Paper – 10: Cost & Management Accountancy

Time Allowed: 3 Hours Full Marks:100

QUESTION 1, which is compulsory.

Section-A has three questions, Attempt any two.

Section-B has three questions, Attempt any two.

Section-C has four questions, Attempt any three.

(Working Notes should form part of the answer.)

Question.1

(a) ABC Ltd. Company has Fixed cost of ₹ 90,000, Sales ₹ 3,00,000 and Profit of ₹60,000. Calculate the Sales Volume if in the next period, the ABC Ltd. Company suffered a loss of ₹20,000.
[2]

Answer:

Let, sales volume when the company suffered loss of ₹20,000 = ₹ m Now, Profit Volume Ratio = Change in Profit / Change in Sales

Or,
$$50\% = \frac{-₹20,000-₹60,000}{₹3,00,000-₹m}$$

= ₹1,40,000

(b) Distinguish between Absolute ton-kms and Commercial ton-kms.

[2]

Answer:

Absolute ton-kms is standard unit of measuring absolute units. Absolute (weighted average) units are calculated by the total of tone-kms (or quintal-kms, tone-mile etc), arrived by multiplying the distance with the respective weight carried.

Absolute tone-km = Distance x Respective weight

Commercial ton-kms is standard unit of measuring Commercial units. Commercial (simple average) units are calculated by multiplying average weight carried with the total distance travelled.

Commercial tone-km = Average weight x Total distance

(c) A television Company manufactures several components in batches.

The following data relate to one component:

Annual demand	32,000 units
Set up cost/batch	₹120
Annual rate of interest	12%
Cost of production per unit	₹16

Calculate the Economic Batch Quantity (EBQ).

[2]

Answer:

E.B.Q=
$$\sqrt{\frac{2AS}{C}}$$

Where, A= Annual demand,

S=Set up cost per batch,

C=carrying cost per unit per year,

E.B.Q=
$$\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 32,000 \times 120}{16 \times 0.12}}$$

=2,000 units

(d) The cost per unit of a product manufactured in a factory of ZENION LTD. amounts to ₹160 (75% variable) when production is 10,000 units. If the production increases by 25% what would be the cost of production per unit? [2]

Answer:

Variable Cost per unit = ₹160 x 0.75 = ₹120
Fixed Cost per unit = (160 - 120) = ₹40
Total fixed Cost = 10,000 x 40 = ₹4,00,000
Total Cost per unit when production is 12,500 units (10,000 x 1.25)
=120 +
$$\frac{4,00,000}{12,500}$$

= 120 + 32
= ₹152

(e) Write down the two features of Non-Integrated Accounting System.

[2]

Answer:

The features of Non-integrated Accounting System are as follows:

- (i) Cost Accounting restrict itself to record only those transactions which relate to the product or service
- (ii) Cost Ledger Control Account is maintained in the financial books and a General Ledger Adjustment Account is maintained in costing books.
- (f) ABC LTD. is a 100% EOU as per the policy announced under the Foreign Trade Policy but is not registered under the provisions of Foreign Trade Policy. Will this company be exempted from mandatory Cost Audit? [2]

Answer:

The exemption for mandatory cost audit is applicable to those 100% EOU, who are registered under the policy document as per the foreign trade policy and the 100% EOU is functioning within the permissible approved limits as per the foreign trade policy. In the instant case, ABC LTD. is a 100% EOU as per the policy announced under the Foreign Trade Policy but is not registered under the provisions of Foreign Trade Policy. Hence, ABC LTD. is not exempted from mandatory Cost Audit.

(g) A Company is covered under the Companies (Cost Accounting Records) Rules, 2011. But some of its products are not covered under Cost Audit. Does such Company need to file Compliance Report? [2]

Answer:

Every company covered under Companies (Cost Accounting Record) Rules, 2011 is required to file a compliance Report, irrespective of whether all or any of its products are covered under Cost Audit. Thus the compliance Report shall include Product groups covered under Cost Audit as well as Product groups not covered under Cost Audit. In the instant case, such Company needs to file Compliance Report.

(h) What are the conditions for price discrimination?

[2]

Answer:

The price discrimination is possible if the following conditions are satisfied.

- More than one Market: There must be two or more than two separate markets otherwise the price discrimination is not possible. Different markets must be essential for charging different prices from different persons.
- **Different elasticity:** The elasticity of demand in each market must be different. It means that if one market is less elastic than the other it should be elastic. If the elasticity of demand is equal in all markets there will be no scope for price discrimination.
- (i) Given $C = x^3 10x^2 + 5x$; $R = 8x^2 + 11x 4$. Find the total profit and hence marginal profit.

