61.54                  |
| Capital work in progress per<br>rupee of equity (IFI 8) | 7   | 13                           | 53.85                  |
| Overall   | 62  | 104                          | 59.62                  |

Discussion: From the above results it can be seen i Non Parametric Tests performed on Important that effectiveness of company using balanced Financial Indicators are 59.62%. This is just overscorecard (Tata Motors) was highest in IFI 5 Net all performance of Tata Motors but for better Margin (84.62%) and Lowest at IFI 1 Net Block understanding individual Important Financial per Rupee of Employee Cost (38.46%). Total Indicators can be referred.

## Table 14 : Mann Whitney test results for Engineering Industry (LMW)

| Important Financial<br>Indicators               | Effectiveness of<br>BSC company on<br>no of occasions | Total number of<br>Companies | Success Rate (in %) |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Net Block per Rupee of<br>Employee Cost (IFI 1) | 4   | 14                           | 28.57               |
| Sales per Rupee of net Block<br>(IFI 2)         | 5   | 14                           | 35.71               |
| Operating profit per rupee of employee (IFI 3)  | 8   | 14                           | 57.14               |
| Operating Margin (IFI 4)                        | 6   | 14                           | 42.86               |





| Important Financial<br>Indicators                    | Effectiveness of<br>BSC company on<br>no of occasions | Total number of<br>Companies | Success Rate (in %) |
|--|---|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Net Margin (IFI 5)                                   | 10  | 14                           | 71.43               |
| Net Block per Rupee of<br>Equity (IFI 6)             | 7   | 14                           | 50.00               |
| Operating profit per rupee of equity (IFI 7)         | 11  | 14                           | 78.57               |
| Capital work in progress per rupee of equity (IFI 8) | 10  | 14                           | 71.43               |
| Overall  | 61  | 112                          | 54.46               |

Discussion: From the above results it can be seen [ (28.57%). Total Non Parametric Tests performed that effectiveness of company using balanced scorecard (LMW) was highest in IFI 7 Operating Profit per Rupee of Equity (78.57%) and Lowest at IFI 1 Net Block per Rupee of Employee Cost

on Important Financial Indicators are 54.46%. This is just overall performance of LMW but for better understanding individual Important Financial Indicators can be referred.

| Important Financial Indicators                          | Effectiveness of<br>BSC company on<br>no of occasions | Total number of<br>Companies | Success Rate<br>(in %) |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Net Block per Rupee of Employee<br>Cost (IFI 1)         | 7   | 25                           | 28.00                  |
| Sales per Rupee of net Block (IFI 2)                    | 9   | 25                           | 36.00                  |
| Operating profit per rupee of employee (IFI 3)          | 13  | 25                           | 52.00                  |
| Operating Margin (IFI 4)                                | 9   | 25                           | 36.00                  |
| Net Margin (IFI 5)                                      | 7   | 25                           | 28.00                  |
| Net Block per Rupee of Equity (IFI<br>6)                | 11  | 25                           | 44.00                  |
| Operating profit per rupee of equity (IFI 7)            | 5   | 25                           | 20.00                  |
| Capital work in progress per rupee<br>of equity (IFI 8) | 8   | 25                           | 32.00                  |
| Overall   | 69  | 200                          | 34.50                  |

Table 15 : Mann Whitney Test Results for Software Industry (Infosys)

**Discussion:** From the above results it can be seen that effectiveness of company using balanced scorecard (Infosys) was highest in IFI 3 Operating Profit per Rupee of Employee (52.00%) and Lowest at IFI 7 Operating Profit per Rupee of Eq-

uity Cost (20.00%). Total Non Parametric Tests performed on Important Financial Indicators are 34.50%. This is just overall performance of Infosys but for better understanding individual Important Financial Indicators can be referred





## Summary

Balanced Scorecard is known to be one of the 75 most influential business ideas in twentieth century. As a researcher the need was felt to evaluate effectiveness in Indian Industry. The effectiveness we defined as profit maximization and counted by 8 Important Financial Indicators (IFIs). As a researcher when we show profit maximization in any industry, it is expected to show 100% results. IFIs selected for the data analysis are also on random basis and not on selective basis. Therefore, we may conclude that Tata Motors (59.62%) and LMW (54.46%) are doing better than their competitors but not Infosys (34.50%) who failed to show considerable superiority over its competitors. We may conclude that both Tata Motors and LMW have managed to take advantage of market situation to do better with the help of Performance Management tool Balanced Scorecard. As along Infosys in spite of implementing of Balanced Scorecard has not done far better than its competitors. The effectiveness of Balanced Scorecard was found to be less in IT and Software industry as compared with industries like Engineering and Automobiles. Though, as a researcher we have to accept null hypothesis that 'there is no significant difference in profit maximization between companies implementing balanced scorecard and companies not implementing balanced scorecard'

For evaluating growth of Net Sales (IFI9), growth of Profit after Taxes (IFI10) and growth of Net Block (IFI11) results are shown by way of graph only. Tata Motors is better in terms of Sales, Infosys in Sales and Profit after Tax and LMW in profits as well as Investing Assets.

