

(Statutory Body under an Act of Parliament) CMA Bhawan, 3 Institutional Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003 Phone : +91-11-24622156-57-58

Website: www.icmai.in

The Board of Discipline u/s 21A The Institute of Cost Accountants of India

In the matter of:- Complaint No Com/21-CA(59)/2017	
CMA Prashant S Vaze (M/28236) Comp	olainant
V/s	
CMA Amit Shahane (M/26078)Response	ondent

ORDER

Dated 12/06/2020

- A complaint dated 27/11/2017, in Form- I was filed under Section 21 of the Cost Accountants Act, 1959, ("the Act") read with Sub- Rule (1) of Rule 3 of the Cost and Works Accountants (Procedure of Investigation of Professional and Other Misconduct and Conduct of Cases) Rules, 2007, ("the Rules), by Shri Prashant S Vaze (hereinafter referred to as 'the Complainant'), against Shri Amit Shahane (M/26078), (hereinafter referred to as 'the Respondent).
- 2. The Complainant inter-alia stated/alleged that:-

Amit Shahane was Secretary and member of the Managing Committee of Pune Chapter for the period 2015 to 2017. CMA Amit Shahane along with some members met Hon. Union Finance Minister Shri Arun Jaitley during a function at Pune on 17th October 2015 and requested him to look into some matters of importance to Professional CMAs. A memorandum signed by CMA Neeraj Joshi, CMA Amit Shahane, CMA Dr. Sanjay Bhargave, CMA D V Joshi, CMA N K Nimkar, as representatives of ICAI Pune Chapter was handed over to Hon. Minister. Chapter Bye-Law 16(4) of 2013 clearly states that the Managing Committee or any member of Chapter shall at no time make any direct or indirect communication with the Central Government or any of the State Government or any Statutory Authority unless the same is specifically authorized by the Council in writing. Above Act of CMA Amit Shahane was a clear violation the Chapter Bye Laws 16(4) of the Cost Accountant's Chapter Bye Laws, 2013 which were in force on 17th October 2015. This act of CMA Amit Shahane is perfect case of professional misconduct under CWA Act & Rules, Regulations. This is a clear case of professional and other misconduct under – Second Schedule Part II, Professional misconduct in relation to members of Institute generally, clause (I)

In support of the complaint, the complainant had annexed the following evidences:

E



(Statutory Body under an Act of Parliament) CMA Bhawan, 3 Institutional Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003 Phone : +91-11-24622156-57-58

Website: www.icmai.in

Annexure A: Email from CMA Anant Dhavale, the then Chairman Pune Chapter dated 17th October 2015 which clearly mention about the communication with the Central Government Minister and handing over of signed memorandum to the Union Finance minister by the above mentioned representative of Pune Chapter.

Annexure B:- Copy of the memorandum signed by above mentioned members submitted to Union Finance Minister.

- 3. The respondent was intimated vide letter dated 07th December, 2017 to send response to the complaint through a written statement in his defence within 21 days from the date of service of the letter.
- 4. The respondent vide his letter dated 02ndJanuary, 2018 denied the allegations made against him and stated inter alia as below:
 - i) The complaint filed by CMA Prashant Vaze is totally frivolous, is under mistake of facts and mistake of Law and based on personal grounds and enmity.
 - ii) The instance quoted by the complainant CMA Prashant Vaze dates back to October 2015 whereas CMA Vaze has lodged the complaint in November, 2017 i.e. after a gap of more than 2 years.
 - iii) It is very common that Union Ministers, State Ministers, Government Authorities attend various seminars and functions arranged by Chapters in the capacity of Chief Guest of Honour. Often representations are submitted to them by Chapters. These representations mainly contain the details of Institute, Strengths of CMA profession and request to enhance statutory recognition to CMA profession. If the act of meeting Hon. Finance Minister and signing the representation submitted to him is a professional misconduct then action need to be taken against every member, RCM and CCM and members of the chapters.
 - iv) He had attended the programme organized by Pune Unit of Bharatiya Janata Party "Interaction with Hon. Shri Arun Jaitley" Union Minister of Finance, on the request / advice of CMA Amit Apte, Central Council Member. The Chairman ICAI-Pune Chapter in consultation with the seniors and as per the request and guidance of CMA Amit A. Apte, Central Council Member had prepared the Memorandum which was signed by him along with other senior members of the profession which included a former President and former Central Council Member of our Institute. (Photocopy of letter dated 29 December 2017 from CMA Amit Apte, Central Council Member is attached herewith)
 - v) The contents of the Memorandum are fully supportive to the members of our Institute. As per his knowledge and understanding all Chapters, Regions, Head Quarters and the elected representatives at Chapter level, Regional level and Central Council Level are making such kind of representations to the Dignitaries in the interest of the profession. When such advice is received from sitting Central Council Member,

k



(Statutory Body under an Act of Parliament) CMA Bhawan, 3 Institutional Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003 Phone : +91-11-24622156-57-58

Website: www.icmai.in

as an elected representative he is duty bound to act and accordingly the actions were taken by him.