Answer:

$$C = x^{3} - 10x^{2} + 5x$$

$$R = 8x^{2} + 11x - 4$$
Total Profit = R - C
$$= 8x^{2} + 11x - 4 - x^{3} + 10x^{2} - 5x$$

$$= -x^{3} + 18x^{2} + 6x - 4$$

$$= -(x^{3} - 18x^{2} - 6x + 4) \text{ (Say P)}$$
Marginal Profit $\frac{dp}{dx} = (3x^{2} - 36x - 6)$

(j) Show that elasticity of demand = $\frac{AR}{AR - MR}$, where AR and MR are average and marginal revenue respectively at any output. [2]

Answer:

Total Revenue, (Say R) = px,
$$AR = \frac{R}{X} = \frac{px}{x} = p$$

 $MR = \frac{d}{dx}(R) = \frac{d}{dx}(R) = p + x \frac{dp}{dx}$
Now, $\frac{AR}{AR - MR} = \frac{p}{p - p - x} \frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{p}{-x} \frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{p \frac{dp}{dx}}{-x} = \frac{dp}{dx} = -\frac{dp}{dx} \times \frac{p}{x} = |E_p| \text{ (proved)}$

SECTION A Answer any two questions from this section.

Question.2 (a)

(i) Sintex Ltd. has prepared its expense budget for 20,000 units in its factory for the year 2014 as detailed below:

	₹ per unit	
Direct Materials	45	
Direct Labour	20	
Variable overhead	15	
Direct Expenses	6	
Selling Expenses (20% fixed)	15	
Factory Expenses (100% fixed)	7	
Administration Expenses (100% fixed)	4	

Distribution Expenses (85% variable)	12
Total	₹124

Prepare Flexible budget for the production of 14,000 units and 18,000 units.

[8]

Answer:

In the books of Sintex Ltd. Flexible Budget

	Production		
Particulars	14,000 units	18,000 units	
	₹	₹	
Direct material @ ₹45 per unit	6,30,000	8,10,000	
Direct Labour @ ₹20 per unit	2,80,000	3,60,000	
Direct Expenses @ ₹6 per unit	84,000	1,08,000	
Variable Overhead @ ₹15 per unit	2,10,000	2,70,000	
Selling Expenses:			
Fixed: (₹15 × 20,000 units × 20%)	60,000	60,000	
Variable: (₹15 × 20,000 units × 80%) ÷ 20,000	1,68,000	2,16,000	
units = ₹12 per unit			
Factory Expenses (100% Fixed)			
Fixed: (₹7 × 20,000 units)	1,40,000	1,40,000	
Administration Exp. (100% Fixed)			
Fixed: (₹4 × 20,000 units)	80,000	80,000	
Distribution Expenses			
Fixed: (₹12 × 20,000 × 15%)	36,000	36,000	
Variable: (₹12 × 20,000 × 85%) ÷ 20,000 units =	1,42,800	1,83,600	
₹10.20 per unit			
Total Cost	18,30,800	22,63,600	

(ii) Difference between Job Costing and Process Costing. Answer:

[4]

The main points of difference between job Costing and Process Costing are as follows:

	Job Costing	Process Costing	
1.	Each job is carried out against specific order.	Process costing has continuous flow.	
2.		Costs are collected and ascertained for each process separately.	
3.	Costs are calculated only on completion of job	Process costs are calculated at the end of each period.	
4.	There may or may not be any work in progress.	There is always some WIP because of continuous nature of production.	

(iii) An amount of ₹19,80,000 was incurred on a contract work upto 31.03.2014. Certificates have been received to date to the value of ₹24,00,000 against which ₹21,60,000 has been received in cash. The cost of work done but not certified amounted to ₹45,000. It is estimated that by spending an additional amount of ₹1,20,000 (including provision for contingencies) the work can be completed in all respects in another two months. The agreed contract price of the work is ₹25 lakhs. Compute a conservative estimate of the

profit to be taken to the profit & Loss Account. Illustrate four method of computing the profit.

Answer:

CALCULATION OF NOTIONAL PROFIT

Work Certified	₹24,00,000
Work Uncertified	₹45,000
	₹24,45,000
Less: Total expenditure upto date	₹19,80,000
	₹4,65,000

COMPUTATION OF ESTIMATED TOTAL PROFIT (N.P)

Expenditure incurred upto 31st March, 2014	₹19,80,000
Estimated additional expenditure	1,20,000
(including provision for contingencies)	
Estimated total cost (A)	21,00,000
Contract price (B)	25,00,000
Estimated total profit (B-A)	4,00,000

COMPUTATION OF CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE OF THE PROFIT TO BE TAKEN TO PROFIT & LOSS ACCOUNT:

(i) EstimatedProfit×
$$\frac{\text{Value of w orkcertified}}{\text{Contract price}} \times \frac{\text{Cash received}}{\text{Value Certified}}$$
= 4,00,000× $\frac{24,00,000}{25,00,000} \times \frac{21,60,000}{24,00,000}$
=₹3,45,600 Or,

(iv)

$$= \frac{2}{3} \times \text{NotionalProfit} \times \frac{\text{Cashreceived}}{\text{WorkCertified}}$$

$$= 2/3 \times 4,65,000 \times \frac{21,60,000}{24,00,000}$$

=₹2,79,000

Question.2 (b)

(i) The following are the figures relating to a factory for two successive years:

	Year I (₹)	Year II (₹)
Sales	10,00,000	16,80,000
Marginal Cost of Sales	6,00,000	8,00,000
Contribution	4,00,000	8,80,000

During Year II, the selling price increased by 20% and the company implemented a cost reduction programme very aggressively. You are required to analyse the increase in contribution due to:

- (i) Increase in selling price
- (ii) Increase in sales volume
- (iii) Reduction in cost

[3+3+3]

Answer:

Increase in Contribution = ₹8,80,000 – ₹4,00,000 = ₹4,80,000

Calculation of P/V Ratio:

P/V Ration = Contribution / Sales

Year I: P/V Ratio =
$$\frac{4,00,000}{10,00,000}$$
= 40%

Year II: P/V Ratio = $\frac{8,80,000}{16,80,000}$
= 52.38%

It is assumed that the no. of units sold are 1,00,000. Selling Price = 10,00,000 / 1,00,000
= ₹10

Increase in selling price by 20% in year II

Therefore, selling price in the year II = ₹12

No. of units in Year II = 16,80,000 / 12

= 1,40,000 units

(i) Increase in Contribution due to increase in Selling Price

The increase in selling price will lead to the increase in contribution. Selling price has increase by 20% and the contribution has increased by 120%. This means for every 1% increase in the selling price the contribution will increase by 6%. The increase in the selling price was directly related to the increase in the contribution. Change in the selling price will not affect in the production thereby the change in the variable cost, as both are not related activities in the production.

(ii) Increase in Contribution due to increase in Sales Volume

In the given situation, the increase in the sales volume (from I year to II year) resulted in increase in the contribution to some extent. The amount of sales is increased as the no. of units sold has been increased. By this, the amount of contribution is also increased. The increase in the sales volume was directly related to the increase in the contribution. There is no relation between the sales volume and production run.

(iii) Increase in Contribution due to reduction in cost

Since the company has implemented a cost reduction programme, the cost of production per unit will be automatically reduced and there by contribution per unit will go up. In the absence of the data as to quantitative details, we cannot attribute whether the increase in contribution is resulted due to increase in quantity of goods sold or due to implementation of cost reduction programme. However, if the quantum of increase in sales units is less than 40% of the number of units, then, we conclude that the increase in contribution is due to implementation of the cost reduction programme to that extent.

(ii) A factory has a key resource (bottleneck) of Facility X which is available for 31,300 minutes per week. Budgeted factory costs and data on two products, A and B, are shown below:

Product	Selling price/Units	Material cost/Unit	Time in Facility X
Α	₹40	₹20.00	5 minutes
В	₹40	₹17.50	10 minutes

Budgeted factory cost per week:

	₹
Direct labour	25,000
Indirect labour	12,500
Power	1,750
Depreciation	22,500
Space Costs	8,000
Engineering	3,500
Administration	5,000

Actual production during the last week is 4,750 units of product A and 650 units of product B. Actual factory cost was ₹78,250.

Calculate:

- (i) Total factory costs (TFC)
- (ii) Cost per factory minute
- (iii) Return per factory minute for both products
- (iv) TA ratios for both product
- (v) Throughput cost per the week
- (vi) Efficiency ratio

 $[1^{1}/_{2}+1^{1}/_{2}+3+2+1^{1}/_{2}+1^{1}/_{2}]$

Answer:

- (i) Total factory cost= Total of all costs except materials. = ₹25,000+₹12,500+₹1,750+₹22,500+₹8,000+₹3,500+₹5,000 =₹78,250
- (ii) Cost per Factory Minute=Total Factory Cost÷ Minutes available
 = ₹78,250÷ 31,300
 = ₹2.50

(iii)

- (a) Return per bottleneck minute for the product A=

 | Selling Price Materia Cost |
 | Minutesin bottleneck |
 | (40-20)/5 |
 | ₹4
- (b) Return per bottleneck minute for the product B= Selling price – MateriaCost
 Minutesin bottleneck
 = (40-17.5)/10
 = ₹2.25
- (iv) Throughput Accounting (TA) Ratio for the product A= Returnper Minute

 = (4/2.5)
 =₹1.6

Based on the review of the TA ratios relating to two products, it is apparent that if we only made product B, the enterprise would suffer a loss, as its TA ratio is less than 1. Advantage will be achieved, when product A is made.