#### References

Anand, M., Sahay, B.S. and Saha, S. (2005) "Balanced Scorecard in Indian Companies", Vikalpa, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp 11-25 Bible, L., Kerr, S. and Zanini, M. (2006), "The Balanced Scorecard: Here and Back", Management Accounting Quarterly, Vol. 7, No. 4, summer 2006

Calandro Jr., J. and Lane, S. (2006), "Insights from Balanced Scorecard: An Introduction to Enterprise Risk Scorecard", Measuring Business Excellence, Vol. 10, No. 3, ISSN 1368-3047

Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D.P. (1992), The Balanced Scorecard: Measures that drive performance, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.

Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D.P. (1993), Putting the Balanced Scorecard to Work, Harvard Business Review, Vol. 71, No. 5, pp 134-142

Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D.P. (1996), The Balanced Scorecard Translating Strategy into Action, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.

Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D.P. (2004), Devising a Balanced Scorecard Matched to Business Strategy, Planning Review, Vol. 22, No. 5, pp 15-48

Kaplan, R.S. (2005),"How the Balanced Scorecard Compliments the McKinsey's 7s Framework", Strategy and Leadership, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp 41-46

Lawson, R., Stratton, W. and Hatch, T. (2006), "Strategic Focus- Does Your Scorecarding System Have It?", CMA Management, Oct 2006, Vol. 80, No. 6, pp 35-40

Lawton, R (2002), "Balance Your Balanced Scorecard" Quality Progress, March 2002, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp 66-70

Neely, A., Adams, C. and Kennerley, M.





(2002), "The Performance Prism: The Scorecard for Measuring and Managing Business Success", Financial Times-Prentice Hall, London

Neely, A., Kennerly M. and Martinez, V (2004), "Does the Balanced Scorecard Work: an Empirical Investigation", www.google.com downloaded on 29<sup>th</sup> Dec 2007

Niven, P. (2005), "Driving Focus and Alignment with Balanced Scorecard", Journal for Quality and Participation Association for Quality and Participation Organization, www. ebscohost.com

Sale, R. and Sale, M. (2005), "Lending Validity and Consistency to Performance Measurement", Management auditing Journal, Vol. 20, No. 8, pp 815-927

Schneiderman, A (2002), downloaded from www.schneiderman.com

Weiser, J. (2006), "Drive Strategy Execution through Strategy Focused Governance", Cost Management, July-August 2006

# **Mission Statement**

The CMA professionals would ethically drive enterprise globally by creating value to stakeholders in the socio-economic context through competencies drawn from the integration of strategy, management and accounting.

# Vision Statement

The Institute of Cost Accountants of India would be the preferred source of resources and professionals for the financial leadership of enterprises globally.

# About The Institute

The Institute of Cost Accountants of India (ICAI) is a statutory body set up under an Act of Parliament in the year 1959. The Institute as a part of its obligation, regulates the profession of Cost and Management Accountancy, enrols students for its courses, provides coaching facilities to the students, organises professional development programmes for the members and undertakes research programmes in the field of Cost and Management Accountancy. The Institute pursues the vision of cost competitiveness, cost management, efficient use of resources and structured approach to cost accounting as the key drivers of the profession. In today's world, the profession of conventional accounting and auditing has taken a back seat and cost and management accountants increasingly contributing towards the management of scarce resources like funds, land and apply strategic decisions. This has opened up further scope and tremendous opportunities for cost accountants in India and abroad.

After an amendment passed by Parliament of India, the Institute is now renamed as "The Institute of Cost Accountants of India" from "The Institute of Cost and Works Accountants of India". This step is aimed towards synergising with the global management accounting bodies, sharing the best practices and it will be useful to large number of transnational Indian companies operating from India and abroad to remain competitive.With the current emphasis on management of resources, the specialized knowledge of evaluating operating efficiency and strategic management the professionals are known as "Cost and Management Accountants (CMAs)". The Institution operates through four regional councils at Kolkata, Delhi, Mumbai and Chennai and 96 Chapters situated at important cities in the country as well as 9 Overseas Centre headquartered at Kolkata. It is under the administrative control of Ministry of CorporateAffairs, Government of India.

Our Institute apart from being a member of International Federation of Accountants (IFAC), South-Asian Federation of Accountants (SAFA), Confederation of Asian & Pacific Accountants (CAPA), National Advisory Committee on Accounting Standards (NACAS), and National Foundation for Corporate Governance (NFCG) is also a member of Government Accounting StandardsAdvisory Board (GASAB).

