

Paper - 6

Sl. No.	Question Number	Comment
1	1 – 4	1. Handwriting, Language and Conception clarity need to be improved 2. Need to understand the technique of answering questions – mere knowledge will not suffice 3. Read the study material thoroughly 4. Refer to guideline answers for application and improvement in presentation.
2	1 – 4	1. Students do not seem to have basic knowledge. 2. Generic answers were given with out covering any specific points.
3	1 – 4	1. The candidate should try to understand the question. 2. Students must try to go through Bare Act copies. 3
4	1 – 4	1. Many have not given correct answer. The students are advised to read the study material. 2. Overall performance of students is very poor because of lack of ability to analyse the case studies / Provisions of Law. 3. Students are advised to go through the Bare Act / Provisions of Law, Illustrations / Examples given in the Act itself and keep updated of latest amendments / new Legislations.
5	1 – 4	Majority seems to be under prepared – needs more professional guidance.
6	1 – 4	Lack of proper knowledge.
7	1 – 4	Study material examples should be read by the students.
8	1 – 4	1. So many examinees not able to comprehend what the questions say. 2. Presentation is also very poor.
9	1 – 4	Deficiency of citing practical examples.
10	1 – 4	1. Students may be advised not to write or repeat the question in their answer. 2. May be advised to provide their answer in limited words in given space. 3. Answer should be given in accordance with respective marks.

Paper - 6

Sl. No.	Question Number	Comment
11	1 – 4	Answer should be to the point, written in legible handwriting and grammatically correct.
12	1 – 4	1. Most of the student knows the definition but lacks in technical terms e.g in money laundering Act – Placement – Layering – Integration. 2. More in depth study required in Co's Act particularly – issues relating to stakeholders interest, issue of shares, Transparency, RTI etc.
13	1 – 4	The answer should be neat and precise and pertinent and direct without any ambiguity. Sentence should free of grammatical errors and spelling mistake is to avoided as far as possible.
14	1 – 4	1. Students failed to understand the question rather answered based on hunching. Student should read text book thoroughly and give precise answer. 2. Poor performance shows examinees were not prepared for the examination. Spelling mistake, wrong citation of case laws and sections does not bear marks.
15	1 – 4	1. Lack of systematic and logical approach. 2. Vague answer. 3. Fail to understand the basic requirement of each question.
16	1 – 4	Level of preparation and proper understanding of the relevant provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 must be improved. Awareness of the latest amendment of the relevant provisions of the Act is required to be improved. Improvements on the logical presentation of the answer are required.
17	1 – 4	Due to lack of preparation students have no command on subject. Students should quit shortcut method of study and apply thorough and in depth method of study
18	1 – 4	1. Thorough and in depth study is necessary. 2. Improve handwriting. 3. Presentation should be legible and systematic. 4. Careful reading & understanding the question. 5. Avoid repetition of same points.
19	1 – 4	1. Answer should be based on legal provisions & relevant Act. 2. Should give 'up to the point' answer. 3. Understand the question's requirement before answering.