- (v) Standard minutes of throughput for the week:
 - $= [4,750 \times 5] + [650 \times 10]$
 - = 23,750+6,500
 - =30,250 minutes

Throughput Cost per week:

- =30,250×₹2.5 per minutes
- **=₹**75,625
- (vi) Efficiency % = (Throughput Cost/ Actual TFC) %
 - = (₹75,625/₹78,250) ×100
 - =96.6%

The bottleneck resource of facility A is advisable for 31,300 minutes per week but produced only 30,250 standard minutes. This could be due to:

- The process of a 'wandering' bottleneck causing facility A to be underutilized.
- Inefficiency in facility A.

Question.2 (c)

(i) A company manufactures one main product (A) and two by-products X and Y. For the month of April 2014, following details are available:

Total Cost up to Separation point ₹2,20,000.

_	Α	Х	Υ
Cost after separation	-	₹35,000	₹24,000
No. of units produced	4,000	1,800	3,000
Selling price per unit	₹100	₹40	₹30
Estimated net profit as percentage to sales value	-	20%	30%
Estimated selling expenses as percentage to sales value	20%	15%	15%

There is no beginning or closing inventories.

Prepare statement showing:

- (i) Allocation of joint cost; and
- (ii) Product wise and overall profitability of the company for April 2014.

[5+5]

Answer:

(i) Allocation of Joint Cost:

Particulars	By Product X (₹)	By Product Y (₹)
Sales Value	72,000	90,000
Less: Estimated net profit on sales value A= 20%; B= 30%	14,400	27,000
Estimated total cost	57,600	63,000
Less: Estimated selling expenses on sales value A= 15%; B= 15%	10,800	13,500
Estimated cost before separation	46,800	49,500
Less: Cost after separation	35,000	24,000

Estimated total cost up to separation point	11,800	25,500
---	--------	--------

Total cost up to separation point of main process		₹2,20,000
Less: Cost up to separation point (as shown	A= ₹11,800	
above)	B= ₹25,500	₹37,300
Cost up to separation point Main Product A		₹1,82,700

(ii) Product wise and overall profitability of the Company:

Comparative Profit and Loss Statement

Particulars	Total (₹)	Main Product	By Product	By Product
		A (₹)	X (₹)	Y (₹)
Sales value	5,62,000	4,00,000	72,000	90,000
Less: Cost				
Up to separation point	2,20,000	1,82,700	11,800	25,500
After separation point	59,000	-	35,000	24,000
Total costs	2,79,000	1,82,700	46,800	49,500
Gross profit (Sale – Cost)	2,83,000	2,17,300	25,200	40,500
Less: Selling expenses	1,04,300	80,000	10,800	13,500
Net Profit	1,78,700	1,37,300	14,400	27,000

(ii) ESKAY LTD. operates a system of standard costing throughout its division. The company Produces an alloy by mixing and processing three materials P, Q and R as per standard data given below:

Materials	Ratio of Input	Cost per kg (₹)
P	2	40
Q	2	60
R	1	85

Note: Loss during processing is 5% of input and this has no realizable value. During the month of June, 2014, 5,80,000 kg of finished alloy was obtained from inputs as per details given below:

Materials	Quantity Consumed (kg)	Cost per kg (₹)
P	2,40,000	38
Q	2,50,000	59
R	1,10,000	88

You are required to calculate the following variances:

- (i) Material Cost Variance;
- (ii) Material Price Variance;
- (iii) Material Mix Variance;
- (iv) Material Yield Variance;
- (v) Material Usage Variance.

[2x5=10]

Answer:

ESKAYLTD

Working: Standard Cost of Finished Alloy

Material	Ratio	Quantity(Kg)	Cost/kg (₹)	Total (₹)
Р	2	2	40	80
Q	2	2	60	120
R	1	1	85	85

Total Input	5	285
(Less) 5% Loss in process	(0.25)	
Net output	4.75 Kg	(₹) 285

Standard cost per kg of output = 285/4.75 = ₹60

COMPUTATION OF VARIANCES:

(i) Total Material Cost Variance: [Standard cost of actual output -Actual mat. Cosproduction] = 5,80,000 x ₹60 - [P:2,40,000 x ₹38 + Q:2,50,000 x ₹59 + R: 1,10,000 x ₹88] = ₹34,80,000 -₹33,55,0000 = ₹12,50,000 (FAV) (ii) Material Price Variance: [(Std Price -Actual Price) x Actual Quantity Consumed] = P: ₹(40 -38) x 2,40,000 + Q: ₹(60 -59) x 2,50,000 + R: ₹(85 -88) x 1,10,000 = P: ₹4,80,000 (fav) + Q: ₹2,50,000 (fav) + R: ₹3,30,000 (adv) = ₹4,00,000 (FAV) (iii) Material Mix Variance: [(Input in std proportion -Actual input) x std cost (price input) = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60 + R: (1,20,000 -1,10,0 x ₹85) = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	of
= 5,80,000 x ₹60 - [P:2,40,000 x ₹38 + Q:2,50,000 x ₹59 + R: 1,10,000 x ₹88] = ₹34,80,0000 - ₹33,55,0000 = ₹12,50,000 (FAV) (ii) Material Price Variance : [(Std Price - Actual Price) x Actual Quantity Consumed] = P: ₹(40 - 38) x 2,40,000 + Q: ₹(60 - 59) x 2,50,000 + R: ₹(85 - 88) x 1,10,000 = P: ₹4,80,000 (fav) + Q: ₹2,50,000 (fav) + R: ₹3,30,000 (adv) = ₹4,00,000 (FAV) (iii) Material Mix Variance: [(Input in std proportion - Actual input) x std cost (price input] = P: (2,40,000 - 2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 - 2,50,000) x ₹60 + R: (1,20,000 - 1,10,0 x ₹85) = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield = 5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
 =₹34,80,0000 -₹33,55,0000 =₹12,50,000 (FAV) (ii) Material Price Variance :[(Std Price -Actual Price) x Actual Quantity Consumed] = P:₹(40 -38) x 2,40,000 + Q:₹(60 -59) x 2,50,000 + R:₹(85 -88) x 1,10,000 = P:₹4,80,000 (fav) + Q:₹2,50,000 (fav) + R:₹3,30,000 (adv) = ₹4,00,000 (FAV) (iii) Material Mix Variance:[(Input in std proportion -Actual input) x std cost (price input) = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60+ R: (1,20,000 -1,10,0 x ₹85 = P:₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R:₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV) 	
 = ₹12,50,000 (FAV) (ii) Material Price Variance: [(Std Price -Actual Price) x Actual Quantity Consumed] = P: ₹(40 -38) x 2,40,000 + Q: ₹(60 -59) x 2,50,000 + R: ₹(85 -88) x 1,10,000 = P: ₹4,80,000 (fav) + Q: ₹2,50,000 (fav) + R: ₹3,30,000 (adv) = ₹4,00,000 (FAV) (iii) Material Mix Variance: [(Input in std proportion -Actual input) x std cost (price input] = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60 + R: (1,20,000 -1,10,0 x ₹85 = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV) 	
 (ii) Material Price Variance: [(Std Price -Actual Price) x Actual Quantity Consumed] = P: ₹(40-38) x 2,40,000 + Q: ₹(60-59) x 2,50,000 + R: ₹(85-88) x 1,10,000 = P: ₹4,80,000 (fav) + Q: ₹2,50,000 (fav) + R: ₹3,30,000 (adv) = ₹4,00,000 (FAV) (iii) Material Mix Variance: [(Input in std proportion -Actual input) x std cost (price input] = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60+ R: (1,20,000 -1,10,00 x ₹85 = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield = 5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV) 	
 = P: ₹(40 -38) x 2,40,000 + Q: ₹(60 -59) x 2,50,000 + R: ₹(85 -88) x 1,10,000 = P: ₹4,80,000 (fav) + Q: ₹2,50,000 (fav) + R: ₹3,30,000 (adv) = ₹4,00,000 (FAV) (iii) Material Mix Variance:[(Input in std proportion -Actual input) x std cost (price input] = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60 + R: (1,20,000 -1,10,00 x ₹85 = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV) 	
 = P: ₹4,80,000 (fav) + Q: ₹2,50,000 (fav) + R: ₹3,30,000 (adv) = ₹4,00,000 (FAV) (iii) Material Mix Variance:[(Input in std proportion -Actual input) x std cost (price input] = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60+ R: (1,20,000 -1,10,0 x ₹85) = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV) 	
 = ₹4,00,000 (FAV) (iii) Material Mix Variance:[(Input in std proportion -Actual input) x std cost (price input] = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60+ R: (1,20,000 -1,10,0 x ₹85 = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV) 	
 (iii) Material Mix Variance:[(Input in std proportion -Actual input) x std cost (price input) = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60+ R: (1,20,000 -1,10,00 x ₹85 = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV) 	
input] = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60+ R: (1,20,000 -1,10,0 x ₹85 = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
 = P: (2,40,000 -2,40,000) x ₹40 + Q; (2,40,000 -2,50,000) x ₹60+ R: (1,20,000 -1,10,0 x ₹85) = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV) 	of
x ₹85 = P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
= P: ₹0 + Q: ₹6,00,000 (adv) + R: ₹8,50,000 (FAV) = ₹2,50,000 (FAV) (iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV))()(
(iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
(iv) Material Yield Variance: ₹6,00,000 (FAV)Output basis: Std input for actual yield =5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
=5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
=5,80,000 kg ÷ 0.95 = 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
= 6,10,526.3158 kg (Less) actual input (6,00,000.0000 kg) Saving in input: 10526.3 kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
kg Cost saved @ ₹285 / 5 = ₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 = ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
=₹57: 10526.3158 x 57 =₹6,00,000 (FAV)	58
= ₹6,00,000 (FAV)	
· · ·	
I Falle and add to 3	
[alternately]	
Input basis: Std yield for actual input 6,00,000 x 0.95	
= 5,70,000 kg Actual yield 5,80,000 kg Excess yield obtained Material cost wher	tO:
@ ₹60 Yield Variance: 10,000 x 60	
= ₹6,00,000 (F)	
(v) Material Usage Variance: [Std cost of actual output -Std cost of actual quar	ity
consumed]	
= 5,80,000 x ₹60 -[P: 2,40,000 x ₹40 + Q: 2,50,000 x ₹60+ R: 1,10,000 x ₹85]	
= ₹34,80,0000 -33,95,0000	
= 8,50,000(FAV)	