## Detailed Guidelines for Contribution in 'Research Bulletin'

► The Research Bulletin (ISSN No. 2230-9241) is an official research publication of The Institute of Cost ( Accountants of India.

▶ The authors must declare that the article is the result of their faithful work.

► The article should preferably be relating to the original research work carried out during the last five years and not being considered for publication in any other research publication or journal.

► The manuscript including figures, table & references should be preferably within 5000 words for Research Papers including an abstract, 2000 words for Case Studies and 1000 words for Book Reviews.

► Soft Copy of the full paper should be submitted in double space, 12 fonts, Times New Roman, keeping a margin of 1 inch in four sides, MS Word 2003 (.doc) format.

► The Cover Page should contain the title of the paper, author's name, designation, official address, contact phone numbers, e-mail address.

► An abstract of not more than 150 words should highlight the findings of the research work. It should be in clean, unambiguous and concise English. Abbreviations should be avoided in the abstract.

► Title should be short, specific and informative.

► The main text should not contain name of the author and footnotes. References should be given at the end of the manuscript and should contain only those cited in the text of the manuscript.

▶ 5-6 key words suitable for indexing should be given in the alphabetical order.

► Figures and tables should be numbered consecutively and should appear near the text where ( they are first cited. The figures should be accommodated within two thirds of A4 size paper. Captions ( of the tables/figures/charts at the top and sources at the bottom are to be given. The heading of sections and sub-sections should start from the left hand margin.

▶ Two hard copies and one soft copy (in MS Word format) of the manuscript are to be sent.

► The contributions sent for publication are referred for blind review. Correspondence and proofs for correction, if required, will be sent to the first named author unless otherwise indicated. Corrected proofs should be returned within the specified days as may be communicated from Editorial Desk.

► The final decision on the acceptance or otherwise of the paper rests with the Editorial Board and it depends entirely on its standard and relevance. The final draft may be subjected to editorial amendment to suit the bulletin's requirement.

► No reprints of the published article will be supplied to the authors. However the authors will get a copy of the bulletin free of cost immediately after the publication.

► The contributors will receive the structured honorarium fixed by the Institute.

► The copy rights of the articles published in the bulletin lie with The Institute of Cost Accountants of India.

All comunications are to be sent at research.bulletin@icmai.in.



The Institute of Cost Accountants of India (Statutory Body under an Act of Parliament) www.icmai.in



## Research Bulletin, Vol. 41(ISSN 2230 9241)Call for Research Papers/Articles

We invite you to contribute research paper/ article for "Research Bulletin", a peer-reviewed Quarterly Journal of The Institute of Cost Accountants of India. The aim of this bulletin is to share innovative achievements and practical experiences from diverse domains of management, from researchers, practitioners, academicians and professionals. This bulletin is dedicated to publish high quality research papers providing meaningful insights into the management content both in Indian as well as global context.

Research Bulletin will be henceforth a Quarterly Publication of the Institute. The next issue will be published in April, 2015.\*

# **Guidelines to submit full Paper**

 Soft Copy of the full paper should be submitted in double space, 12 font size, Times New Roman, keeping a margin of 1 inch in four sides, MS Word 2003 (.doc) format.

- Each paper should be around 15 typed pages and preferably within 5000 words including all.
- An abstract of not more than 150 words should be attached.
- The cover page should contain the title of the paper, author's name, designation, official address, contact phone numbers, e-mail address.

# **Theme Topic: Capital Market**

Papers are invited on the following topics, but not limited to:

- Regulation of Market Structure
- Financial Derivatives
- Interest Rate Futures
- Governance of Capital Markets
- Risk Management
- SME Trading through SME Exchange
- Emerging Issues in Capital Market

Papers must be received within **2nd March**, **2015** in the following email id: **research.bulletin@icmai.in** 



## THE INSTITUTE OF COST ACCOUNTANTS OF INDIA

(Statutory body under an Act of Parliament)

www. icmai.in

#### HEADQUARTERS

CMA BHAWAN 12, Sudder Street, Kolkata-700 016 Tel: +91-33-22521031/1034/1035/1492/1602/1619/7373/7143 Fax: +91-33-22527993, 1026, 1723

#### **DELHI OFFICE**

CMA BHAWAN 3, Institutional Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi – 110 003 Tel: +91-11-24622156/57/58 Fax: +91-11-43583642

#### EDITORIAL OFFICE

CMA Bhawan, 4th Floor 84, Harish Mukherjee Road, Kolkata - 700 025 Tel: +91-33-24540086/87/0184 Fax: +91-33-24540063

Behind Every Successful Business Decision, there is always a CMA