- vi) Considering the above facts and the fact that he was acting as per the request of CMA Amit Apte, Central Council Member there is no contravention of Chapter Bye- Law 16(4) of 2013 as alleged by the Complainant.
- The Respondent further stated that in view of the above, in the instant case, there is no
 professional or other misconduct. He had not contravened any provision of the CWA Act or
 Regulation and therefore the complaint filed by CMA Shri Prashant Vaze needs to be dismissed.

Under the circumstances he requested to dismiss the complaint filed by CMA Shri. Prashant Vaze and refrain initiating any proceedings against him. He also requested to grant him an opportunity of personal hearing before the case is finally decided. He reserves his right to add/amend/alter/amplify/ delete any one or more grounds stated here-in-before.

- 6. The written statement of the respondent was sent to the complainant vide letter dated on 09th January, 2018 for sending rejoinder on the same.
- 7. In rejoinder dated 25th February, 2018 Shri Prashant S. Vaze had submitted inter alia that:-
- i) In his reply CMA Amit Shahane has mentioned that he has met the Union Finance Minister because CCM CMA Amit Apte has requested him to represent the Institute as CCM CMA Amit Apte was out of town. Thus he himself has agreed to the fact that he has contravened the Chapter Bye-Law 16(4) of 2013 (In force at that point of time) which clearly states that the managing Committee or any member of Chapter shall at no time make any direct or indirect communication with the Central Government or any of the State Government or any Statutory Authority unless the same is specifically authorized by the Council in writing.
- ii) It should be noted that request by CCM CMA Amit Apte has no locus standi as such, because Chapter Bye Law 16(4) of 2013 clearly states that the Managing Committee or any member of Chapter shall at no time make any direct or indirect communication with the Central Government or any of the State Government or any Statutory Authority unless the same is specifically authorized by the Council in writing. CCM CMA Amit Apte should have produced the Authorization in writing by the Council along with the letter. Hence this becomes a clear cut case of violation of Chapter Bye Laws. This has become more serious because Past Central Council member of our Institute has been involved in this violation.
- iii) In view of the above, he requested the Disciplinary Directorate to take action against CMA Amit Shahane for violating Chapter Bye Law 16 (4) of 2013, as per the existing provisions of the CWA Act and Regulations.
- 8. The Director (Discipline) vide his prima-facie opinion dated 17/08/2019 stated that on perusal of the Complaint, written statement and rejoinder of both the parties, Director (Discipline) observed that although the action of the respondent was not as per the Chapter Bye Laws but it is very common that Union Ministers, State Ministers, Government Authorities attend

E



(Statutory Body under an Act of Parliament) CMA Bhawan, 3 Institutional Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003 Phone : +91-11-24622156-57-58

Website: www.icmai.in

various seminars and functions arranged by Chapters in the capacity of Chief Guest of Honour. Often representations are submitted to them by Chapters. These representations mainly contain the details of Institute, Strengths of CMA profession and request to enhance statutory recognition to CMA profession. In this case also the letter submitted to hon'ble minister Shri AunJaitley was indicative of various important issues concerning the profession on which efforts are being made by the Council, Regional Councils, Chapters etc. and which are in the interest of the profession. A brief note on the role of Cost Accountants in various tax laws and other areas and scope of CMA profession was handed over to Hon. Minister. Further, it contains the areas where cost accountants can help the exchequers and society at large. The contents of the Memorandum are fully supportive to the CMA profession. The note does not contain any specific area which will result in personal gain to the signatories to the note. Hence held the Respondent prima facie "Not Guilty" of professional or other misconduct under any of the items of First and/or Second Schedule to the Act.

9. The Board of Discipline perused that though the action of the respondent was in good faith and for the interest of the profession and as per the advice of the Central Council Member, who was also Executive Committee Member of the Institute, it is not desirable that the Managing Committee or any member of the Chapter make any direct or indirect communication with the Central Govt. or any Statutory Authority unless the same is specifically authorized by the Council (Presently President) in writing. The matter was discussed at length and after discussion, the Board decided that the respondent is to be cautioned.

Accordingly, the complaint stands disposed off.