Section B

Answer any two questions from this section.

Question.3 (a)

(i) Write a note on flow chart.

[4]

Answer.

Flow chart is a diagrammatic representation of flow of information with help of documents. It is useful to record the 'decisions' at various levels, posting of data and

recording of transactions on documents. Flow chart is used to indicate the analysis of systems and documents detailed as under:

- The number of copies of each document.
- Movement of each document through different departments, sequence of such movements, and final destination of every copy.
- The operations like giving approval, putting initial, done on the documents along with brief description and reason for the same.

Thus flow chart helps us in following ways:

- Identifies the division of responsibilities in different departments.
- Locates the source document whose error may have far reaching consequences;
- Points out the occurrence of clerical error at each stage;
- Provides a bird's eye view of the system and acts as efficient documentation for the auditors;
- It is the most efficient tool for doing actual analysis.

However details are sometimes omitted from a flow chart and as a result it may not give the desired result. Failure to standardize the symbols and incomplete nature of chart are also responsible for not having the desired results.

(ii) Sufficient details should be available in Cost Records, on Packing Materials. Explain.

[4]

Answer:

In addition to details on Raw Materials and other inputs the Cost Records must also show

- Quantity and Cost of packing materials e.g., tins, cartons, gunny bags, other materials etc.
- Details of wastages, spoilage, rejections and losses of packing materials, with reasons thereof. Scrap value of wastage, if any, should be suitably adjusted in respect of normal and abnormal losses.
- Wages and other expenses incurred in packing of products both product-wise and size-wise.
- Proper allocation of packing expenses for various products, i.e., those which are covered by the Rules and other products.

Question.3 (b)

(i) As a Cost Auditor, describe different measures to rectify imbalance in production facilities. [5]

Answer.

Different measures for rectifying imbalances in production facilities could be listed as below:

- Outsource/ sub-contract outside the company that part of the job, which is restricting the production.
- Introduce shift working among the operatives.
- Replacing entire existing plant by a new automatic plant, in case there is consistent imbalance in the production facilities.
- Idle equipment should be sold so that entire attention can be focused on the critical equipment.
- Install balancing equipment with higher output potential.

(ii) A company is exporting 80% of its sales and 20% is domestic sale. Can this company be exempted from the mandatory cost audit? [3]

Answer.

The exemption from mandatory cost audit is available only to those 100% EOUs who are registered under the policy document as per the Foreign Trade Policy and which are functioning within the permissible approved limit as per the said Policy. The DTA (Domestic Tariff Area) sales should not exceed the permissible limits as per the policy in force.

If the percentage of domestic sales is within the DTA limit, the company will be exempted from mandatory cost audit. It may be noted that if DTA sales for any year exceeds the permissible limits, then the exemption from cost audit available to the unit shall be withdrawn and the unit will be subjected to cost audit in accordance with the provisions of applicable rules/orders starting with the year in which exemption stood withdrawn and for every subsequent year thereafter.

Question.3 (c)

"It is not possible to merge Cost Audit with Financial Audit to have a Composite Audit."

Discuss.

[8]

Answer:

Even though there are considerable areas of overlapping between cost and financial records, a composite audit requirement between the two is not feasible on the following arounds:

- Different information systems It is difficult to collect the accounting information required for cost ad financial audit purposes, in a single format.
- Objective of audit The main objective of financial audit is to express an opinion on the truth and fairness of the information contained in the financial statements. But the main objective of cost audit is to verify the cost statements and see whether a true and fair cost of production and of marketing has been worked out.
- Focus of audit Cost Audit focuses on review of information in respect of each cost element in detail. Hence, the focus of audit and review of information is much different from that of financial audit.
- Classification of accounting data Financial Accounts present data under the natural accounting heads. However, Cost Records present information based on product lines and cost-centres.
- Confidentiality The Financial Audit Report is too general and is made public as per the requirements of the Companies Act, 1956. The Cost Auditor Report may contain certain information which the Company considers confidential.
- Applicability The maintenance of Cost Accounting Records by all types of industries may also not be practicable. At present, small-scale industrial undertakings are exempted from maintaining Cost Accounting Records, even of they belong to industry which is required to maintain Cost Records.
- Toll of management Cost Audit can be considered as tool of internal management by a Company to operate effectively in a competitive environment by disclosing weaknesses in a cost accounting system and disclosing inefficiencies at all levels of organization. On the other hand, Financial Audit can give a picture of the overall results only.
- Extensive nature The Cost Auditor does not have to state only whether the Cost Statements reflect a true and fair view, but has to go much beyond and express his opinion also on propriety and efficiency aspects.

Section C Answer any three questions from this section.

Question.4 (a)

Why does demand curve slopes downward? How many methods can be used to measure the Elasticity of Demand? [7+1]

Answer:

Demand curve slopes downward from left to right (Negative Slope).

There are many causes for downward sloping of demand curve:-

- Law of Diminishing Marginal utility As the consumer buys more and more of the commodity, the marginal utility of the additional units falls. Therefore the consumer is willing to pay only lower prices for additional units. If the price is higher, he will restrict its consumption.
- Principle of Equi-Marginal Utility Consumer will arrange his purchases in such a way that the marginal utility is equal in all his purchases. If it is not equal, they will alter their purchases till the marginal utility is equal.
- Income effect When the price of the commodity falls, the real income of the consumer will increase. He will spend this increased income either to buy additional quantity of the same commodity or other commodity.
- Substitution effect When the price of tea falls, it becomes cheaper. Therefore the consumer will substitute this commodity for coffee. This leads to an increase in demand for tea.
- Different uses of a commodity Some commodities have several uses. If the price of the commodity is high, its use will be restricted only for important purpose. For e.g. when the price of tomato is high, it will be used only for cooking purpose. When it is cheaper, it will be used for preparing jam, pickle etc...
- Psychology of people Psychologically people buy more of a commodity when its price falls. In other word it can be termed as price effect.
- Tendency of human beings to satisfy unsatisfied wants.

The elasticity of demand can be measured by using three methods.

Question.4 (b)

(i) Explain going rate pricing.

[5]

Answer.

A method of pricing adopted by small firms – which are price followers – is known as going rate pricing. Under this system, a firm sets its price according to the general pricing structure in the industry or according to the price set by the price leader. In a sense, each firm has "monopoly" power over its produce and it can, if it chooses, fix a monopoly price and face all the consequences of monopoly. In practice, however, it prefers the easier and more practical method of choosing price going in the market. It will change its price only when other firms do the same. Such a price policy is useful and safe to a firm under certain circumstances. For instance, the firm may not have an accurate idea of its costs or it may like to play safe and not provoke the larger firm to go for cut-throat competition. Besides, it is difficult for each firm to calculate the full implication of change in costs and prices and it is much better to follow the same pattern of pricing adopted by others. Even a large firm may be satisfied with going rate pricing lest a change in price by it unnecessarily disturbs the whole market. No firm would like to "spoil" the common market by reducing the price.

(ii) The demand function is $x = 80 + 2P + 5P^2$ where 'x' is the demand for the commodity at Price 'P'. Find the elasticity of demand at P = 5 [3]

Answer:

Determination of Elasticity of Demand
$$X = 80 + 2P + 5P^2$$

Marginal Quantity demanded
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = 2 + 10P$$

Average Quantity demanded =
$$\frac{X}{p} = \frac{80 + 2p + 5p^2}{p} = \frac{80}{p} + 5p + 2$$

$$Ep = \frac{dx}{dp} / \frac{x}{p} = \frac{2 + 10p}{\frac{80}{p} + 5p + 2} = \frac{p(2 + 10p)}{80 + 5p^2 + 2p}$$

At, P = 5

$$Ep = \frac{5(2+50)}{80+125+10} = \frac{260}{215} = \frac{52}{43}$$

Question.4 (c)

What are the pricing policies for introduction stage of a new product?

[8]

Answer:

There are two alternative price strategies which a firm introducing a new product can adopt, viz., skimming price policy and penetration pricing policy.

A. Skimming Price Policy:

When the product is new but with a high degree of consumer acceptability, the firm may decide to charge a high mark up and, therefore, charge a high price. The system of charging high prices for new products is known as price skimming for the object is to "skim the cream" from the market. There are many reasons for adopting a high mark-up and, therefore, high initial price:

- The demand for the new product is relatively inelastic. The high prices will not stop the new consumers from demanding the product. The new product, novelty, commands a better price. Above all, in the initial stage, there is hence cross elasticity of demand is low.
- If life of the product promises to be a short one, the management may fix a high price so that it can get as much profit as possible and, in as short a period as possible.
- Such an initially high price is also suitable if the firm can divide the market into different segments based on different elasticity's. The firm can introduce a cheaper model in the market with lower elasticity.
- High initial price may also be needed in those cases where there is heavy investment of capital and when the costs of introducing a new product are high. The initial price of a transistor radio was ₹ 500 or more (now ₹ 50 or even less); electronic calculators used to cost ₹ 1,000 or more, they are now available for ₹ 100 or so.

B. Penetration Price Policy:

Instead of setting a high price, the firm may set a low price for a new product by adding a low mark-up to the full cost. This is done to penetrate the market as quickly as possible. The assumptions behind the low penetration price policy are:

- The new product is being introduced in a market which is already served by well-known brands. A low price is necessary to attract gradually consumers who are already accustomed to other brands.
- The low price will help to maximize the sales of the product even in the short period. The low price is set in the market to prevent the entry of new products.

Penetration price policy is preferred to skimming price under three conditions:

In the first place, skimming price offering a high margin will attract many rivals to enter the market. With the entry of powerful rivals into the market, competition will be intensified, price will fall and profits will be competed away in the long run. A firm will prefer a low penetration price if it fears the entry of powerful rivals with plenty of capital and new technology. For a low penetration price, based on extremely low mark-up will be least profitable and potential competitors will not be induced to enter the market.

Secondly, a firm will prefer low penetration price strategy if product differentiation is low and if rival firms can easily imitate the product. In such a case, the objective of the firm to fix low price is to establish a strong market based and build goodwill among consumers and strong consumer loyalty.

Finally, a firm may anticipate that its main product may generate continuing demand for the complementary items. In such a case, the firm will follow penetration pricing for its new product, so that the product as well as its complements will get a wider market.

Question.4 (d)

(i) The cost function 'c' of a firm = $\frac{1}{3}x^3 - x^2 + 5x + 3$, find the level at which the marginal cost and the average variable cost attain their respective minimum. [4]

Answer:

$$C = \frac{1}{3}x^3 - x^2 + 5x + 3$$
Marginal Cost = $\frac{dc}{dx} = \frac{1}{3}3x^2 - 2x + 5$

$$= x^2 - 2x + 5 \text{ ('y' say)}$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = 2x - 2 = 0$$

$$\therefore x = 1$$

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = 2$$
, which is positive

 \therefore Marginal cost is minimum value at x = 1

Average Variable Cost =
$$\frac{1}{3}x^2 - x + 5$$
 (y say)

$$\frac{dy}{dx} (Average Variable Cost) = \frac{2}{3}x - 1 = 0$$

$$=> \frac{2}{3}x = 1$$

$$\therefore x = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{2}{3}$$
, positive

 \therefore Average Variable Cost is minimum at output x = $\frac{3}{2}$

(ii) Calculate the trend values by the method of least squares from the data given below and estimate the sales for the year 2014.

Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Sales	70	74	80	86	90

[4]

Answer:

Calculation of Trend values by Least Squares Method

Year (t)	Sales Y	Time deviation(X)	XY	X ²	Trend values Y _c
2010	70	-2	-140	4	69.6
2011	74	-1	-74	1	74.8
2012	80	0	0	0	80.0
2013	86	+]	+86	1	85.2
2014	90	+2	+180	4	90.4
N= 5	∑Y = 400	∑X = 0	∑XY= 52	$\sum X^2 = 10$	∑Y _c = 400

Equation of Trend line = $Y_C = a + bX \Rightarrow Y_C = a + (t-2012)$

Since X=0, $\alpha = \sum Y/N = 80$

 $b = \sum XY / \sum X^2 = 5.2$

The equation of Straight line would be Y = 80 + 5.2X. The value of Y when X = 2014 or in terms of deviation X = +5

 $Y_{2014} = 80 + (5.2 \times 5) = 80 + 26 = 106$

Trend value for $2010 = 80 + (2010 - 2011) \times 5.2 = 69.6$

Similarly trend values for 2011, 2012 etc have been calculated.